

Annexure – I

(Para 1.7)

Budgeted Estimates, Revised Estimates and Actual Expenditure for the last five years ended 2013-14

(₹ in crore)

Head of expenditure	2009-10			2010-11			2011-12			2012-13			2013-14		
	BE	RE	Actual	BE	RE	Actual	BE	RE	Actual	BE	RE	Actual	BE	RE	Actual
Survey	489.28	462.36	359.00	303.72	284.10	286.00	314.33	308.55	341.00	335.11	548.97	477.00	483.89	487.59	511.00
Exploratory Drilling	444.98	745.55	456.00	945.62	602.14	521.00	1068.98	706.68	652.00	1337.45	785.38	737.00	1097.67	642.26	440.00
Development Drilling	497.07	490.36	381.00	509.03	352.59	397.00	502.82	391.66	506.00	568.66	412.38	381.00	591.91	652.87	846.00
Capital Equipment & Facilities	414.00	400.00	264.00	425.00	374.50	266.00	484.06	460.00	383.00	538.50	774.96	806.00	619.67	526.00	620.00
Overseas Projects	430.97	277.07	89.00	251.61	311.08	154.00	144.46	108.50	15.00	588.57	499.66	136.00	291.82	192.90	196.00
Joint Venture/ Equity Investment	0.00	0.00	8.00	2030.00	2288.58	119.00	665.68	287.29	153.00	10.00	48.17	353.00	496.02	7937.31	6738.00
Total	2276.30	2375.34	1557.00	4464.98	4212.99	1743.00	3180.33	2262.68	2050.00	3378.29	3069.52	2890.00	3580.98	10438.93	9351.00

Annexure - II

Statement indicating time taken for Acquisition and processing by Geophysics Department and Interpretation by Geological & Reservoir Department

(Para 4.2.1)

Sl. No.	b	Geophysics Department							Time gap between Geophys and G&R Deptt	Geological & Reservoir Department			Total days taken for API as on November,2014	
		Time taken for acquisition			Time gap between acquisition and	Time taken for processing				Time taken for Interpretation				
		From	To	Days		From	To	Days		From	To	Total (In days)		
1	Rajgarh Regional Lines (2D)	01/04/2009	30/05/2009	60		Under processing				Data Processing in progress			2069	
2	Deohal-Makum (2D-3C)	21/11/2009	03/02/2010	76	-	Processing & Interpretation was completed by ION-GXT (Jan, 08/04/2011 to 19/05/2011 10/09/2010 23/12/2010)			939	01.09.2012	31.01.2013	153	1168
3	Jagun-Digboi (2D)	17/12/2009	10/06/2010	176	105 90				147	Under jurisdiction of NEF Project			1804	
4	Digboi-Pengree (2D)	08/12/2010	16/03/2011	99	261	02/12/2011 to 26/04/2011			146	Data interpretation has not yet started			1815	
5	Sonari (2D Experimental)	14/03/2011	28/03/2011	15	-	Analysis completed in April, 2011			32	Data acquired/ processed as experimental data only. Not available for interpretation			1309	
6	Santi-Jaipur (2D)	03/05/2011	20/05/2011	237	-	01/07/2012	31/03/2014	639		-	-	Data to be interpreted when study of area is taken up.	1116	
7	Santi-Jaipur-Namrup (2D)	19/12/2011	27/05/2012		-				-	-				
8	Namrup-Borhat-Sapekhathi (2D)	10/12/2012	10/01/2013		-				-	-				
9	Namrup-Borhat-Sapekhathi (2D)	26/04/2013	21/05/2013		-				-	-				
10	Teok (2D)	26/04/2013	17/05/2014	387		Processing of four no. of lines are completed. Rest of the lines are under progress			Under process	Data processing not yet completed. Interpretation to commence after receipt of complete processed data.			581	
11	Haldibari-Dikharipathar (3D)	01/04/2008	15/03/2009	349	25	10/04/2009	25/03/2010	351		01.02.2007	17.08.2007	198	923	
12	Moran (Pilot 2D-3C)	16/03/2009	29/03/2009	14	-	Processing & Interpretation was completed by ION-GXT (Jan, 10/04/2009 to 25/03/2010)			-	1250	01.09.2012	31.01.2013	153	1417
13	Haldibari-Dikharipathar (3D)	01/04/2009	24/05/2009	54	0				350	730	01.04.2012	30.11.2012	244	1378
14	Namsai (3D)	14/12/2009	30/03/2010	107	464	08/07/2011 to 24/11/2011			140	Under jurisdiction of of NEF Project			1700	
15	Deohal (Pilot 3D-3C)	05/03/2010	30/05/2010	87		Processing & Interpretation was completed by ION-GXT (Jan, 07/05/2010 to 07/06/2010)			1023	822	01.09.2012	31.01.2013	153	1062
16	Santi-Tarajan (3D)	07/05/2010	07/06/2010	32		Processed with Naharkatiya 3D Block i.e. 28.03.2013 (compl. dt)			135	135	10.08.2013	26.11.2013	109	1299
17	Sonari (3D)	15/12/2010	13/03/2011	89	229	28/10/2011	08/03/2012	132	974	07.11.2014	-	Study in progress	1447	
18	Diroi-Dipling (3D)	25/04/2011	25/05/2011	31	310	30/03/2012	24/05/2012	55	897	07.11.2014	-	Study in progress	1316	
19	Naharkatiya (3D)	26/12/2011	27/05/2012	154	0	31/05/2012	28/03/2013	302	135	10.08.2013	26.11.2013	109	699	
20	Teok (3D)	27/12/2012	08/04/2013	103	0	01/04/2013	31/09/2013	183	0	01.10.2013	04.04.2014	186	472	
21	Sadiya (3D)	03/01/2014	-----			Acquisition is under Progress				Data Processing not completed. Interpretation			330	
22	Sologuri-Borbam (Contract 3D)	-----	03/04/2009	-	Acquisition & Processing Contract was completed on 08/04/2010			370	1362	01.01.2014	30.09.2014	273	2005
23	Digboi-Margherita (Contract 3D)	14/12/2009	-----	...	-	Acquisition & Processing Contract was completed on 11/02/2011			425	292	01.12.2012	28.08.2013	271	988

Annexure III

(Para 5.2)

Contracts for Procurement of own rigs

Sl. No.	Tender/Contract No.	Brief Audit findings	Audit observation	OIL's response (April 2015)	Remarks, if any
1	SDG9009P11/07, 7950293 dated 23.02.2007, 7950293 (Amendment no 2 dated 23.08.2007)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Delay in processing in tender • All the three POs for procurement of rigs awarded to a supplier (i.e CPTDC) during the period from 2006-07 to 2013-14 depriving OIL from obtaining competitive rates as well as assured supply of spares. • Deviation from BRC criteria 	Inordinate delay in procurement of drilling /workover rigs led to higher dependence on chartered hire rig. Management also changed the specification of rig after placing of purchase order, which in view of audit led to lack of transparency in the bidding procedure and undue advantage was extended to the supplier.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No schedule of program for awarding of contract was in place previously which is now being incorporated. Further OIL has prepared a time frame for tender finalization for approval of the Competent Authority. • No response from OIL • To Audit observation for supply of one 750 HP rig in 2008, OIL amended make/specification of rig, deviating from BRC criteria. OIL's comment is that the technical specifications were modified to some extent without compromising with BRC. • Rigs are purchased as a replacement of retired rigs. However deployment of both in house and hired rigs are optimised to balance the drilling performance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OIL accepted the contention of Audit. • No response from OIL • The reply of OIL is not tenable. Providing equal opportunity to other bidder has not been adhered to. This is also in contravention of CVC guidelines (July 2007).

Annexure IV

(Para 5.2)

Contracts for chartered hire of rigs

Sl. No.	Tender/Contract No.	Brief Audit findings	Audit Observation	OIL's response (April 2015)	Remarks, if any
1	OIL/CCO/DRLG/GLOBAL/141/2006, CONT/GL/DRLG/259/10, OIL/CCO/DRLG/GLOBAL/165/2007, OIL/CCO/DRLG/GLOBAL/204/2007, CONT/GL/DRLG/307/13, OIL/CCO/DRLG/GLOBAL/166/2007, OIL/CDG4167/DRLG/12 and CONT/GL/DRLG/288/12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> no norm has been fixed and incorporated in the contract manual towards permissible rig mobilization time; Excess time taken for rig mobilization. OIL incorporated different LD rate in the chartered hire rig contracts as it applied 15 per cent in three cases and 7.5 per cent in four cases 	In absence of norms OIL failed to monitor the time schedule for finalization of contract.	<p>The fixed mobilization period mentioned in the tender is applicable to all the bidders irrespective of location of their offered Rigs. However, the possibility of putting mobilization period with respect to location of bidder's offered available rig shall be explored for future tenders.</p> <p>OIL further stated that in three contracts, the contracts were entered prior to 2009 (i.e. prior to introduction of contract manual) and higher rate of LD (Maximum 15 per cent instead of 7.5 per cent) was incorporated in the contract as per the advice of the Board. However, in four contracts, as the contracts were finalised after introduction of contract manual maximum ceiling of 7.5 per cent LD was followed.</p>	Audit has looked into contract management of OIL in respect of chartered hire rigs and found certain systemic deficiencies per se. In respect of reduction in the rate of Liquidated Damage from 15 per cent to 7.5 per cent OIL's reply is not tenable since the reduction has not been approved by the BOD and is also against the interest of the Company.
2	OIL/CDG4167/DRLG/12 and CONT/GL/DRLG/307/13	Avoidable time allowed for rig mobilization in two replacement contracts.	Excess time given for rig mobilization.	In respect of two replacement contracts awarded to same party OIL stated that though mobilization period was allowed 180 days and 210 days, the contractor completed mobilization in 41 days after the rig release from the previous location.	Two replacement contract awarded to same party, the replies of the management itself proved that excess mobilization time was allowed in the contracts as actual time taken was much less than the time allowed. Thus, there was a scope to reduce the schedule

				Similarly in other contract, the actual mobilization time taken was in 39 and 63 days respectively.	mobilization period to avoid allowance of unnecessary mobilization time to the contractor to ensure timely completion of exploration activities within the timeframe stipulated in the PSC. Therefore a suitable clause should be included in the contract to fix reasonable mobilization period mutually agreed upon based on the distance and related factors in case the contract is awarded to the existing contractor.
3	OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/141/20 06, OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/165/20 07, OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/ 204/2007 and OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/166/20 07	OIL failed to finalize the replacement contracts for chartered hire of drilling rigs prior to expiry of the existing contracts as a result OIL had extended the contract period for two years though the relevant clause of the contract allowed extension upto one year only	Since rigs were working in OIL's operational areas in the close vicinity and the contracts were awarded to the same party as a replacement contract, the time allowed for mobilization was avoidable.	Accepted the observation	
4	CONT/GL/DRLG /259/10, OIL/CDG4167/D RLG/12 and CONT/GL/DRLG /288/12	OIL took excess time (more than two years) to issue LOA from the date of issue of purchase requisition to finalize the replacement of chartered hire rig contract.	OIL forced to extend earlier contracts in contravention to the provisions of the contractual terms and conditions;	Subsequent to issue of LOAs, the party was seeking extension after extension.	Reply of OIL is not pertinent to the audit observation

5	OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/ 204/2008	Loss of opportunity to utilize the rig which was kept in abeyance for a period of 130 days due to inept decision making.	Idling of rigs	No response	
6	OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/166/20 07 and OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/165/20 07	OIL lost 113 days in aggregate due to delay in renewal of contract resulting in idling of rigs for periods ranging from 24 to 61 days.	Delay in renewal of contracts.	Accepted	
7	OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/ 204/2008	OIL's delay on account of change of location etc led to refund of 2.62 crore to the contractor recovered earlier for delay of 43 days in mobilization of rig.	Delay in making location available.	Accepted	
8	OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/166/20 07 and OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/141/20 06	Since OIL could recover LD only upto 210 days in two contracts where delay ranged between 309 to 368 days, the interest of OIL could not be safeguarded.	Faulty clause in the contract	Accepted	
9	OIL/CCO/DR LG/GLOBAL/ 141/2006 and OIL/CCO/DR LG/GLOBAL/ 144/2006	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For chartered hire of one 1400 HP (Min) drilling rig awarded to Jaybee Energy Private Limited, the make of the rig was changed by the contractor from what was originally offered., For two chartered hire of workover rigs awarded to Shiv-vani Oil & Gas Exploration Services 	OIL allowed the contractor to change the specification of the rigs after finalization of contract.	The decision to accept change in Rig model/ specification was taken by OIL as the same was technically acceptable. Further, in one case the rig supplier was changed due to urgency of rig to meet the enhanced drilling programme and other case the rig model with higher capacity was changed due to operational exigency.	OIL's reply is not tenable as this is against the CVC guidelines on transparency in tendering process.

		Limited, the specification of one rig was allowed to be changed from 600 HP to 750 HP alongwith change in Model Number.			
10	OIL/CCO/DRLG/ GLOBAL/166/20 07	OIL paid M/s Shiv-Vani Oil and Gas Exploration Services Limited, New Delhi dues at previous contract rate, which was higher than the new contract rate, though contractor agreed and confirmed (January 2012) to accept lower rates out of the existing contract rates.	Violation of contractual clause led to payment of excess amount of Rs.5.18 crore to the contractor	Accepted and recovery action initiated.	
11	OIL/CDG2531/D RLG/12 and CDG9056P13	OIL opened price bid for chartered hire of one 1400 HP drilling rig in September 2013. OIL decided (September 2014) to cancel the tender, after a lapse of one year from opening of price bid without issuing LOA to the L1 bidder (PLU) due to non performance of the bidder in another contract. Due to delay of one year in decision making (to cancel the tender) OIL could not fulfill the requirement of rigs which was extremely urgent to meet the target. OIL also lost the opportunity to impose penal provision on the contractor for non mobilization of rig due to non issuance of LOA.	Delay in decision making	Entering into another contract for the same service with defaulted party who could not mobilise the rig against the last contract would have landed OIL into similar situation. Accordingly, it was decided to cancel the second tender.	Fact remains that OIL lost precious time and unable to penalise as no LOA was issued.

Annexure – V
(Para 6.1.2)

Relinquishment of PEL Blocks under Nomination Regime from 2009-10 to 2013-14

SL No.	Name of PEL	Date of Original Grant	Original Area (Sq. Km)	Area relinquished/Conversion into ML (Sq. Km)		Date of Area Relinquished/Conversion into ML	Work done up to Relinquishment	Current Status	Expenses Incurred (₹ in crore)
1.	Margherita	10.11.1987	750	Transferred to JV	382	1993-94	Wells Jagun-1 and Toklong-1 drilled & completed in the year 1998.	Relinquished	14.46
				Area relinquished during first re-grant	92	01.04.02			
				Area relinquished during Extension	92	01.04.06			
				Final relinquishment	184	31.03.09			
2.	Dumduma Extension (NF-F), Blocks B+C	01.08.1985	395	Area converted to ML	218	2001-02	Well Umatar-1 was drilled and completed in 2009.	Relinquished	36.57
				Area relinquished during first re-grant	44	01.08.03			
				Area converted to Sapkaint ML	105	2010-11			
				Final relinquishment	28	31.07.2009			
3.	Dumduma Extension (F), Block C	10.11.1987	38	Area relinquished during first re-grant	9.5	November 1987	Well North Duarmara-1 was drilled in 2009	Relinquished	
						01.04.02			
				Area relinquished during second re-grant	9.5	01.04.06			
			Final relinquishment	19	05.11.2010				
4.	Dirak	18.11.1995	170	Area relinquished during first re-grant	42.5	18.11.01	Well: Phillobari-1 was drilled and completed in 2011.	Relinquished	27.97
				Area relinquished during second re-grant	42.5	18.11.05			
				Final relinquishment	85	05.04.2011			
5.	Murkongselek (NF)	25.12.1986	1307	Area relinquished first re-grant	327	01.04.02	Well: Murkongselek-1 was drilled and completed in 2012.	Relinquished	16.29
				Area relinquished during second re-grant	327	01.04.06			
				Area relinquished during third	204	01.04.07			

				re-grant					
				Final relinquishment	449	05.04.2012			
6.	Murkongselek(F)	15.11.1987	191	Area relinquished first re-grant	48	01.04.02	Well: Murkongselek-2 was drilled and completed in 2013.	Relinquished	112.16
				Area relinquished during second re-grant	48	01.04.06			
				Final relinquishment	95	17.07.2013			
7.	Borhat	01.04.1988	222	Area relinquished first re-grant	55.5	01.04.02	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Well Balimara-2 was completed in 2012 & well Baruanagar-3 was completed in 2013. 81 Sq. Km area was converted to PML. 	Relinquished	86.62
				Area relinquished during second re-grant	55.5	01.04.09			
				Area converted to ML	81	13.08.2013			
				Final relinquishment	30	14.08.2013			
8.	Dibrugarh	Nov 1987	1230	Conversion to ML	186	21.01.1998	Part of the relinquished area was interpreted as part of an integrated study.		163.87
				Conversion to Chabua ML	189	12.06.2002			
				1 st relinquishment	214	2002-03			
				2 nd relinquishment	214	2005-06			
				Extension	427	Upto 14.02.2015			
9.	Tinsukia	15.11.1987	1665	Conversion to Tinsukia ML	250	07.12.2001	Part of the relinquished area was re-interpreted alongwith adjoining areas.	Extension applied for.	136.49
				1 st relinquishment	257	2002-03			
				Conversion to Baghjan ML	75	14.05.2003			
				Conversion to TinsukiaExtn. PML	185	17.05.2003			
				Conversion to Mechaki ML	195	19.05.2003			
				2 nd relinquishment	223	2005-06			
				Conversion to MechakiExtn. ML	9	06.07.2013			
				Extension	471	Upto 01.12.2014			
10.	Jairampur PEL	28.10.1987	170	Area relinquished first re-grant	146	July, 1999.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acquisition of 9 GLKM of 2D seismic data. Drilled one (1) well 	Surrendered	4.40
				Area relinquished during second re-grant	6	01.04.2002			

11.	Jairampur Ext. PEL	01.05.1990	185	Area relinquished first re-grant	154	July, 1999.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Block valid till 03.03.2019. API of 75 GLKM of 2D seismic data. Released one Exploratory drilling location (Loc. JRB) 	Action initiated to drill Loc. JRB	Merged with Jairampur PEL
				Area relinquished during second re-grant	7.75	01.04.2002			
12.	Namchik PEL (Kharsang-Shonking& adjoining areas)	30.04.1999	260	Area relinquished first re-grant	65	13.03.2002	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Block valid till 24.09.2020 Acquisition of 69 GLKM 2D data. PI of 30 GLKM of 2D seismic data during 2003-2007. Acquisition and processing of 170 GLKM of 2D seismic data. Interpretation is in progress. 	Action initiated to drill Loc. NCK-1	24.33
13.	Namsai PEL	25.11.1992	494	Area relinquished first re-grant	124	17.08.2004	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> API of 354 GLKM of 2D seismic data. API of 210 Sq. Km of 3D seismic data covering Kumchai, Kherem&Namsai area. Drilled one (1) exploratory well.(Loc NSA) 	Surrendered	43.82
14.	Deomali PEL	18.02.1999	365.16	(i) JVC block (AAP-ON-90/1)	213.83	24.05.2005	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Geological Mapping API of 70 GLKM of 2D seismic data. Release of one (1) exploratory drilling location. Geochemical analysis of 96 nos. of samples. 	Applied for 5th & 6th year extension as well as 211 days extension under statutory delay	5.20
				(ii) Deomali PEL	151.33				
				Relinquished 25% area of 151.33 Sq. Km	37.83				
15.	Sadiya	18.11.1995	1130	1st Four Year Regrant (18.11.01): 282.5 Sq. Km One Year Extn. (18.11.05) Final relinquished	282.5	17.11.2006 31.03.09	(i) 2D Seismic API (ii) Ground GM & MT Survey	Relinquished	Nil
16.	Lakhimpur	20.12.1995	4200	1st Four Year Regrant (20.12.01): 1050 Sq. Km One Year Extn. (19.12.05) Final relinquished	1050	19.12.2006 31.03.09	(i) Four wells drilled. No commercial discovery. (ii) 2D Seismic API	Relinquished	Nil

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Annexure – VI

(Para 6.2.1)

OIL Performance under NELP Regime

NELP Round	Block Offered	Bid Submitted				Block Awarded				Relinquished	Operational
		Deep Water	Shallow Water	Onland	Total	Deep Water	Shallow Water	Onland	Total		
		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
I	48	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	2	2	0
II	25	2	0	2	4	2	0	2	4	3	1
III	27	1	0	2	3	1	0	2	3	2	1
IV	24	2	0	4	6	2	0	3	5	3	2
V	20	1	1	5	7	0	0	1	1	1	0
VI	55	2	0	6	8	2	0	6	8	2	6
VII	57	1	0	5	6	1	0	3	4	0	4
VIII	70	5	4	5	14	5	2	2	9	0	9
IX	34	6	5	6	17	0	2	2	4	0	4
Total	360	21	11	35	67	14	5	21	40	13	27

**Annexure – VII
(Para 6.2.3)**

Statement showing the avoidable payment of liquidated damages in NELP Blocks

SI No	Name of the block	Extension period	Date of relinquishment	Liquidated damages (₹ in crore)	Audit remarks
1	MN-ONN-2000/1	24.04.2005 to 23.10.2005 (Phase – I) 24.04.07 to 23.10.08 (Phase – II)	06.01.2009	6.15	As per the work programme, approval of existing 2D data, re-processing of 500 GLK of seismic data and API of 200 GLK and prospect generation, techno-economic analysis and decision for phase-II has to be completed by July 2004, however, due to delay in completion of committed MWP, the Operator forced to enter Phase-II though all three prospects of the block were not economically viable as per the interpretation report of Fagru Robertson. However, OIL did not drill the two committed wells in its exploration period and availed of extension by paying LD. Subsequently, the block was relinquished in January 2009.
2	RJ-ONN-2000/1	18.01.2005 to 17.07.2005 (Phase – I) 18.07. 2007 to 21.11. 2007 (Phase – II)	09.02.2010	--	Details in para no. 6.2.3.1 (ii)
3	RJ-ONN-2001/1	23.07.2006 to 22.07.2007 (Phase – I)	10.10.2009	2.32	API was completed in January 2005 and in the OC meeting held on 22/23-12-2005 two locations, viz, Location B (Sekhra) & Location C (Lunkha) were released, after a delay of one year. Further, due to delay in finalization of rig day rates well at Location B (Sekhra) was drilled on 31-05-2006, after delay

				<p>of more than five months. Similarly, due to delay in finalization of 3rd location, well at Location C (Lunkha) was drilled on 10-01-2007, after a delay of more than two years from completion of 2D and 3D API and more than 12 months delay from release of location for drilling by OC. Thus, due to delay in decision making by OC and delay in finalization of rig day rates and delay in finalization of third location, the Operator was forced to seek extension with consequential payment of LD.</p> <p>Though the OIL was aware of the MWP in Phase-II (3D API of 100 sq km along with one exploratory well), the contract for 3D API could not be finalized within the stipulated time and as a result to complete the committed MWP in Phase- II period two extensions had to be taken with consequential payment of provisional LD amounting to Rs.1.69/- crore which could have been avoided had the contract for 3D API was finalized in time.</p> <p>OIL had not sustained their own committed time frame due to improper planning, lack of coordination, delay in finalization of rig day rate and delay in finalization of drilling locations resulting in payment of LD.</p>
--	--	--	--	--

--	--	--	--	--	--

4	RJ-ONN-2002/1	23.06.2009 to 21.12.2009 Phase – II)	28.12.2009	5.12	<p>In the Phase-I, it was decided to carry out interpretation of 1200 GLKM of 2D data in –house and accordingly data was loaded into the workstation at New Delhi during October 2005. It is pertinent to mention that although Geosciences Department had intimated in April 2005 that many of the projects which were of a priority nature were in line for interpretation job and it would not be possible to interpret the data of Block RJ-ONN-2002/1 still the data was loaded into the workstation. In the finalisation of contract for interpretation job a valuable period of 16 months (September 2005 January 2007) was lost in this process. Thus due to lack of coordination a considerable delay of 16 months had to be suffered by the Consortium for interpretation of the data.</p> <p>As a result of delay in interpretation of 2 D seismic data, the MWP committed in Phase-I was not completed and the Phase-I period had to be extended by 6 months in the process curtailing Phase-II period by 6 months. The scope of work was also increased in Phase-II as the OC committed AP of 600 LKM of 2D Seismic Data in 3.0 X 3.0 Km grid over the Rajsar Lead-1 and east of Lead-1 in addition to the MWP committed in the PSC. Moreover, the fact that the entire period (2 years) of the Phase-II (including extended period) was spent in 2D A+P tender finalisation; indicating inadequate planning.</p>
---	---------------	---	-------------------	-------------	--

5	AA-ONN-2003/3	30.11.2009 to 29.05.2010 (Phase – I)	29.05.2010	19.79	Details in para 4.3. (ii)
6	RJ-ONN-2004/3	--	20.01.2012	22.93	As against the MWP requirement of drilling eight wells during 1st exploration phase, parties to JOA had drilled only two exploratory wells i.e. Rachan-1 & Madasar-1. It has been noted that M/s GGR in its capacity as partner and technical arm to the Consortium had time and again insisted on improving the operational efficiency of the project and had also expressed its concern on the slow progress of the project work in the block which would eventually result in unnecessary payment of LD for non-fulfilment of MWP commitment.
7	AA-ONN-2004/1	28.06.11 to 27.12.2011 (Phase – I)	27.12.2011	12.32	Details in para no. 6.2.3.1 (i)
	Total			68.63	

Annexure – VIII

(Para 7.1.1)

Physical performance for the last five years ended v 2013-14

Physical Performance																
		2009-10			2010-11			2011-12			2012-13			2013-14		
		Plan	Actual	(Shortfall)/ Excess	Plan	Actual	(Shortfall)/ Excess	Plan	Actual	(Shortfall)/ Excess	Plan	Actual	(Shortfall)/ Excess	Plan	Actual	(Shortfall)/ Excess
Survey	2D (LKM)	1715	1307.87	(407.13)	1182	1149.45	(32.55)	1316.8	1396.91	80.11	500	223.77	(276.23)	490	499.24	9.24
	3D (Sq. Km)	1002	984.29	(17.71)	661.36	618.62	(42.74)	1767	1837.69	70.69	1925	1795.22	(129.78)	718	928.48	210.48
No. of Exploratory Wells		20	16	(4)	20	13	(7)	24	16	(8)	25	19	(6)	17	9	(8)