## CHAPTER XV: SUPPLEMENTARY PROVISIONING WITHOUT REQUIREMENT

Details of Supplementary grants (Civil) obtained during 1998-99 was as under:-

(Rupees in crore)					
Section	Obtained in December 1998				
Voted					
Revenue	3329.45				
Capital	43.15				
Loans & Advances	952.41				
Charged					
Revenue	31.96				
Capital	22.43				
Public Debt	-				
Loans & Advances	10068.36				
Total	14447.76				
No of grants/ appropriati	ions 45				

Supplementary provision obtained but not utilised5 grantsRs 17 crore

#### 15.1 Estimation of supplementary grant/appropriation

If the amount provided for in the sanctioned budget for any service in a financial year is found to be insufficient for the purpose in that year or when a need has arisen during that year for supplementary or additional disbursements upon some `New Service' not contemplated in the original budget for that year, Government is to obtain supplementary grants or appropriations in accordance with the provisions of Article 115 (1) of the Constitution.

While obtaining the supplementary grant, Ministry has to keep in view the resources available or likely to be available during the year and exercise due caution while forecasting its additional budgetary requirement of funds and seeking supplementary provision. Resort to supplementary demands should only be in exceptional and urgent cases.

Public Accounts Committee of 10th Lok Sabha in its 88th Report in Para 1.39 has also commented on obtaining the supplementary grant or appropriation in an ill conceived manner without conducting a proper and close scrutiny of expenditure incurred or likely to be incurred by them during the financial year.

### 15.1.1 Unnecessary supplementary grant

Net unspent<br/>provisionIn 5 cases relating to 5 grants as detailed below although the supplementary<br/>provisions were obtained in December 1998 in anticipation of higher<br/>expenditure, the final expenditure was less than even the original grants. Thus,<br/>the entire amount of supplementary provision aggregating to Rs 17 crore<br/>proved to be unnecessary.

CI			<b>A A</b>	
Sl.	Grant/Appropriation	Amount		Reasons for obtaining supplementary grants
No.		(Rs in crore)		
Rev	enue - Voted			
1.	50 - Department of Culture	О.	301.20	For disbursements on Khalsa Tricentenary
	(Ministry of Human	S.	6.41	celebration, providing grant assistance to museum and
	Resource Development)	AE.	297.49	library and Agra Heritage Fund through the State
		U.P.	10.12	Government.
2.	63-Ministry of Mines	0.	292.03	For providing grant assistance for implementation of
		S.	6.48	Voluntary Retirement Scheme.
		AE.	279.17	
		U.P.	19.34	
3.	82-Ministry of Textiles	О.	427.37	For implementation of Voluntary Retirement Scheme
		S.	0.73	in Handloom and Handicrafts Export Corporation
		AE.	407.21	
		U.P.	20.89	
4.	85-Public Works (Ministry	0.	618.25	For meeting the disbursements for removal of
	of Urban Affairs &	S.	1.60	encroachment on Plot No. EP-30, Chanakyapuri for
	Employment)	AE.	537.89	Saudi Arabian Embassy
		U.P.	81.96	
5.	87-Ministry of Water	0.	547.28	For providing additional grant assistance to National
	Resources	S.	1.77	Project Construction Corporation Ltd. for
		AE.	492.79	implementing the Voluntary Retirement Scheme.
		U.P.	56.26	

# Table 15.1.1: Unspent provision more than supplementary grant/appropriation

O = Original Provision : S = Supplementary Provision : AE = Actual Disbursements : U.P. = Unspent Provision

As the disbursements under these grants was less than the original provision, the Ministries/Departments were required to obtain token/technical supplementary for re-appropriating the unspent provisions within the grant instead of obtaining large amounts of supplementary provisions and as such the supplementary grants proved to be unnecessary.

### 15.2 Unrealistic estimation of supplementary demands

**15.2.1** Under the following grants, the Ministries/Departments sought for second supplementary grants during March 1999 which could not be treated as passed by Parliament due to technical reasons but the disbursements under these grants were far less than even the provision after first supplementary provision.

(Rupees in crore)	
-------------------	--

Sl. No.	Grant No.	Original + 1st Supplementary (Dec.1998)		Actual disbursements	Amount demanded in IInd supplementary (March 99)
Reve	nue-Voted				
	9-Department of Consumer Affairs	O. S.	19.29 <u>9.00</u> 28.29	28.25	4.79
	50-Department of Culture	O. S.	301.20 <u>6.41</u> 307.61	297.49	43.90
	67-Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas	O. S.	5.44 <u>0.34</u> 5.78	5.76	1.44
	82-Ministry of Textiles	O S.	427.37 <u>0.73</u> 428.10	407.21	5.03
	89-Atomic Energy	O. S.	1088.82 <u>12.22</u> 1101.04	1096.03	17.75
	Capital - Voted				
	82-Ministry of Textiles	O. S.	567.69 <u>20.00</u> 587.69	587.52	16.71
	99-Andaman and Nicobar Islands	O. S.	208.16 <u>13.83</u> 221.99	221.62	0.34

O=Original provision: S=Supplementary provision

**15.2.2** In the following cases large amount of supplementary grant was sought through second batch of supplementary demands for grants of March 1999 the additional disbursements which was to be met out of such supplementary grant, was far less than the supplementary sought, which indicated that the supplementary demands were sought in an unrealistic manner.

### (Rupees in lakh)

				· •
Sl.No.	Grant/Appropriation	Amount of supplementary demanded in 2nd batch of supply. Demands (March 1999)	Amount of additional/ excess expenditure actually incurred	Percentage of supplementary demanded actually utilised
Reven	ue - Voted			
1.	13 - Department of Commerce	4734.00	2770.73	59
2.	37 - Direct Taxes	9460.00	4738.25	50
3.	38 - Indirect Taxes	4075.00	2617.33	64
4.	43 - Ministry of Home Affairs	2037.00	1277.54	63
5.	56 - Information, Films and Publicity	440.00	87.64	20
6.	80 - Roads	4130.00	57.92	1.4
7.	95 - Rajya Sabha	414.00	242.57	59
8.	96 - Lok Sabha <b>Revenue - Charged</b>	1798.00	1108.69	62
9.	83 - Urban Development	244.00	41.59	17
10.	95 - Rajya Sabha Capital - Voted	4.00	1.88	47
11.	54 - Department of Heavy Industry	18000.00	6326.33	35
12.	87 - Ministry of Water Resources <b>Capital - Charged</b>	351.00	64.22	18
13.	57 - Broadcasting Services	85.00	19.81	23

From the above it would be seen that had the demands for the second batch of supplementary grants materialised, the large portion of the amount of supplementary demanded would have been unnecessary which indicated the weakness in the management information system in respect of control of expenditure on the part of Ministries/Departments.