Appendix-1.1 (Refer: Paragraph-1.3.1; page 3)

Statement showing powers and functions of PRIs

Authority	Powers and Functions
Zila Parishad	Zila Parishad (ZP) is the first tier of Panchayat at the
	district level. The ZP is required to prepare the budget for
	the planned development of the district and utilisation of
	resources. The ZP is responsible for the drawing up of
	annual plans for the economic development of district and
	social justice and ensuring their implementation; co-
	ordination, evaluation and monitoring of the activities of
	PSs and GPs; ensuring implementation of any schemes
	entrusted by the Central or State Governments;
	appropriation or the grants received from the Central or
	State Governments to the PSs and GPs in accordance with
	the specified criteria; taking steps to ensure procurement of
	resources and any other functions entrusted by the State
D1 C :4:	Government under special or general orders.
Panchayat Samiti	Panchayat Samiti (PS) is the intermediate tier of PRIs at the
	Block level. The PSs undertake development works at the block level. It is their duty to make suitable arrangements for
	rural development, agriculture, social forestry, animal
	husbandry and pisciculture, health and hygiene, adult
	education, cooperative work, cottage industries, social
	welfare, family planning, rural employment programmes;
	arranging emergency assistance in cases of fire, flood,
	drought, earthquake and other natural calamities; and any
	other works entrusted to them by the State Government or
	the ZP.
Gram Panchayat	Gram Panchayat (GP) is the last tier of PRIs at the grass
·	root level. It is the duty of the GPs to maintain cleanliness
	and hygiene, maintenance and upkeep of water resources,
	lighting and construction of village roads, promotion of
	youth welfare, family welfare and sports activities,
	implementing programmes for social welfare and any other
	activities entrusted by the State Government, ZP or PS.

(Source: JPR Act, 2001)

Appendix-1.2

(Refer: Paragraph-1.3.1; page 3)

Statement showing functions of authorities of PRIs

Authority	Functions assigned
Adhyaksha/	Ensure proper custody of the records and registers of
Pramukh/	ZP/PS/GP and shall maintain them;
Mukhiya	Exercise overall control over the financial and executive
	administration of the ZP/PS and place before <i>Panchayats</i> all
	issues connected therewith so that necessary orders of the
	Panchayats may be obtained and for this purpose may call for
	records of the <i>Panchayats</i> ;
	Supervise and control the business transacted by the employees of the ZP/PS/GP;
	Be responsible for safe custody of the ZP/PS/GP Fund;
	Comply with all the directives issued by the State Government
	or any Authority authorised by the State Government under
	JPR Act, 2001.
Chief	Drawal and disbursal of fund;
Executive	Preparation of budget and accounts;
Officer (CEO)/	Supervision and control of officers of ZP/PS/GP;
Executive	Discharging the duties conferred by or under JPR Act, 2001 or
Officer (EO)/	the Rules or regulations made thereunder;
Secretary	Executing the policies and directives of the <i>Panchayats</i> .
Chief Planning	CPO shall advise the ZP in matter of preparing a plan and shall
Officer (CPO)	be responsible for all the matters related with plans of the ZP in
	which preparation of plan for economic development and
	social justice and annual plan of the district is also included
G1 1 2 1	and he shall be the CEO of the District Planning Committee.
Chief Account	CAO shall advise the ZP on matters of financial policy and
Officer (CAO)	shall be responsible for all matters concerned with accounts of
	ZP wherein preparation of annual accounts and budget is also
	included and shall ensure that no expenditure whatsoever is
	done without proper sanction, and if done, it has to be done
	only in accordance with this Act and the rules and regulations
	made thereunder, and shall disallow any such expenditure
	which is not supported by JPR Act, 2001 or rules or regulations
	or wherefore no provision has been made in the budget.

(Source: JPR Act, 2001)

Appendix-1.3

(Refer: Paragraph-1.3.3; page 4)

Details of devolution of 29 functions to PRIs as envisaged in the $11^{\rm th}$ schedule of the Constitution

Sl.	29 functions	Status of
No.		devolution
1	Agriculture with agriculture extension.	Partial
2	Land development and land conservation.	Partial
3	Minor irrigation, water management and water shed	Yes
	development.	
4	Animal husbandry, dairy and poultry.	Partial
5	Pisciculture	Yes
6	Social forestry and farm forestry.	No
7	Minor forest produce.	No
8	Small industry with food processing industry.	Partial
9	Khadi, Village industry and cottage industry.	Partial
10	Rural housing.	No
11	Drinking water.	Yes
12	Fuel and fodder.	No
13	Roads, culverts, bridges, ferry, water-ways and other means	No
	of communication.	
14	Rural electrification including electricity distribution.	No
15	Non-conventional sources of energy.	No
16	Poverty alleviation programme.	No
17	Education including Primary and Secondary schools.	Partial
18	Technical training and professional education.	No
19	Adult and non-formal education.	Yes
21	Library.	No
21	Cultural activities.	No
22	Markets and fairs.	No
23	Health and hygiene with hospitals, primary health centres	Yes
	and dispensaries.	
24	Family welfare.	Yes
25	Women and child development.	Yes
26	Social welfare with welfare of disabled and mentally	Yes
	retarded persons.	
27	Welfare of weaker sections particularly of the Scheduled	No
	Castes and the Scheduled Tribes.	
28	Public Distribution System.	Yes
29	Maintenance of community asset.	Partial

(Source: As per information furnished by RDD (PR), GoJ)

Appendix-1.4

(Refer: Paragraph-1.3.3; page 4)

Statement showing sanctioned strength *vis-à-vis* men in position in test checked Zila Parishads

Sl.	Name of Zila	Sanctioned Men-in-		Vacancy	
No.	Parishad	strength	position		
1	Deoghar	25	17	8	
2	Dhanbad	273	90	183	
3	Dumka	Information r	ot furnished		
4	Garhwa	60	10	50	
5	Giridih	83	17	66	
6	Godda	7	3	4	
7	Hazaribagh	73	26	47	
8	Jamshedpur	79	16	63	
9	Latehar	52	18	34	
10	Pakur	7	3	4	
11	Palamu	79	16	63	
12	Sahibganj	13	4	9	
13	Ranchi	39	14	25	
14	Simdega	Information not furnished			
	Total	790	234	556	

(Source: As per information furnished by test-checked ZPs)

Appendix-1.5 (Refer: Paragraph-1.4; Page 4)

Statement showing the details of powers and functions of Standing Committees of ZP, PS and GP

NT 641	74. 1	D 16 4	CI ·	g 4
Name of the	Members	Powers and functions	Chairman	Secretary
committee				
	O PANCHAYAT SAMITI			T
General	All the chairpersons of the standing committees.		Adhyaksha of ZP and Pramukh	CEO of ZP and EO
Administration	MP and MLA for ZP and PS respectively, who	standing committee shall	of PS shall be chairperson as	of PS shall be ex-
Committee	shall be <i>ex-officio</i> member.	be such as may be	the case may be.	officio secretary for
	Adhyaksha of ZP and Pramukh of PS shall be	prescribed by the		ZP and PS
	<i>ex-officio</i> member respectively.	competent authority.		respectively.
Health & Education	Consists of at least six members who shall be			
Committee	elected in the prescribed manner by the members			
	of the PS or ZP, as the case may be, from			
	amongst them.			
	MP and MLA for ZP and PS respectively, who			
	shall be <i>ex-officio</i> member.			
	Adhyaksha of ZP and Pramukh of PS shall be			
	<i>ex-officio</i> member respectively.			
Women, children and	Consists of at least six members who shall be		Up-Adhyaksha of ZP and Up-	
social welfare	elected in the prescribed manner by the members		Pramukh of PS shall be	
Committee	of the PS or ZP, as the case may be, from		Chairperson for ZP and PS	
	amongst them, but include at least one woman		respectively.	
	and one person belonging to scheduled caste or			
	scheduled tribe.			
	MP and MLA for ZP and PS respectively, who			
	shall be <i>ex-officio</i> member.			
	Up-Adhyaksha of ZP and Up-Pramukh of PS			
	shall be <i>ex-officio</i> member for ZP and PS			
	respectively.			

Name of the committee	Members	Powers and functions	Chairman	Secretary
Agriculture & Industries	Consist of at least six members who shall be		Chairperson elected amongst	
Committee	elected in the prescribed manner by the members		its members	
Finance, Audit and	of the PS or ZP, as the case may be, from			
Planning &	amongst them.			
Development Committee	MP and MLA for ZP and PS respectively, who			
Cooperative Committee	shall be <i>ex-officio</i> member.			
Forest and Environment				
Committee				
Communication and				
Works Committee				
GRAM PANCHAYAT				
General Administration	Five members of each of the Committee shall be	The term of office of the	Not mentioned in the Act	Secretary of the
Committee	elected by the members of the Gram Panchayat	members of the standing		Gram Panchayat
Development Committee	from amongst them in an especial meeting	committees the		shall be <i>ex-officio</i>
Women, children and	convened by it, provided that no members shall	procedure of conduct of		secretary of the
social welfare	be member of more than two standing	their business shall be		standing committee.
Committee	Committees simultaneously.	such as may be		
Health, education and	The Mukhia and the up-Mukhia shall be	prescribed.		
environment Committee	<i>ex-officio</i> members of these committees.			
Village Defence	The Gram Sabha in its first meeting may elect			
Committee	by majority of votes and nominate an			
Government Estate	experienced and knowledgeable person of the			
Committee	particular field from amongst its members for			
Infrastructure	each standing committee, provided that member			
Committee	so nominated shall have no voting right,			
	provided further also that after the expiry of a			
	period of one year, the Gram Sabha by a			
	majority of votes may recall the so nominated			
	member and may make a fresh nomination.			

Appendix-1.6 (Refer: Paragraph-1.4.1; page 5)

Statement showing the name of sub-committees of DPC

Sl.	Sub-committee					
No.						
1	Rural development programme Sub-committee.					
2	Agricultural development programme Sub-committee.					
3	Urban development Sub-committee.					
4	Irrigation development programme Sub-committee.					
5	Scheduled caste, scheduled tribe, other backward classes and					
	weaker section development, women and child development					
	programme persuasion Sub-committee.					
6	Employment generation and availability Sub-committee.					
7	Public health and family welfare Sub-committee.					
8	Education Sub-committee.					
9	Water supply Sub-committee.					
10	Road and transport development Sub-committee.					
11	Sub-committee for determining use of land situated in					
	investment area.					
12	Sub-committee for persuasion of the development work of the					
	MPs and legislator's area development schemes.					

(Source: JPR Act, 2001)

Appendix-2.1.1

(Refer: Paragraph-2.1.1; page 15)

Statement showing list of selected Zila Parishads, Panchayat Samitis and Gram Panchayats

Sl. No.	Name of selected	Name of selected	Name of selected
	Gram Panchayats	Panchayat Samitis	Zila Parishads
1	Alakhdiha		Dhanbad
2	Amjhar		
3	Baghmara	Baliapur (Dhanbad)	
4	Baliapur West		
5	Bhikharajpur		
6	Parasbania		
7	Bhuiya Chitro		
8	Bishanpur		
9	Chitarpir		
10	Gendanawadih	Topchachi (Dhanbad)	
11	Gomo North		
12	Gomo South		
13	Madaidih		
14	Chatwal		Ranchi
15	Roll	Chanho (Ranchi)	
16	Silagain	Chamio (Kancii)	
17	Sons		
18	Bamme		
19	Bukbuka	Vhalori (Banahi)	
20	Churi Middle	Khelari (Ranchi)	
21	Churi West		
22	Edchoro		
23	Saparom	Nagri (Ranchi)	
24	Tundul South		
25	Amajharia	D.1. (D. 11)	
26	Sataki	Rahe (Ranchi)	
27	Banapiri		
28	Hurhuri	D . (D . 10)	
29	Lahna	Ratu (Ranchi)	
30	Tigra		
31	Awsane		Palamu
32	Basaria Kaklan		
33	Chainpur		
34	Khurakala		
35	Kosiyara	Chainpur (Palamu)	
36	Mahugawan	1 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
37	Narsingh Pathara		
38	Neura		
39	Salatua		
40	Haratua		1
41	Jamudih		
42	Juru	Lesligang (Palamu)	
43	Rajharha		
44	Sohdag Khurd		1
45	Tukbera	Nawa Bazar (Palamu)	
46	Dhub		1
47	Karar		
48	Naudiha-1	Panki (Palamu)	
49	Nuru	 	
+7	TYUTU		

Sl. No.	Name of selected	Name of selected	Name of
511101	Gram Panchayats	Panchayat Samitis	Zila Parishads
50	Sundi	Panki	Palamu
51	Tal	Paliki	
52	Hisra Barwadih		
53	Kishunpur		
54	Meral	Patan (Palamu)	
55	Palhe Kalam	1 atan (1 aiamu)	
56	Rundidih		
57	Suntha		
58	Chapri	Bhawanathpur	Garhwa
59	Manjhigawan	(Garhwa)	
60	Makari	(,	
61	Mukundpur	Ketar (Garhwa)	
62	Pancha Dumar		4
63	Ghaghari	Sagma (Garhwa)	_
64	Dandai	Dandai (Garhwa)	
65	Karke		=
66	Achala		
67 68	Chatarpur Dube Marhatia		
69	Nawada	Garhwa	
70	Obra		
70	Pipra		
72	Andhrigadar		Deoghar
73	Mahtodih Udaypura		Deognai
74	Pichribad		
75	Punasi	— Deoghar	
76	Sangralohiya		
77	Shankari		
78	Mahuatanr		
79	Murlipahari	Margomunda	
80	Suggapahari-1		
81	Balthar		
82	Bank		
83	Bichgarha		
84	Harkatta	Mohanpur	
85	Katwan		
86	Naya Chikath		
87	Sarasani		
88	Gorsanda		Godda
89	Makhni		
90	Manjwara Ghat		
91	Markhan		
92	Nunbatta	Godda	
93	Pandaha		
94	Ranidih		
95	Saidapur		
96	Sundmara		4
97	Akashi		
98	Baghmara		
99	Bargachha Hariyari		
100	Danre	Poriyahat (Godda)	
101	Nawdiha		
102	Pasai		
103	Pindrahat		
104	Poriyahat		

Appendix-2.1.2

(Refer: Paragraph-2.1.3.3; page 19)

Statement of loss of interest due to delay in release of BRGF fund to the Districts by the State

District	Letter No.	Date of release of Grant by Central	Amount of Grant (₹ in crore)	Allotment letter No.	Date of release of Grant by State	After how many days grant released	Delay in release in grant	Rate of Interest	Amount of Interest (in ₹)
Kodarma	N-11019/1209/2012-BRGF	31/03/2012	1.57	14	22/05/2012	52	37	9.50	151193.2
West Singhbhum	N-11019/1145/2011-BRGF	31/03/2012	8.87	15	22/05/2012	52	37	9.50	854193.2
Dumka	N-11019/1546/2012-BRGF	05/11/2012	10.21	46	17/12/2012	42	27	9.00	679734.2
Gumla	N-11019/1523/2012-BRGF	05/11/2012	9.87	46	17/12/2012	42	27	9.00	657098.6
Garhwa	N-11019/1545/2012-BRGF	09/11/2012	4.90	46	17/12/2012	38	23	9.00	277890.4
Jamtara	N-11019/172/2013-BRGF	20/09/2013	13.29	34	21/11/2013	62	47	9.50	1625749
Deoghar	N-11019/130/2013-BRGF	26/09/2013	13.35	34	21/11/2013	56	41	9.50	1424610
Saraikela	N-11019/239/2011-BRGF	26/09/2013	14.21	34	21/11/2013	56	41	9.50	1516382
Total			76.27						7186850.6

Appendix-2.1.3 (Refer: Paragraph-2.1.3.3; page 19)

Statement of less release of interest due to delay in release of 13 FC fund to the Districts by the State

Letter No.	Date of release of Grant by Central	Amount of Grant (₹ in crore)	Date of release of Grant by State Government	Amount of Grant (₹ in crore)	Delay in release in grant	Delay in release of fund	Rate of Interest	Amount of Interest (in ₹)
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	15/07/2010	17.50	03/02/2011	17.50	203	198	6	5695890.41
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	15/12/2011	88.40	24/01/2012	88.40	40	35	6	5086027.40
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	15/03/2012	0	22/05/2012	0.94	68	63	9.5	154544.18
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	08/03/2013	0	04/04/2013	10.59	27	22	8.75	558830.14
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	13/03/2013	0	04/04/2013	15.29	22	17	8.75	623364.38
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	03/05/2012	17.50	03/09/2012	17.50	123	118	8.25	4667465.75
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	21/02/2014	0	09/04/2014	15.73	47	42	9	1629397.48
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	31/05/2013	17.50	08/07/2013	17.50	38	33	8.25	1305308.22
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	31/03/2015	16.63	12/10/2015	13.00	195	190	8.5	5752054.79
F 12(3)/FCD/2010	31/03/2015	75.22	12/10/2015	75.22	195	190	8.5	33282273.97
							Total	58755156.72
Less: - interest released by state						27290522.00		
Short released						31464634.77		

Appendix-2.1.4

(Refer: Paragraph-2.1.3.4; Page 19)

Details showing interest not refunded by the executing agencies as on 31 March 2016

(₹ in lakh)

Name of the executing	Amount of interest	Period
agencies		
District Engineer, Deoghar	54.68	2011-15
District Engineer, Garhwa	4.99	2011-16
District Engineer, Godda	74.41	2011-16
District Engineer, Palamu	33.28	2011-16
District Engineer, Ranchi	314.18	2011-16
NREP, Palamu	39.55	3/10 to 3/16
Deoghar Block	1.22	2012-15
Mohanpur Block	1.81	2013-15
Sarath Block	1.24	2013-15
Palojori Block	1.68	2012-14
Sarawan Block	4.12	2012-15
RDSD, Deoghar	1.84	2012-15
NREP, Deoghar	2.74	2014-15
MI, Deoghar	0.19	2014-15
NREP,Garhwa	2.69	2007-16
10 GPs of Garhwa	3.31	2014-15
Manjhiaon NP	0.26	2014-15
MI, Garhwa	0.98	2011-13
RDSD, Garhwa	5.49	2011-13
BDO, Garhwa	0.07	2012-13
BDO, Ranka	0.84	2014-15
BDO, Dandai	0.08	2011-15
BDO, Kandi	0.14	2011-12
Total	549.79	

Appendix-2.1.5 (Refer: Paragraph-2.1.3.5; Page 19)

Details showing outstanding advances as on 31 March 2016

Sl.	Name of	Name of officials	Outstanding	Period of
No.	Units		advances	advance
			(₹ in lakh)	
1	ZP, Deoghar	Dilip Kumar Singh, JE	7.00	02/09 to 4/09
2	ZP, Dhanbad	Khalid Pravez, AE	33.20	2014-16
3	ZP, Dhanbad	Jatro Oraon, AE	21.70	2014-16
4	ZP, Dhanbad	B.N.Das, AE	5.85	2014-16
5	ZP, Dhanbad	N.K.Singh, AE	0.50	2014-16
6	ZP Garhwa	B.K.Tiwary, JE	2.00	3/1/05
7	ZP Garhwa	Janeshwar Ram, JE	0.40	13/05/05
8	ZP Garhwa	Janeshwar Ram, JE	2.00	13/05/05
9	ZP Garhwa	Janeshwar Ram, JE	1.00	21/01/03
10	ZP Garhwa	Janeshwar Ram, JE	3.05	NA
11	ZP Garhwa	Janeshwar Ram, JE	1.80	NA
12	ZP Garhwa	Shri Nagendra, JE	0.65	NA
13	ZP Garhwa	Dineshwar Tiwari, JE	0.27	NA
14	ZP Garhwa	Ali Ansari, Panchayat Sewak	0.76	NA
15	ZP Garhwa	Awadhesh Mishra, Panchayat	0.45	NA
		Sewak		
16	ZP Garhwa	Nagendra Prasad, Panchayat	0.30	NA
		Sewak		
17	ZP Garhwa	Jai Ram Paswan, Panchayat	1.11	NA
		Sewak		
18	ZP Garhwa	Sunil Kumar, Panchayat	0.03	NA
		Sewak		
19	ZP Garhwa	Kameshwar Mistri, Amin	0.37	NA
20	ZP Garhwa	Radha Prasad, Head Clerk	0.20	NA
21	ZP Garhwa	B.N.Tiwary, JE	11.70	NA
22	ZP Garhwa	Gopal Pathak, AE (cash in	0.06	NA
		hand)		
23	ZP, Godda	Chandra Deo Modi, JE	12.82	2013-15
24	ZP, Godda	Manik Kumar, AE	15.32	2013-15
25	ZP, Godda	Manoj Kumar Munna,JE	8.24	2013-15
26	ZP, Palamu	Gopal Pathak, AE	6.87	1/10/94
27	ZP, Palamu	Dineshwar Diwedi, JE	18.29	30/10/95
28	ZP, Palamu	Upendra Kr. Singh JE	0.20	14/04/04
29	ZP, Palamu	Om Prakash Sharma,JE	16.00	2/2005 to 2/2008
30	ZP, Palamu	Tarkeshwar Singh, JE	11.52	10/2009 to
				11/2009
31	ZP, Palamu	Ravindra Prasad, JE	0.25	16/10/06
32	ZP, Palamu	Surendra Prasad, JE	0.20	16/10/06
33	ZP, Palamu	Laxman Ram, JE	11.59	1/01/2006
34	ZP, Palamu	Labhuk Samitis	18.90	09/2004 to
				01/2006
35	ZP, Ranchi	Basant Kumar Labh, AE	398.32	2011-16
36	ZP, Ranchi	Lalita Pd. Srivastava, AE	41.53	2013-14
37	ZP, Ranchi	Sunil Singh, AE	140.42	2011-16
38	ZP, Ranchi	Pradeep Kumar Bhagat, AE	342.91	2011-16
39	ZP, Ranchi	H.K.Singh, AE	153.37	2011-16

Sl. No.	Name of Units	Name of officials	Outstanding advances (₹ in lakh)	Period of advance
40	ZP, Ranchi	Dev Bihari Yadav, AE	126.06	2011-16
41	ZP, Ranchi	Jaiprakash Gupta, JE	8.53	2014-15
42	PS Chanho	16 Labhuk samitis	6.22	2014-15
43	PS Nagri	Rajesh Kumar Pandey, Rojgar Sevak	5.60	9/14 to 1/15
44	PS Nagri	Jagdish Tirkey, Rojgar Sevak	6.45	9/14 to 1/15
45	PS Nagri	Sanjay Tirkey, Rojgar Sevak	8.90	9/14 to 1/15
46	PS Nagri	Sita Ram Das, Panchayat Sevak	6.05	9/14 to 1/15
47	PS Ratu	39 Labhuk Samitis	40.42	NA
48	PS Rahe	Bhuwan Das, JE	11.53	2/15 to 3/16
49	PS Khelari	05 Labhuk Samiti	1.75	NA
50	GP Sataki	01 Labhuk Samiti	1.30	NA
51	GP	01 Labhuk Samiti	0.15	NA
	Kishunpur			
		Total	1514.11	

(NA- Not Available)

Appendix-2.1.6

(Refer: Paragraph-2.1.3.6; Page 20)

Details showing parking of funds in non-interest bearing accounts and loss of interest as on 31 March 2016

(₹ in lakh)

Unit	Scheme	Fund	Particulars	Periods	Loss of
					Interest
ZP Ranchi	BRGF	1133.25	PL	152 days	18.89
ZP Palamu	BRGF	3962.00	PL	12 to 562	83.46
				days	
ZP Deoghar	BRGF	1229.00	PL	1	00
ZP Deoghar	13FC	1434.00	PL	20 to 152	10.90
				days	
ZP Garwa	BRGF	342.00	PL	-	00
ZP Garwa	13FC	1449.00	PL	8 to 65 days	5.68
PS Khelari	13 FC	271.24	Current Account	1	0
ZP Dhanbad	BRGF	1598.14	PL	-	0
ZP Dhanbad	13 FC	3744.16	PL	-	0
ZP Godda	13 FC	161.55	PL	-	0
Tota	ıl	15324.34			118.93

Appendix-2.1.7

(Refer: Paragraph-2.1.3.7; Page 20)

Irregular parking of fund by the Zila Parishad as on 31 March 2016

(₹ in Lakh)

Name of the	Particulars	Amount	Purpose
ZP			
ZP, Dhanbad	PL	44.37	Panchayat Empowerment and
			Accountability Incentive
			(PEAIS)
ZP, Palamu	Bank Account	16.24	Panchayat Bhawans
ZP, Ranchi	Bank Account	75.29	Augmentation of Income
ZP, Godda	PL	577.24	Construction of PBs, Cold
			Storage, shops etc.
ZP, Garhwa	Bank Account	266.08	13 FC
T	otal	979.22	

Appendix-2.1.8

(Refer: Paragraph-2.1.3.9; Page 21)

Details of expenditure made without approval of Chairman/Pramukh

(Amount in ₹)

Sl.	Name of the Units	Amount of payment during
No.		2011-16
1	ZP, Deoghar	1203113659
2	ZP, Dhanbad	1711707000
3	ZP, Garhwa	811735666
4	ZP, Godda	580595411
5	ZP, Ranchi	1912022657
6	ZP, Palamu	1471260750
7	PS, Deoghar	11433231
8	PS, Margomunda	6844074
9	PS, Mohanpur	14486468
10	PS, Baliapur	10023200
11	PS, Topchachi	14110600
12	PS, Garhwa	11499610
13	PS, Bhawanathpur	5909780
14	PS, Ketar	3620855
15	PS, Sagma	2674328
16	PS, Dandai	4958830
17	PS, Godda	18889259
18	PS, Poriyahat	16457317
19	PS, Nagri	34763613
20	PS, Chanho	38303613
21	PS, Khelari	35270371
22	PS, Ratu	6001331
23	PS, Rahe	23824123
24	PS, Panki	13890278
25	PS, Patan	5559858
26	PS, Nawabazar	4249110
27	PS, Chainpur	18161813
28	PS, Lesliganj	7377349
	Total	7998744154

Appendix-3.1

(Refer: Paragraph-3.3.2; page 40)

Statement showing list of powers and the functions to be performed by the ULBs as per the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act (Schedule XII)

Sl. No.	Functions
1	Urban planning including town planning
2	Regulation of land-use and construction of buildings
3	Planning for economic and social development
4	Roads and bridges
5	Water supply for domestic, industrial and commercial purposes
6	Public health, sanitation, conservancy and solid waste management
7	Fire Services
	Urban forestry, protection of the environment and promotion of ecological aspects
9	Safeguarding the interests of weaker sections of society including the handicapped and mentally
	retarded
10	Slum improvement and up-gradation
11	Urban poverty alleviation
12	Provision of urban amenities and facilities such as parks, gardens, playgrounds
13	Promotion of cultural, educational and aesthetic aspects
14	Burials and burial grounds, cremations, cremation grounds and electric crematoriums
15	Cattle ponds, prevention of cruelty to animals
16	Vital statistics including registration of births and deaths
	Public amenities including street lighting, parking lots, bus stops and public conveniences
18	Regulation of slaughter houses and tanneries

Statement showing list of powers and the functions performed by test checked ULBs as on 31 March 2016 as per the 74th Constitutional Amendment Act (Schedule XII)

Sl. No.	Name of ULBs	Functions performed	Total function performed
1	Adityapur Nagar Parishad	1,2,3,4,5,6,7,9,10,11,12,13,16,17	14
2	Chaibasa Nagar Parishad	1,4,5,6,11,12,16,17	8
3	Chas Municipal Corporation	1,2,4,5,6,9,10,11,12,14,16,17	12
4	Chatra Nagar Parishad	1,4,5,6,11,12,16,17	8
5	Chirkunda Nagar Panchayat	Information not furnished	-
6	Deoghar Municipal Corporation	1,3,4,5,6,10,11,12,13,16,17	11
7	Dumka Nagar Parishad	1,2,3,4,5,6,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17	15
8	Garhwa Nagar Panchayat	1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18	17
9	Godda Nagar Panchayat	1,2,3,4,5,6,10,12,13,14,15,16,17,18	14
10	Giridih Nagar Parishad	Information not furnished	-
11	Gumla Nagar Parishad	1,2,3,4,5,6,10,11,12,13,14,16,17	13
12	Jamshedpur NAC	Information not furnished	=
13	Jhumritilaiya Nagar Parishad	Information not furnished	0
14	Jugsalai Municipality	1,2,3,4,5,6,10,11,12,16,17	11
15	Madhupur Nagar Parishad	1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18	17
16	Mango NAC	Information not furnished	-
17	Medininagar Nagar Parishad	1,4,5,6,11,12,16,17	8
18	Pakur Nagar Panchayat	1,2,3,4,5,6,8,10,11,12,13,15,16,17,18	15
19	Sahibganj Municipal Corporation	1,3,4,5,6,10,11,12,13,16,17	11
20	Simdega Nagar Parishad	1,2,4,5,6,10,11,12,13,14,16,17,18	13

(Source: As per information provided by test checked ULBs)

Appendix-3.2

(Refer: Paragraph-3.3.3.1; page 40)

Statement showing status of creation of separate fund called Basic Services to the Urban Poor Fund and Separate P-Budget by test checked ULBs as on 31 March 2016

Sl.	Name of ULBs	Whether Urban	Whether P-
No.		Poor Fund created	Budget prepared
1	Adityapur Nagar Parishad	-	No
2	Chaibasa Nagar Parishad	No	No
3	Chas Municipal Corporation	Yes	Yes
4	Chatra Nagar Parishad	No	No
5	Chirkunda Nagar Panchayat	No	No
6	Deoghar Municipal Corporation	-	-
7	Dumka Nagar Parishad	No	No
8	Garhwa Nagar Panchayat	No	No
9	Godda Nagar Panchayat	No	No
10	Giridih Nagar Parishad	Yes	No
11	Gumla Nagar Parishad	No	No
12	Jamshedpur NAC	Yes	No
13	Jhumritilaiya Nagar Parishad	-	-
14	Jugsalai Municipality	Yes	No
15	Madhupur Nagar Parishad	No	No
16	Mango NAC	Yes	No
17	Medininagar Nagar Parishad	No	No
18	Pakur Nagar Panchayat	No	No
19	Sahibganj Municipal Corporation	No	No
20	Simdega Nagar Parishad	No	No

(Source: As per information furnished by test checked ULBs)

Appendix-3.3 (Refer: Paragraph-3.3.4; page 41)

Statement showing sanctioned strength and Men-in-position of ULBs as on 31 March 2016

Sl. No.	Name of ULBs	Sanctioned Strength	Men in Position	Vacancy
1	Adityapur Nagar Parishad	48	10	38
2	Chaibasa Nagar Parishad	139	43	96
3	Chas Municipal Corporation	29	2	27
4	Chatra Nagar Parishad	90	21	69
5	Chirkunda Nagar Panchayat	12	1	11
6	Deoghar Municipal Corporation	337	131	206
7	Dumka Nagar Parishad	224	35	189
8	Garhwa Nagar Panchayat	44	15	29
9	Godda Nagar Panchayat	21	21	0
10	Giridih Nagar Parishad	310	77	233
11	Gumla Nagar Parishad	36	10	26
12	Jamshedpur NAC	55	7	48
13	Jhumritilaiya Nagar Parishad	82	27	55
14	Jugsalai Municipality	143	34	109
15	Madhupur Nagar Parishad	156	68	88
16	Mango NAC	55	7	48
17	Medininagar Nagar Parishad	195	76	119
18	Pakur Nagar Panchayat	26	18	8
19			61	149
20	Simdega Nagar Parishad	Information no	ot furnished	
	Total	2212	664	1548

(Source: As per information furnished by test checked ULBs)

Appendix-3.4

(Refer: Paragraph-3.4; page 42)

Statement showing functions of committees of ULBs

Name of	of Constitution Members Functions				
Name of	Constitution	Members	Functions	Duties	
committee	Til 1 11 1 W.C.) 1 0 11 6 1		TD1 C	
Ward Committee			The WC shall perform the following functions in the ward,		
` ,	for each ward of the municipality		namely:-	conduct of business	
of JMAct, 2011	within two months of the		(i) Supervise :	at the meetings of	
	election to the Council.	Chairperson of the WC;	(a) solid waste management, sanitation work, distribution of		
			water supply, maintenance of parks, playgrounds, and market		
	shall be coterminous with the		places, working of street lights and repairs to roads, and	prescribed.	
	term of office of the Council.		implementation of poverty alleviation programmes and		
		representing the civil society from			
			(ii) monitor the working of schools, dispensaries, health		
		•	centres etc., under the control of the municipality;		
		be prescribed.	(iii) assist in the preparation of development schemes;		
			(iv) encourage harmony and unity among various groups of		
			people; (v) mobilise voluntary labour and donations by way of goods		
			or money for welfare programmes;		
			(vi) assist in identifying beneficiaries for the implementation		
			of development and welfare schemes;		
			(vii) encourage art and cultural activities and activities of		
			sports and games;		
			(viii) ensure people's participation in voluntary activities		
			necessary for successful implementation of the developmental		
			activities of the municipality;		
			(ix) facilitate collection of taxes, fees and other sums due to		
			the municipality;		
			x) the municipality shall allocate twenty <i>per cent</i> of the		
			amount earmarked in the maintenance provision of municipal		
			budget to WC for maintenance of services like water supply,		
			sanitation, drains, street lights, parks, markets, etc.		
			xi) allocation and utilisation of funds to and by the WC for		
			maintenance of civic services shall be in the manner		
			prescribed by the Government.		
			(xii) such other functions as may be prescribed.		

Subject	A Municipal Corporation or a Class	Seven (7) members in case of Municipal	water-supply, drainage and sewerage,	Each Subject Committee shall exercise
Committee	'A' Municipal Council may constitute	corporations and five (5) members for Class		
Section 46 of	Subject Committees consisting of	'A' Municipal Council	environment management and land	functions, as maybe specified by
JM Act, 2011	elected councilors		use control, poverty and slum	regulations
			services, education and health and	
			welfare of Scheduled Castes,	
			Scheduled Tribes, Backward Classes,	
			and of Women and Children.	
			Recommendations shall be submitted	
			to the Standing Committee for its	
			consideration.	
		pal Corporation or Municipal Council may		The manner of transaction of business
	appoint an Ad hoc Committee.			in an Ad hoc Committee shall be such
Section 47 of				as may be laid down by the Standing
JM Act, 2011			may be specified by a resolution in	Committee.
			this behalf.	
		(a) two elected members of each constituent		iness by a JC shall be such as may be
	JC for more than one municipality, or		prescribed.	
		(b) one nominee of each of the concerned		
		departments of the State Government or of		
		the concerned statutory authorities under the		
	they are jointly interested or for			
		(c) such expert or experts as the State		
	which calls for joint action.	Government may nominate; and		
		(d) the Director of Municipal Administration		
		or his representative who shall act as the		
Zonal		convener of the JC.	The officers and appleyees of the	A 7C shall subject to the senses!
		Each ZC shall consist of all the Councillors elected from the wards which are included in		
		a ZC, and one of the members elected from		
		among them in such manner as may be		
		prescribed shall be the Chairperson of the		
		ZC. An officer nominated by the Municipal		water supply, sewerage and drainage,
		Commissioner shall act as Convener of the	by the Ze in this benan.	removal of accumulated water on the
		ZC which shall meet at least once in three		streets or public places due to rain or
		months or as frequently as is necessary to		otherwise, collection and removal of
	or the Lo blain of back as	and the state of t	1	The state of the s
I1	may be notified by the Government.	transact its business.		solid wastes, disinfection, provision of

				services, provision of lighting, repair of
				minor roads, maintenance of parks,
				drains and gullies, and such other
				functions as the Municipal Corporation
				may, from time to time, determine by
				regulations.
Municipal	The Municipal Corporation and the	MAC consist of such numbers of persons	MAC may call for any book or	to examine the accounts of the
Accounts		not less than three and not more than		
Committee		fifteen, as the State Government may		
(MAC)		determine, by notification for the		
	there to, constitute a MAC.	municipality, to be nominated by the		
of JM Act,		Council among the elected councillors not		
2011		being the members of the Standing		
		Committee from amongst themselves; and		were available for, and applicable to,
		such number of persons, not being the		the services or purposes to which
		councillors, officers, or other employees of		they were applied or charged and that
		the municipality and not exceeding two in		the expenditure was incurred in
		number, having knowledge and experience		accordance with the authority
		in financial matters, as may be nominated		governing such expenditure;
		by the municipality, and shall have no right		to submit report to the Standing
		of voting at the meeting of the MAC.		Committee every year and from time
		The members of the Municipal Accounts		to time on such examination and
		Committee shall elect from amongst		scrutiny; and
		themselves one member to be its		to consider the report of the auditors
		Chairperson.		in cases where the State Government
		-		or the municipality requires them to
				conduct a special audit of any receipt
				or expenditure of the municipality or
				to examine the accounts of stores and
				stocks of the municipality or to check
				the inventory of the properties of the
				municipality including its land
				holdings and buildings; and
				to discharge such other functions as
				may be prescribed.
				The manner of transaction of
				business of the MAC shall be such as
				may be determined by regulation.

Jharkhand	The State Government may by	The JSMAC shall consist of not more than	The objects and functions of the I	SMAC shall be to advise the State
State		twenty-one members to represent the interest	_	Swize shall be to advise the State
Municipal		of commerce, industry, transport, agriculture,		
Advisory		labour, consumers of civic services,		uity and extent of municipal carvices
Committee			provided by the municipal authorities	•
(JSMAC)		organisations and academic and research		
		bodies in the municipal affairs sector.	(d) improvement of overall standar	
		bodies in the municipal arrans sector.	economy in the provision of municipal	
JM Act, 2011	shall also be notified by the State		economy in the provision of municipal	at services by municipal authorities.
Manadada	Government.	F. M. sisis 1 Commenting and 111 and	MCTC 1.11 's sales to see also	TI MOTO 11 C 1
Municipal		For Municipal Corporation, seven councillors		
Streets	*		expeditious, convenient and safe	
Technical		For Municipal Council (Class A), five		
Committee		councillors chosen by the Council, and		
(MSTC)		Municipal Council (Class B) or a Nagar		
		Panchayat, three councillors chosen by the	· ·	Government or any other authority
JM Act, 2011	Committee.	respective Council.	having regard to	under any State law for the time
		In addition to above members, the MSTC		
		shall also have five other members, namely:-		
		the Municipal Commissioner or the EO, the		Department or authority to comply
		Municipal Engineer, a police officer to be		
		nominated by the Superintendent of Police of		The municipality shall consider the
		the District concerned, and two officers		
		having responsibility for fire services and		take such decision thereon as it
		preparation of development plans for the		<u> </u>
		municipal area, to be nominated by the State		
		Government.	classification of public streets and	
			specification of width thereof,	
			prescription of regular line of street,	
			regulation of land uses abutting the	•
				plan, scheme or programme of any
			designation of on-street parking	
			areas, allocation of rights of way for	
			underground utilities, placement of	
			street furniture, placement of	
			authorised fixtures on streets, etc.	thereon shall be final

Appendix-3.5

(Refer: Paragraph-3.14.5; page 48)

Statement showing period of assessment of Holding Tax due by ULBs as on 31 March 2016

Sl. No.	Name of ULBs	Year of Last Assessment	Reassessment due for period
			(up to March 2016)
1	Adityapur Nagar Parishad	1993	23
2	Chaibasa Nagar Parishad	1982-83	33
3	Chas Municipal Corporation	1995	21
4	Chatra Nagar Parishad	1981-82	34
5	Chirkunda Nagar Panchayat	Not available	-
6	Deoghar Municipal Corporation	1998-99	17
7	Dumka Nagar Parishad	1992-93	23
8	Garhwa Nagar Panchayat	1997	19
9	Godda Nagar Panchayat	1979-80	36
10	Giridih Nagar Parishad	1996-97	19
11	Gumla Nagar Parishad	2008	8
12	Jamshedpur NAC	Not imposed	-
13	Jhumritilaiya Nagar Parishad	1996	20
14	Jugsalai Municipality	1997	19
15	Madhupur Nagar Parishad	2016	-
16	Mango NAC	1997	19
17	Medininagar Nagar Parishad	2002-03	13
18	Pakur Nagar Panchayat	1972	44
19	Sahibganj Municipal Corporation	1996-97	19
20	Simdega Nagar Parishad	1996	20

(Source: As per information provided by test checked ULBs)

Appendix 4.1.1 (Refer: Paragraph-4.1.6.3 & 4.1.6.5; page 58 & 59)

Statement showing achievement of SLBs in test-checked ULBs against the target fixed by MoUD, GoI

Services	Particulars	Benchmark	Chas	Deoghar	Dhanbad	Garhwa	Madhupur	Mango	Medininagar	Jamshedpur	Ranchi	Sahibganj
Water supply	Coverage of Water supply connections	100%	15%	20%	21.57 %	07 %	01%	45%	70 %	2.41%	70%	NA
	Per capita supply of Water	135 lpcd	40 lpcd	100 lpcd	95 lpcd	40 lpcd	10 lpcd	110 lpcd	60 lpcd	135 lpcd	135 lpcd	NA
	Extent of meeting of water connections	100%	15%	45%	11 %	0 %	0%	45%	0	45%	70%	NA
	Extent of non-revenue water (NRW)	20%	70%	2%	45 %	05 %	33%	0	20 %	0.32%	70%	NA
	Continuity of water supply	24 hours	2-3 hours	2 hours	2 hour	Very poor and for 3 months of summer is nil	1 hour in a week	2hours	2 to 3 hours	12 hours	NA	NA
	Quality of water supplied	100%	NA	NA	100 %	80 %	20%	NA	80 %	100%	NA	NA
	Cost of recovery of water supply services	100%	10%	0	85 %	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA
	Efficiency in collection of water supply related charges	90%	NA	0	85 %	0	0	16%	0	11%	NA	NA
Sewage	Coverage of toilets	100%	60%	23%	60 %	72 %	68 %	NA	70 %	50%	NA	70%
Management (Sewerage	Coverage of sewage network services	100%	0	4%	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
and Sanitation)	Collection efficiency of the sewage network	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	Adequacy of sewage treatment capacity	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	Quality of sewage treatment	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	Extent of reuse and recycling of sewage	20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	Efficiency in redressal of customer complaints	80%	0	80%	80 %	80 %	0	80%	60 %	50%	NA	0
	Extent of cost recovery in sewage management	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	Efficiency in collection of sewage charges	90%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0

Services	Particulars	Benchmark	Chas	Deoghar	Dhanbad	Garhwa	Madhupur	Mango	Medininagar	Jamshedpur	Ranchi	Sahibganj
SWM	Household level coverage	100%	0	0	25%	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	of solid waste management											
	services											
	Efficiency of collection of	100%	70-	70%	60 %	100 %	100 %	80%	100%	80%	NA	80%
	municipal solid waste		75%									
	Extent of segregation of	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	municipal solid waste											
	Extent of municipal solid	80%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	waste recovered											
	Extent of scientific disposal of municipal solid waste	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	Efficiency in redressal of customer complaints	80%	0	80%	80 %	80 %	60 %	80%	60 %	80%	NA	0
	Extent of cost recovery in	100%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	SWM services											
	Efficiency in collection of	90%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	0
	SWM charges											

Appendix-4.1.2

(Refer: Paragraph -4.1.7.2; page 61)

Statement showing the amount for BSUP to be provided by test checked ULBs for the period 2012-16

(₹ in crore)

	Muni	cipality'	s own so	urces o		e e.g. ta tc.	xes, fees	, user ch	arges and	rent		Alloc	cation fr	om Cen	tral ar	nd State	Financ	e Com	missions		
Period	Chas	Deoghar	Dhanbad	Garhwa	Jamshedpur	Madhupur	Mango	Medininagar	Ranchi	Sahibganj	Chas	Deoghar	Dhanbad	Garhwa	Jamshedpur	Madhupur	Mango	Medininagar	Ranchi	Sahibganj	Total
2012-13	1.51	5.43	4.98	0.34	5.75	0.49	2.38	1.27	29.14	0.80	Nil	0.99	0	0.81	Nil	3.05	Nil	0	6.03	11.28	74.25
2013-14	1.78	3.88	3.98	0.55	3.24	0.52	2.12	1.13	28.58	0.34	0.75	3.30	2.90	0.81	Nil	1.30	Nil	0	1.50	15.25	71.93
2014-15	2.03	3.99	4.50	0.49	4.07	0.36	2.73	0.95	35.57	1.00	3.91	13.20	15.30	1.20	Nil	3.70	Nil	2.50	48.41	2.66	146.29
2015-16	3.94	5.16	8.85	0.57	8.06	0.47	3.25	1.54	42.02	0.94	5.91	0.75	51.25	1.80	Nil	2.21	Nil	2.98	56.57	13.56	209.83
Total	9.26	18.46	22.31	1.95	21.12	1.84	10.48	4.89	135.31	3.08	10.57	18.24	69.45	4.62	Nil	10.26	Nil	5.48	112.51	42.75	502.58
25 per cent allocation for Basic Services to Urban Poor Fund	2.32	4.62	5.88	0.49	5.28	0.46	2.62	1.22	33.83	0.77	2.64	4.56	17.36	1.16	Nil	2.57	Nil	1.37	28.13	10.69	125.65

(Source: Data provided by test-checked ULBs)

Appendix-4.1.3

(Refer: Paragraph- 4.1.8; page 62)

Statement showing status of Water Supply projects in test-checked ULBs

(₹ in crore)

Name of ULBs	Approved Project Cost	Date of award of contract	Contract Value	Expenditure (31/03/2016)	Target date of completion	Physical status as on 31/08/2016	Name of Contractor
Chas	50.26	22/12/2008	50.02	47.08	21/06/2010	Completed June 2016	Service Pvt. Ltd, Hyderabad
Deoghar	48.07	14/12/2007	48.07	44.36	13/12/2009	Completed in January 2016	M/s IVRCL Infrastructure and Projects Ltd. Hyderabad.
Dhanbad	365.85	13/05/2011	298.00	321.58	20/10/2013	In progress	M/S L&T Ltd., Chennai
Garhwa	37.86	13/02/2013	36.73	10.35	12/02/2015	In progress	M/S SMS Paryawaran Limited, New Delhi
Jamshedpur	28.67	03/01/2006	28.67	28.51	03/07/2007	Completed December 2013	M/S SMS Paryawaran Limited, New Delhi
Mango	64.18	29/08/2009	64.18	64.18	28/08/2011	Completed December 2013	M/S JUSCO
Madhupur	DPR is being	g prepared					
Medininangar	61.46	11/03/2016	52.17	NIL	10/06/2018	In progress	M/S SMS Paryawaran Limited, New Delhi
Ranchi	288.39	12/03/2010	234.71	106.63	12/09/2012	In progress (physically 42 per cent	M/s IVRCL Infrastructure and Projects Ltd. Hyderabad
	373.06	October 2014	290.44	115.31	23/10/2016	completed)	M/S L&T Ltd., Chennai
Sahibganj	50.64	09/09/2011	37.99	29.60	08/03/2013	In progress (physically 77 per cent completed)	M/S Doshion Veolia Water Solution Pvt. Ltd. Gujarat

(Source: Data provided by test-checked DWS divisions)

Appendix 4.1.4

(Refer: Paragraph-4.1.9.1; page 66)

Statement showing water supply connections provided to HHs of test checked ULBs

Sl. No.	Name of	Total no. of	No. of households	Shortage
	ULBs	households	connected with	(per cent)
			water supply	
1	Chas	25540	3785	21755 (85)
2	Deoghar	15270	10183	5087(33)
3	Dhanbad	62658	25479	37179 (59)
4	Garhwa	4475	609	3866 (86)
5	Jamshedpur	139529	3360	136169(98)
6	Madhupur	5778	57	5721(99)
7	Mango	44095	19704	24391(55)
8	Medininagar	13821	2740	11081(80)
9	Ranchi	243209	100628	142581(59)
10	Sahibganj	17076	Nil	17076(100)
	Total	571451	166545	404906 (71)

(Source: Data provided by test-checked ULBs)

Appendix-4.1.5

(Refer: Paragraph- 4.1.9.2; page 66)

Statement showing water supply against requirement of water in a day to HHs of test checked ULBs

Sl.	Name of	Requirement	Capacity	Requirement	Supply	Shortage in	Shortage in
No.	ULBs	of water (in	of water	of water (in	of water	supply of	supply of
		MLD) as per	supply	MLD) as per	(in	water	water
		population	project (in	DW&SD	MLD)	against	against
			MLD)			requirement	requirement
						of	DW&SD
						population	(in per cent)
						(in per cent)	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7=3-6	8=5-6
1	Chas	21.18	24.00	19.10	7.70	13.48 (64)	11.40 (60)
2	Deoghar	27.42	7.50	29.15	5.69	21.73 (79)	23.46 (80)
3	Dhanbad	156.93	156.50	157.00	118.00	38.93 (25)	39.00 (25)
4	Garhwa	6.22	17.50	6.08	0.72	5.50 (88)	5.36 (88)
5	Jamshedpur	91.44	23.00	15.69	0.09	91.35 (99)	15.60 (99)
6	Madhupur	7.46	48.00	15.00	4.50	2.96 (40)	10.50 (70)
7	Mango	30.21	10.00	28.17	7.04	23.17 (77)	21.13 (75)
8	Medininagar	10.58	21.60	15.00	4.50	6.08 (57)	10.50 (70)
9	Ranchi	144.91	114.00	77.21	70.02	74.89 (52)	7.19 (9)
10	Sahibganj	11.91	18.00	8.82	0.60	11.31 (95)	8.22 (93)
	Total	508.26	440.10	371.22	218.86	289.40 (57)	152.36 (41)

(Source: Data provided by test-checked ULBs)

Appendix-4.1.6

(Refer: Paragraphs-4.1.9.3 & 4.1.9.4; page 67 & 68)

Number of Meter installed against Water supply connections

Sl.	Name of	Total no.	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of
No.	ULBs	of households	households connected with water supply	households not connected with water supply	households having water pipe line installed meter (in per cent)	households having water pipe line without meter (in <i>per</i> <i>cent</i>)
1	Chas	25540	3785	21755	Nil	3785 (100)
2	Deoghar	15270	10183	5087	Nil	10183 (100)
3	Dhanbad	62658	25479	37179	25479 (100)	Nil
4	Garhwa	4475	609	3866	Nil	609 (100)
5	Jamshedpur	139529	3360	136169	Nil	3360 (100)
6	Madhupur	5778	57	5721	Nil	57 (100)
7	Mango	44095	19704	24391	788 (4)	18916 (96)
8	Medininagar	13821	2740	11081	Nil	2740 (100)
9	Ranchi	243209	100628	142581	8408 (8)	92220 (92)
10	Sahibganj	17076	Nil	17076	Nil	Nil
	Total	571451	166545	404906	34675 (21)	131870 (79)

(Source: Data provided by test-checked ULBs)

Appendix 4.1.7

(Refer: Paragraph-4.1.9.5; page 68)

Statement showing user charges vis-à-vis O&M costs in test checked ULBs (₹ in lakh)

Sl. No	Name of ULBs	User charges collected during 2011-12 to 2015-16	Outstanding water charges as on 31 March 2016	O & M charges for 5 years
1	Dhanbad	814.50	463.18	1792.42
2	Jamshedpur	12.11	110.38	288.00
3	Mango	347.88	3006.27	2190.30
4	Medininagar	91.76	141.81	129.16
	Total	1266.25	3721.64	4399.88

(Source: Data provided by test-checked ULBs and DWS divisions)

Appendix-4.1.8

(Refer: Paragraph-4.1.10.8; page 75)

Statement showing the amount of interest lost by Municipal Corporation Ranchi on Mobilisation advance

(Amount in ₹)

Sl. No.	Date of grant of Mobilisation advance	Excess amount of Mobilisation advance	Total days till 28 February 2017	Amount of interest (@four <i>per cent</i> per annum)
1	15 October 2015	400000	502	22005
2	4 December 2015	180000000	452	8916164
3	31 December 2015	178875070	425	8331168
	Total	359275070		17269337

Appendix-4.1.9 (Refer: Paragraph-4.1.11.1; page 75)

Status of implementation of SWM in test checked ULBs $\,$

Name of ULBs	Submission/ Approval of DPR	Reason for not taking up the SWM project	Irregularities
Chas	2007-08	Landfill site identified at Sunta Village was <i>jangal-jhaari</i> required to be obtained NOC from the DC, but CMC failed to approach DC, Bokaro and land could not have been acquired.	Amount of ₹ 3.50 lakh spent on preparation of DPR become infructuous. Garbage was being dumped beside the Garga River within the municipal area.
Deoghar	DPR is under preparation	Landfill site was not available.	Garbage was dumped besides Railway line and Darba River within the municipal area.
Dhanbad	NA	Landfill site was not available.	
Garhwa	DPR not prepared	Landfill site was not available.	Garbage was dumped within municipal area.
Jamshedpur (JUA)	28 April 2010	Landfill site was identified at	Concessionaire was appointed (August 2012) for SWM,
Jamshedpur NAC and Mango NAC		Khairbani.	but due to dispute of landfill site, agreement was rescinded (October 2015) and garbage was being dumped at JUSCO area. However, a new concessionaire I K Worldwide, New Delhi was appointed by JUIDCO, but work has not been started.
Madhupur	DPR prepared in 2009 but not approved.	Landfill site was not available	Fund of ₹ 2.55 crore provided under 13 th FC for the SWM project remained unutilised since 2012-13.
Medininagar	DPR not prepared	Land has been selected by the ULB but approval of UD & HD is awaited.	Garbage was dumped besides Koyal River within the municipal area. ₹ 1.50 crore provided for land acquisition which remain unutilised since 2006-07.
Ranchi	September 2009		Processing plant for disposal of waste into brick making, composting etc. could not be constructed.
Sahibganj	DPR prepared	Landfill site was not available	

Appendix 4.1.10

(Refer: Paragraph-4.1.11.1; page 76)

Statement showing objections raised in ATIR on Local Bodies for the period 2012-13 remained unattended by the RMC

Sl.	Para No.	Details of objections
No.	F 1 7 2	Out of total annual and \$ 20.50
1	5.1.7.3	Out of total grants amounting to ₹ 20.56 crore received for implementation of the SWM project, a sum of ₹ 47.29 lakh was noticed to be diverted towards payment of Consultancy Fees to the PMC-cum-TA. An order of the competent authority/circumstances under which the amount was diverted was not intimated to audit.
2	5.1.8.7	Only ₹ 5.46 crore could be collected by the Concessionaire against total billable user charges amounting to ₹ 18.52 crore, as per the data (indicating amounts collected from different wards on a daily basis) made available to audit by the Concessionaire. It was further noticed that a sum of ₹ 5.44 crore was remitted to the Escrow account of RMC against the amount collected, which left an overall shortfall of ₹ 2.21 lakh.
3	8.1.8.10	Eighty <i>per cent</i> payment amounting to ₹ 4.19 crore the period from July 2012 to December 2012 was made to the Concessionaire without verification of the quantities by the PMC-cum-TA. Payment was made on the orders of the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), RMC on the basis of recommendations of the Medical Officer for Health (MOH), RMC as PMC-cum-TA was reluctant to perform its duties. The orders of the CEO were not in consonance with the provisions of the agreement and the payment made could not be justified in Audit. Irregular payment amounting to ₹ 3.82 crore was noticed to be made without recommendation/verification of the quantities transported, by the Project Engineer.
4	8.1.8.11	As per clause 7.2 of the Concession Agreement tipping fees was payable to the concessionaire subject to discharge of its obligations mentioned in the agreement. Further, as per provisions contained in Schedule 2 of the Agreement regarding payment of Tipping Fee inter alia, the PMC-cum-TA was required to certify the quantity of waste transported to the sites-Transfer stations and then subsequently to Composting, Land filling, Brick making plant etc. Thus, it was clear that the Tipping Fee was to be paid for carrying out the complete process of collection, transportation, treatment and disposal of MSW. Further PMC-cum-TA was also of the opinion that the Tipping Fee quoted by the Concessionaire was towards the complete scope of work and not merely for collection and transportation and as the Concessionaire was undertaking only C&T and did not initiate other activities related to processing and disposal, it was not entitled for claiming the Tipping Fee at the quoted rates. Accordingly only 50 per cent of the amount claimed was being paid initially which was raised to 80 per cent upon the request of the Concessionaire. However, ultimately all the withheld amounts were released and full payment was started from the month of April 2013 onwards. Thus, even though only the collection and transportation of waste was being performed and the processing & disposal of the waste transported had not yet been started, the entire amount claimed as Tipping Fee was being paid.
5	ATID 20	Further, the basis of releasing withheld amounts/ making payments in full (<i>i.e.</i> , improvement in collection and transportation operations), was a farce as the Concessionaire was intimated time and again by the RMC about the unsatisfactory collection of waste/cleaning of drains in different areas and had to be directed to improve upon its performance.

(Source: ATIR 2012-13)

Appendix 4.1.11 (Refer: Paragraph-4.1.11.2; page 77)

Statement showing the mismatch of quantity of waste generated and waste collected and transported by the test checked ULBs

Period	Particulars	Chas	Deoghar	Dhanbad	Garhwa	Jamshedpur	Madhupur	Mango	Medininagar	Ranchi	Sahibganj
2011-12	Population as on 2011 (in lakh)	1.42	2.03	11.62	0.46	6.29	0.55	2.24	0.78	10.73	0.88
	Waste generated as per report (gm/capita/day)	304	304	425	255	304	255	307	255	425	255
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT)	43.06	61.75	494.05	11.75	191.22	14.09	68.77	19.99	456.21	22.49
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT) as per ULB	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Quantum of waste collection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Percent of waste collection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2012-13	Population as on 2012 (in lakh)	1.45	2.08	11.97	0.47	6.48	0.57	2.31	0.81	10.98	0.91
	Waste generated as per report (gm/capita/day)	304	304	425	255	304	255	307	255	425	255
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT)	44.05	63.17	508.87	12.10	196.99	14.51	70.83	20.59	466.70	23.17
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT) as per ULB	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Quantum of waste collection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Percent of waste collection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2013-14	Population as on 2013 (in lakh)	1.48	2.13	12.33	0.49	6.67	0.59	2.38	0.83	11.23	0.94
	Waste generated as per report (gm/capita/day)	304	304	425	255	304	255	307	255	425	255
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT)	45.06	64.62	524.14	12.46	202.77	14.94	72.96	21.20	477.43	23.86
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT) as per ULB	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Quantum of waste collection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Percent of waste collection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2014-15	Population as on 2014 (in lakh)	1.52	2.17	12.70	0.50	6.87	0.60	2.45	0.86	11.49	0.96
	Waste generated as per report (gm/capita/day)	304	304	425	255	304	255	307	255	425	255
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT)	46.10	66.11	539.86	12.83	208.85	15.39	75.15	21.84	488.41	24.58
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT) as per ULB	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Quantum of waste collection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	Percent of waste collection	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2015-16	Population as on 2015 (in lakh)	1.55	2.22	13.08	0.52	7.08	0.62	2.52	0.88	11.76	0.99
	Waste generated as per report (gm/capita/day)	304	304	425	255	304	255	307	255	425	255
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT)	47.16	68.24	556.06	13.22	215.23	15.85	77.40	22.50	580	25.32
	Qty. of waste generated (in MT) as per ULB	24.64	102.22	509.00	5.00	258.00	10.00	67.00	25.00	NA	22
	Quantum of waste collection	19.00	60.00	420.00	5.00	100.00	10.00	60.00	25.00	NA	17.60
	Percent of waste collection	77	58.70	82.51	100	38.76	100	90	100	NA	80

(Source: Manual of SWM and Data provided by test-checked ULBs)

Appendix-4.1.12 (Refer: Paragraph 4.1.12; page 80)

Statement showing requirement and position of staff for sanitation in test checked ULBs

Particulars	Chas D		Deog	Deoghar Di		nbad	Garhwa		Jamshedpur		Madhupur		Mango		Medininanagar		Ranchi		Sahibganj	
	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available
Public Health Wing																				
Public Health Officer/Environmental Officer	00	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	00	00	01	00	01	00	00	00	01	00
Asstt. Public Health Officer/Environmental Officer	01	00	00	00	02	00	00	00	02	00	01	00	01	00	00	00	02	00	00	00
Chief Sanitary Inspector	00	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
Sanitary Inspector	00	01	04	00	00	04	00	00	02	00	00	00	01	00	00	01	00	00	00	00
Sanitary Supervisor	15	01	20	01	28	20	00	03	23	04	15	03	20	01	15	19	28	26	15	09
Sweepers (one sweeper @ 500 m	250	196	964	98	466	834	118	66	288	776	122	66	276	234	507	193	953	322	652	117
length of road/drain)																				l
Total	266	198	990	99	496	858	118	69	317	780	138	69	299	235	523	213	983	348	668	126
Percentage of availability	1	74	• 1	10		173		58		246		50		79		41		35		19

(Source: Manual of SWM, UD&HD Resolution and Data provided by test-checked ULBs)

Appendix-4.1.13

(Refer: Paragraph-4.1.12; page 81)

Statement showing vehicles required and available for solid waste management in test checked ULBs

Particulars			Popul	ation les	s than on	ie lakh				Рорі	ılation or	e to five	lakh		Population between five to 10 lakh		Population between five to 20 lakh			to 20
	Ga	rhwa	Madl	hupur	Medin	inagar	Sahib	ganj	Cł	nas	Ma	ngo	Dec	ghar	Jamsh	edpur	Dhar	bad	Ran	chi
	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available	Requirement	Available												
Containerised handcrafts	150	00	150	00	150	00	150	00	750	70	750	00	750	00	1500	00	3000	00	3000	NA
Containerised tricycles	10	00	10	00	10	00	10	00	50	00	50	00	50	00	100	00	200	00	200	NA
Community bins for slums	50	00	50	00	50	00	50	00	500	00	500	00	500	00	1500	00	4000	00	4000	NA
Seamless handcraft for silt removal	20	02	20	00	20	00	20	00	100	00	100	00	100	00	200	00	400	00	400	NA
Small vehicle for direct collection of waste	00	00	00	00	00	02	00	00	04		04	17	04	01	08	06	16	02	16	NA
Closed trailers for waste storage depot	40	00	40	00	40	02	40	00	00	09	00	02	00	01	00	05	00	10	0	NA
Closed dumper placer types containers 4.5 cu.mtr. Volume	00	00	00	00	00	03	00	01	200		200	00	200	07	200	01	300	00	300	NA
Closed dumper placer containers of 7cu.mtr. Volume	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	05	00	00	00	00	140	01	333	00	333	NA
Dumper placer machine for 4.5 + 7 cu.mtr containers	00	01	00	00	00	00	00	00	15		15	00	15	00	25	00	45	00	45	NA
Containers for domestic hazardous waste	05	00	05	00	05	01	05	00	15	00	15	04	15	01	25	00	50	00	50	NA
Tractors	05	03	05	04	05	04	05	09	00	10	00	00	00	11	00	03	00	70	0	NA
4.5cu.mtr. Skips for construction Waste	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	10	00	10	04	10	00	20	00	40	00	40	NA
Skip filters	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	02	00	02	00	02	00	03	00	05	05	5	NA
Small van for hospital waste collection	02	00	02	00	02	00	02	00	03	00	03	00	03	00	05	00	10	00	10	NA
Incineration plants	02	00	02	00	02	00	02	00	02	00	02	00	02	00	02	00	03	00	3	NA
Bull dozers / Wheel dozers	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	01	02	01	01	01	00	01	00	02	15	2	NA
Total	284	06	284	04	284	12	284	10	1652	96	1652	28	1652	21	3729	16	8404	102	8404	NA
Percentage of availability		2.11		1.41		4.22		3.50		5.81		1.69		1.27		0.43		1.21		

(Source: Manual of SWM and Data provided by test-checked ULBs)

(Refer: Paragraph 5.1.3; page 87)

Fulfilment of nine conditions to be achieved by March, 2012

Condition No.	Condition	Para No. of guideline	Status of compliance
1(a).	Supplement to the budget documents for ULB.	6.4.2. (a)	Complied since 2010-11
1(b).	Implementation of an accounting frame work consistent with accounting format and codification pattern suggested in the National Municipal Accounts Manual	6.4.2. (d)	Complied. Notification No.604 dated: 8/10/2012
2.	State Government must put in place an audit system for all categories of ULBs	6.4.4	Entrustment of Technical Guidance and Support (TGS) of ULBs to CAG pursuant to 13 th FC was made effective from 31 March 2012 vide gazette notification No. 165 dated 31/03/2012. In this context, a certificate regarding adoption of Audit System in ULBs has been issued by O/o The AG (Audit), Jharkhand, Ranchi since 2012-13 onwards.
3.	Constitution of independent Local Body Ombudsman	6.4.5	Complied. Notification No.346 dated: 28/01/2014. The powers and duties of Municipal Ombudsman has been entrusted to <i>Lokayukt</i> of Jharkhand.
4.	The State Government must put in place a system of transfer of funds received from GoI	6.4.6	Though the software for electronic transfer of funds was developed by Finance Department, GoJ and the trainings was also imparted to all departments however, electronic transfer of funds to ULBs was not started till March 2016.
5.	Qualification of members of SFC	6.4.7	Complied. Notification No. 96 dated: 20/01/2004
6.	Levy of Property Tax by ULBs without hindrance	6.4.8	Complied. Municipal Property Tax (Assessment Collection and Recovery) Rules, 2013 has been notified by UDD vide Notification No.641 dated: 17/02/2014.
7.	Constitution of State Property Tax Board	6.4.9	Complied. Jharkhand Property Tax Board Constitution and Appeal Rules, 2013 has been notified by UDD vide Notification No. 1874 dated: 13/05/14.
8.	Standardising Service Level Benchmark	6.4.10	Complied. Notification No. 2697 dated: 09/08/2011
9.	Fire Hazard Response and Mitigation Plan for million plus cities	6.4.11	Fire Hazards Response and Mitigation Plan for three million plus cities Ranchi, Dhanbad and Jamshedpur has been notified by UDD, GoJ in this regard vide Notification No. 1843 dated: 09/05/2014.

(Source: Information provided by UD&HD)

Appendix-5.1.2 (Refer: Paragraph 5.1.3.1; page 87)

Financial position of test-checked ULBs for the period 2010-16

(₹ in crore)

ULB	Year	Opening			Receip	ot		Total	Expen	Closing	Percentage
		balance						available	diture	balance	of exp. with
			GBG	GPG	SBG	SPG	Total	fund			total
							receipt				available
NID	2010 11	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	fund
NP Chaibasa	2010-11 2011-12	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
Chaibasa	2011-12	0	3.15	0	0	0	3.15	3.15	0		0
	2012-13	3.15	3.15	0	0.63	0	3.13	7.03	0		0
	2013-14	7.03	1.89	0	0.03	0	1.89	8.92	0		0
	2015-16	8.92	0	0	0	0	0		0.68	8.24	8
NP	2010-11	0.52	0	0	0	0	0		0.00		0
Chatra	2011-12	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
	2012-13	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0
	2013-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2014-15	0	3.62	0	0	0	3.62	3.62	0.19	3.43	5
	2015-16	3.43	0	0	0	0	0	3.43	0.17	3.26	5
MC	2010-11	0	7.18	0	0	0	7.18	7.18	0	7.18	0
Deoghar	2011-12	7.18	0	0	0	0	0		0.02	7.16	0
	2012-13	7.16	0.99	0	0	0	0.99		0.25	7.9	3
	2013-14	7.9	3.22	0	0	0	3.22	11.12	0.64	10.48	6
	2014-15	10.48	13.2	0	0	0	13.2	23.68	7.15	16.53	30
	2015-16	16.53	0.75	0	0	0	0.75	17.28	3.35	13.93	19
MC	2010-11	0	9.36	0	0	0	9.36		0	9.36	0
Dhanbad	2011-12	9.36	0	0	0	0	0	9.36	0	9.36	0
	2012-13 2013-14	9.36 9.36	2.9	0	0	0	2.9	9.36 12.26	0	9.36 12.26	0
	2013-14	12.26	15.27	0	0	0	15.27	27.53	3.33	24.2	12
	2014-13	24.2	5.25	0	1.38	0	6.63	30.83	12.72	18.11	41
NP	2010-11	0	0	0	0	0	0.03		0	0	0
Dumka	2011-12	0	5	0	0	0	5	5	0.23	4.77	5
	2012-13	4.77	0.2	0	0	0	0.2	4.97	2.02	2.95	41
	2013-14	2.95	0.2	0	0	0	0.2	2.95	1.28		43
	2014-15	1.67	6.02	0	0	0	6.02	7.69	0	7.69	0
	2015-16	7.69*	0	0	0	0	0		0.85		11
NP	2010-11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gumla	2011-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	2012-13	0	0.54	0	0	0	0.54	0.54	0	0.54	
	2013-14	0.54	0.66		0	0	0.66				5
	2014-15	1.14	3.04								
	2015-16	4.3	0	0	0	0	0				40
NP	2010-11	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Medinina	2011-12	0	0	0	0	0	0		0		
gar	2012-13	0	0	0	0	0	0				0
	2013-14	0	0	0	0	0		_		_	0
	2014-15	0	5.2			0					0
	2015-16	5.2	0	0	0	0	0	5.2	1.72	3.48	33

ULB	Year	Opening balance		R	eceipt			Total available fund	Expen diture	Closing balance	Percentage of exp. with total
			GBG	GPG	SBG	SPG	Total receipt				available fund
MC Ranchi	2010-11	0	0.46	0	0	0	0.46	0.46	0	0.46	0
	2011-12	0.46	0	0	0	0	0	0.46	0	0.46	0
	2012-13	0.46	6.03	0	0	0	6.03	6.49	0.21	6.28	3
	2013-14	6.28	1.5	0	0	4.28	5.78	12.06	1.32	10.74	11
	2014-15	10.74		0	3.81	0	42.85	53.59	20.77	32.82	39
	2015-16	32.82	13.77**	0	2.43	0	16.2	49.02	14.75	34.27	30
NP	2010-11	0	5.61	0	0	0	5.61	5.61	5.6***	0.01	=
Sahibganj	2011-12	0.01	0	0	0	0	0	0.01	0	0.01	0
	2012-13	0.01	4.28	0	4	0	8.28	8.29	4	4.29	48
	2013-14	4.29	0.25	4	3	8	15.25	19.54	10	9.54	51
	2014-15	9.54	1.91	0	0	0.75	2.66	12.2	2.47	9.73	20
	2015-16	9.73	4	6.77	0	3.62	14.39	24.12	10.68	13.44	44
Tota	al		167.54	10.77	15.25	16.95	210.51		106.37		

^{*} In compliance of letter no. 1790 dated 22/05/2014 of Finance Department, GoJ ₹ 1.47 crore was surrendered

^{**} This includes bank interest of ₹ 0.39 crore.

^{***} Amount transferred to Drinking Water and Sanitation Department

Appendix-5.1.3 (Refer: Paragraph-5.1.3.2; Page 88)

Penal interest paid by GoJ for delay in release of fund

(₹ in crore)

Year	Instalment	Sub category of grant	Letter no. of GoI	Date of release by GoI	Amount released to GoJ	Name of ULB	Sanction letter no. of GoJ	Date	Amount released to ULBs	Delay in release	Interest due on GoJ
2011 12	1.	CDC	04/2011 12	21 M 12	by GoI	ND M. II.	2	2.14. 12	by GoJ	26	2.02
2011-12	1F	GPG	04/2011-12	31-Mar-12	305.38	NP Madhupur	3	2-May-12	305.38	26	2.03
2011-12	1	GBG	34/2011-12	30-Mar-12	2307.64	10 ULBs	41,42,43	30-Aug-12	2307.36	147	84.00
2010-11	1	SABG	01/2010-11	15-Jul-10	1750	NP Sahibganj	153	19-Mar-13	400	962	76.59
2010-11	2	SABG	29/2010-11	22-Mar-12	1750	3 ULBs	154	19-Mar-13	335.76	356	29.46
				22-Mar-12		NP Garhwa	42	11-Sep-13	31.24	532	4.09
2012-13	2	SAPG	42/2012-13	13-Mar-13	1750	NP Sahibganj	30	5-Jul-13	300	108	7.42
				13-Mar-13		NP Chirkunda	35	15-Jul-13	83.5	118	2.25
2012-13	1F	GPG	56/2012-13	30-Mar-13	574.69	NP Sahibganj	30	5-Jul-13	400	91	8.30
				30-Mar-13		NP Chirkunda	35	15-Jul-13	174.69	101	4.02
2011-12	2	SABG	40/2012-13	8-Mar-13	1750	NP Sahibganj	30	5-Jul-13	300	113	7.78
				8-Mar-13		NP Chirkunda	35	15-Jul-13	83.5	123	2.35
2012-13	1	SAPG	35/2012-13	31-Jan-13	1750	NP Sahibganj	43	11-Sep-13	500	217	26.45
2011-12	1	SABG	02/2012-13	3-May-12	1750	NP Chirkunda	43	11-Sep-13	144.04	490	17.31
2012-13	1	SABG	03/2013-14	13-May-13	1750	NP Chirkunda	43	11-Sep-13	144.04	115	4.17
2011-12	2	GBG	42/2013-14	18-Nov-13	2707.64	28 ULBs	74	6-Jan-14	2707.64	43	27.91
2013-14	1 F	SAPG	70/2013-14	31-Mar-14	881.52	16 ULBs	3	30-Apr-14	1534.1	24	0.14
2013-14	2F	SAPG	71/2013-14	31-Mar-14	586.36			_			
2012-13	1 F	SAPG	76/2013-14	31-Mar-14	792.56						
2012-13	2F	SAPG	77/2013-14	31-Mar-14	545.81						
2013-14	1	SAPG	78/2013-14	31-Mar-14	1750						
2011-12	1 F	SAPG	83/2013-14	31-Mar-14	477.86						
2012-13	1	GBG	65/2013-14	29-Mar-14	2685.55	12 ULBs	4,6 and 7	30-Apr-14	2685.55	26	17.22
2013-14	1F	GPG	109/2014-15	24-Mar-15	952.16	Two ULBs	90	9-Nov-15	952.16	224	48.15
2014-15	1	SAPG	130/2014-15	31-Mar-15	1663	NP Sahibganj	91	9-Nov-15	362	217	17.72
	I				1					t due on GoJ	387.36
								Inte		GoJ to ULBs	238.24
										paid by GoJ	149.12

(Refer: Paragraph-5.1.4.7; page 97)

Statement showing excess payment of bitumen

Name of work			•		utilised in the ved in the estima	`	Actual purc	hase cost of bitu	men utilised in	the work (2)	Total excess (1-2)
Dhanbad	Particular	Unit	VG30 Pack	VG30 Bulk	Emulsion	Total	VG30 Pack	VG30 Bulk	Emulsion	Total	
Dhanbad Sindri	Quantity	Tonne	63.23	36.04	8.24	107.51	43.51	55.76	8.24	107.51	0.00
Patherdih	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	47539.60	45850.00	-	44204.20	39372.90	42703.45	-	-
	Total cost	Amount in ₹	3304968.87	1713327.18	377804.00	5396100.05	1923324.74	2195432.90	351876.43	4470634.07	925465.98
Katras More,	Quantity	Tonne	137.93	0.00	8.85	146.78	0.00	137.93	8.85	146.78	0.00
Bata more	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	47539.60	45850.00	-	0.00	38593.70	42322.10	-	-
i	Total cost	Amount in ₹	7209463.17	0.00	405772.50	7615235.67	0.00	5323229.04	374550.59	5697779.63	1917456.04
LOYABAD	Quantity	Tonne	30.02	17.19	6.20	53.41	47.21	0.00	6.20	53.41	0.00
	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	47539.60	45850.00	-	38085.60	0.00	39315.50	-	-
	Total cost	Amount in ₹	1569115.38	817205.72	284270.00	2670591.10	1798021.18	0.00	243756.10	2041777.28	628813.83
JANTA	Quantity	Tonne	15.58	20.40	4.29	40.27	0.00	35.98	4.29	40.27	0.00
PHARMACY	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	47539.60	45850.00	-	0.00	37593.95	41465.80	-	-
	Total cost	Amount in ₹	814351.02	969807.84	196696.50	1980855.36	0.00	1352630.32	177888.28	1530518.60	450336.76
PARBADPUR	Quantity	Tonne	10.91	0.00	0.00	10.91	10.91	0.00	0.00	10.91	0.00
	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	47539.60	45850.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-
	Total cost	Amount in ₹	570254.79	0.00	0.00	570254.79	488945.80	0.00	0.00	488945.80	81308.99
Sindri	Quantity	Tonne	68.69	82.00	9.00	159.69	0.00	150.69	9.00	159.69	0.00
Ambedkar	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	47539.60	45850.00	-	0.00	37704.90	40459.70	-	-
Chawk	Total cost	Amount in ₹	3590357.61	3898247.20	412650.00	7901254.81	0.00	5681751.38	364137.30	6045888.68	1855366.13
		Bitumen	326.36	155.63	36.58	518.57	101.63	380.36	36.58	518.57	0.00
Total (A)		Cost	17058510.84	7398587.95	1677193.00	26134291.79	4210291.72	14553043.65	1512208.70	20275544.06	5858747.73
Sahibganj	Particular	Unit	VG30 Pack	SS-1	RS-I	Total	VG30 Pack	SS-1	RS-I	Total	Total excess
Hat to Awasiya	Quantity	Tonne	9.708	1.8	0.6	12.11	9.708	1.8	0.6	12.11	0.00
Vidhyalaya at	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	45850	44006	-	45696.2299	42043.3333	40390	-	-
Ward no. 7	Total cost	Amount in ₹	507427.45	82530.00	26403.60	616361.05	443619.00	75678.00	24234.00	543531.00	72830.05
West Railway	Quantity	Tonne	16.0182	0	0	16.02	16.0182	0	0	16.02	0.00
crossing phatak	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	45850	44006	-	41647.44	0	0	-	-
to Rashulpur Dahla at Ward no. 5 (Part II)	Total cost	Amount in ₹	837255.30	0.00	0.00	837255.30	667117.00	0.00	0.00	667117.00	170138.30

Sahibganj	Particular	Unit	VG30 Pack	SS-1	RS-I	Total	VG30 Pack	SS-1	RS-I	Total	Total excess
West Railway	Quantity	Tonne	10.1934	1.6	0.4	12.19	10.1934	1.6	0.4	12.19	0.00
crossing phatak	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	45850	44006	-	44373.22	41731.25	40485	-	-
to Rashulpur											
Dahla at Ward	Total cost	Amount in ₹	532798.82	73360.00	17602.40	623761.22	452314.00	66770.00	16194.00	535278.00	88483.22
no. 5 (Part I)											
Gopal road to	Quantity	Tonne	26.449	0	1.4	27.85	26.449	0	1.4	27.85	0.00
Dahla road via Rajeshwari	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	45850	44006	-	43282.73	-	39578.5714	-	-
Cinema Hall	Total cost	Amount in ₹	1382462.78	0.00	61608.40	1444071.18	1144785.00	0.00	55410.00	1200195.00	243876.18
Bata chawk to	Quantity	Tonne	10.5168	1.8	0.6	12.92	10.5168	1.8	0.6	12.92	0.00
Maharaja Chat	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	45850	44006	-	45697.08	42043.333	40391.6667	-	-
Bhandar	Total cost	Amount in ₹	549702.62	82530.00	26403.60	658636.22	480587.00	75678.00	24235.00	580500.00	78136.22
West railway	Quantity	Tonne	6.1484	0	1.4	7.55	6.1484	0	1.4	7.55	0.00
crossing to	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	45850	44006	1	41266.83	-	38022.8571	-	-
anjuman nagar	Total cost	Amount in ₹	321370.72	0.00	61608.40	382979.12	253725.00	0.00	53232.00	306957.00	76022.12
Mazhartola	Quantity	Tonne	6.3102	1.20	0.40	7.91	6.3102	1.20	0.40	7.91	0.00
Railway path to house of Maya	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	45850	44006	-	45696.17	41213.333	39202.5	-	-
Didi	Total cost	Amount in ₹	329827.84	55020.00	17602.40	402450.24	288352.00	49456.00	15681.00	353489.00	48961.24
Sardar Patel	Quantity	Tonne	0.3236	1.6	1.6	3.52	0.3236	1.6	1.6	3.52	0.00
Chawk to Badshah more	Rate of Bitumen	Per tonne	52269.00	45850	44006	-	41452.41	42541.25	38980.625	-	-
Badshan more	Total cost	Amount in ₹	16914.25	73360.00	70409.60	160683.85	13414.00	68066.00	62369.00	143849.00	16834.85
		Bitumen	85.67	8.00	6.40	100.07	85.67	8.00	6.40	100.07	0.00
Total (B)		Cost	4477759.78	366800.00	281638.40	5126198.18	3743913.00	335648.00	251355.00	4330916.00	795282.18
Grand Total	(A+B)										6654029.91

(Refer: Paragraph-5.1.4.10; page 99)

Statement showing non/short deduction of penalty

(₹ in lakh)

Sl.	Name of ULB	Number	Estimated		Penalty	
No.		of	cost	Deductible	Deducted	Non/short
		works				deduction
1	Chaibasa NP	2	34.92	3.49	Nil	3.49
2	Chatra NP	3	74.91	7.49	Nil	7.49
3	Deoghar MC	7	188.19	18.82	Nil	18.82
4	Dhanbad MC	16	934.31	93.43	Nil	93.43
5	Dumka NP	8	304.47	22.72	Nil	22.72
6	Gumla NP	2	29.52	2.92	0.19	2.73
7	Medninagar NP	2	443.17	44.32	Nil	44.32
8	Ranchi MC	4	62.73	6.27	0.95	5.32
9	Sahibganj NP	4	163.04	16.30	Nil	16.30
	Total	48	2235.26	215.76	1.14	214.62

Appendix –5.1.6 (Refer: Paragraph-5.2; page 101)

Amount of service tax not realised

(₹ in crore)

Municipal Corporations	Revenue Realised	Service Tax to be levied	Service tax Actually levied	Balance not levied	Appendix
RMC	20.53	2.54	1.15	1.39	5.1.6 (A)
DhMC	03.47	0.39	0.22	0.17	5.1.6 (B)
DMC	06.03	0.73	NIL	0.73	5.1.6 (C)
TOTAL	30.03	3.66	1.37	2.29	

Appendix-5.1.6 (A)
Service tax not/short collected by the Ranchi Municipal Corporation

5 1	**************************************	•000 00	0000 40	0010 11	0011 0010	2012 12	2012.11	004445		mount in V)
Particulars	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-2012	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	Total
	(from 1.6.07)									
Revenue realised	43,51,681	6480709	8708624	11100373	13668151	13728708	13460414	11494331	15183807	98176798
from shop rent										
Service tax due	537868	801016	896988	1143338	1407820	1696868	1663707	1420699	2201652	11769956
										(A)
Service tax realised	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	1811023	2362442	4173465
Service tax due which	537868	801016	896988	1143338	1407820	1696868	1663707	(-) 390324	(-)160790	7596491
was not realised	(12.36%)	(12.36%)	(10.30%)	(10.30%)	(10.30%)	(12.36%)	(12.36%)			(B)
Interest upto 2015-16	537868*13%*	801016*13%*7	896988*13%*6	1143338*13%*5	1407820*18%*4=	1696868*18%*3	1663707*18%*2	-	-	5260002
•	8=559383	=728925	=699651	=743170	1013630	=916308	=598935			(I)
Revenue realised	N/A ¹	5659450	4597020	4581868	5902044	13006845	21244330	20158359	32048640	107198556
from settlement of										
Bus stand/Taxi										
stand/Hat										
Bazaar/Parking										
spaces etc.										
Service tax due		699508	473493	471932	607910	1607646	2625799	2491573	4647053	13624914
										(C)
Service tax realised	N/A	Nil	456482	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	2491573	4420911	7368966
Service tax due which	-	699508	17011 ²	471932	607910	1607646	2625799	Nil	226142	6255948
was not realised		(12.36%)	(10.30%)	(10.30%)	(10.30%)	(12.36%)	(12.36%)			(D)
Interest upto 2015-16	-	699508*13%*7	17011*13%*6=	471932*13%*5=	607910*15%*4=3	1607646*18%*3	2625799*18%*2	-	-	3134740
•		=636552	13269	306756	64746^3	=868129	=945288			(II)
	•						Service tax due wh	ich was not rea	lised B+D=	13852439
									rest (I+II)=	8394742
									` /	25394870
								Service ta	x due A+C	25394

¹ N/A-Not applicable

Service Tax amounting to ₹456482/- on settlement was realised by RMC. Hence the amount due with RMC was ₹ 17011 (₹ 473493- ₹ 456482)

³ Turnover upto ₹ 60 lakh

Appendix-5.1.6 (B)
Service Tax not/short collected by Dhanbad Municipal Corporation

Particulars	2007-08 (from 01-06- 2007) (12.3 per cent)	2008-09 (12.36 per cent)	2009-10 (10.30 per cent)	2010-11 (10.30 per cent)	2011-12 (10.30 per cent)	2012-13 (12.36 per cent)	2013-14 (12.36 per cent)	2014-15 (12.36 per cent)	2015-16	Total
Revenue realised from shop rent	712139	960938	667648	1634866	614182	1683571	1528995	2955292	6095510	16853141
Service tax due				168391		208089	188984	365274	914326	1845064 (A)
Service tax realised	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	117408	452308	581083	1150799
Service tax due which was not realised	NA	NA	NA	168391	NA	208089	71576 ⁴	(-) 87034	333243 ⁵	694265 (B)
Interest upto 2015- 16	-	-	-	168391*13%*5 =109454	-	208089*15%*3 =93640	71576*15%*2 =21473	-	-	224567 (I)
Revenue realised from settlement of Bus stand/Taxi stand/Hat Bazaar/Parking spaces etc.	NA	960826	869711	643685 (13%)	2073510	2242300 (15%)	2882100 (15%)	3078200	5180052	17930384
Service tax due					213572	277148	356228	380466	777008	2004422 (C)
Service tax realised	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	377326	649087	1026413
Service tax due which was not realised	NA	NA	NA	NA	213572	277148	356228	3140 ⁶	127921 ⁷	978009 (D)
Interest upto 2015- 16	-	-	-	-	213572*15%*4 =128143	277148*15%*3 =124717	356228*15%*2 =106868	3140*15%*1=4 71	-	360199 (II)
							Service tax du	ue which was not re		1672274
									erest(I+II) =	584766
								Service t	ax due A+C	3849486

⁴ Against due amount of₹ 188984, ₹ 117408 has been realised for year 2013-14.

Against due amount of service tax of ₹ 914326, ₹ 581083 had been realised for year 2015-16.

Against due amount of service tax of ₹380466, ₹ 377326 had been realised for year 2014-15.

⁷. Against due amount of service tax of ₹777008, ₹ 649087 had been realised for year 2015-16

Appendix-5.1.6 (C) Service Tax not/short collected by Deoghar Municipal Corporation

									(71)	iount in <)
Particulars	2007-08 (from 01-06-2007) (12.36 per cent)	2008-09 (12.36 per cent)	2009-10 (10.30 per cent)	2010-11 (10.30 per cent)	2011-12 (10.30 per cent)	2012-13 (12.36 per cent)	2013-14 (12.36 per cent)	2014-15	2015-16	Total
Revenue realised from shop rent	267099	639302	832872	439651	901772	1004660	852534	606455	1101573	6645918
Service tax due						124176			159728	283904 (A)
Service tax realised	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Service tax due which was not realised	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	124176	NA	NA	159728 ⁸	283904 (B)
Interest upto 2015-16	-	-	-	-	-	124176*15%* 3=55879	-	-	-	55879 (I)
Revenue realised from settlement of bus stand/taxi stand/haat bazaar/parking space etc.	161101	162151	362701	3556812	3979242	4453928	5002851	8656200	27402303	53737289
Service tax due				366352	409862	550506	618352	1069906	3973334	6988312 (C)
Service tax realised	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Service tax due which was not realised	NA	NA	NA	366352	409862	550506	618352	1069906	3973334	6988312 (D)
Interest upto 2015-16	-	-	-	366352*13%*5=	409862*15%*4=	550506*15%*	618352*15%	1069906*	-	1109863
-				238129	245917	3=247728	*2=185506	18%*1=1 92583		(II)
	·	<u>'</u>		·	·	Servi	ce tax due whicl	n was not rea	lised B+D=	7272216
								Inter	rest (I+II) =	1165742
								Service ta	ax due A+C	7272216

⁸ Service tax calculated @14.5 percent for whole year.

Appendix 5.1.7 (Refer: Paragraph-5.3; page 102)

Statement showing amount of Labour Cess deductible @ 1 per cent of the construction cost

Sl. No.	Name of the fund	No of building plans sanctioned	Area (Square Feet)	Construction cost (Area X ₹ 800) (₹)	Amount of Labour Cess deductible (1 per cent of construction cost) (₹)	Amount of Labour Cess realised (₹)	Outstanding amount of Labour Cess (₹)	Cost of collection of ULBs @ 1 per cent of Labour Cess (₹)
1	Municipal	453	1630605.154	1304484123.2	13044841.23	742838	12302003	123020
	Corporation,							
	Chas							
2	Nagar	86	228311.716	182649373	1826493.73	Nil	1826494	18265
	Panchayat,							
	Jamtara							
Total		539	1858916.87	1487133496.2	14871334.96	742838	14128497	141285

(Refer: Paragraph-5.4; page 103)

Statement showing loss of interest

Sl.	Date of	Amount	Period	No. of	Rate of interest 4 per cent	Amount of
No.	deposit	deposited		days	(year 2014-15)	interest
1	29/08/14	25000000.00	29/08/14 to	212	25000000x4/100x212/365	580821.92
			30/03/15			
2	24/09/14	30000000.00	24/09/14 to	186	30000000x4/100x186/365	611506.85
			30/03/15			
3	18/11/14	197898841.00	18/11/14 to	131	197898841x4/100x131/365	2841068.29
			30/03/15			
,	Total	252898841.00				4033397.06
					r/o	4033397