

Appendices

Appendix 2.1

(Refer paragraph 2.1.8.4); page 24)

Statement showing shortage of Teachers in Primary Schools

District	Enrolment Range	No of PS	No. of teachers required	No of teachers Posted	Shortage	Enrolment	PTR
1	2	3	4	5	6(4-5)	7	8(7/4)
Enrolment upto 120							
Alwar	1-60	1147	2294	2257	37		
	61-90	302	906	746	160	26856	36:1
	91-120	152	608	434	174	18228	42:1
	Sub-total	1601	3808	3437	371		
Bhilwara	1-60	1612	3224	2710	514		
	61-90	325	975	718	257	23452	33:1
	91-120	103	412	281	131	10561	38:1
	Sub-total	2040	4611	3709	902		
Jhalawar	1-60	809	1618	1486	132		
	61-90	188	564	470	94	13621	29:1
	91-120	75	300	264	36	7725	29:1
	Sub-total	1072	2482	2220	262		
Jodhpur	1-60	2005	4010	3725	285		
	61-90	346	1038	875	163	25122	29:1
	91-120	147	588	441	147	15232	35:1
	Sub-total	2498	5636	5041	595		
Karauli	1-60	881	1762	1666	96		
	61-90	148	444	376	68	10816	29:1
	91-120	65	260	204	56	6696	33:1
	Sub-total	1094	2466	2246	220		
Sikar	1-60	1262	2524	2476	48		
	61-90	137	411	403	8	10100	25:1
	91-120	50	200	192	8	5100	27:1
	Sub-total	1449	3135	3071	64		

District	Enrolment Range	No of PS	No. of teachers required	No of teachers Posted	Shortage	Enrolment	PTR
1	2	3	4	5	6(4-5)	7	8(7/4)
Tonk	1-60	905	1810	1775	35		
	61-90	124	372	322	50	8880	28:1
	91-120	26	104	88	16	2733	31:1
	Sub-total	1055	2286	2185	101		
Enrolment above 120							
Alwar	121-200	151	755	523	232	25252	48:1
	200& above	19	114	82	32	4264	52:1
	Sub-total	170	869	605	264		
Bhilwara	121-200	67	335	230	105	9866	43:1
	Sub-total	67	335	230	105		
Jhalawar	121-200	60	300	225	75	8924	40:1
	200 & above	9	54	26	28	2136	82:1
	Sub-total	69	354	251	103		
Jodhpur	121-200	98	490	356	134	14879	42:1
	200 & above	13	78	51	27	2936	58:1
	Sub-total	111	568	407	161		
Karauli	121-200	47	235	197	38	3587	43:1
	Sub-total	47	235	197	38		
Sikar	121-200	28	140	138	2	4055	29:1
	200 & above	2	12	7	5	456	65:1
	Sub-total	30	152	145	07		
Tonk	121-200	14	70	61	9	2040	33:1
	Sub-total	14	70	61	09		
	Grand Total	11,317	27,007	23,805	3,202		

Source: Format 1.4(i) of DPCs

Note : Teachers posted in Jhunjhunu district and UPS were as per norms.

Appendix 2.2

(Refer paragraph 2.2.8; page 37)

Statement showing details of physical and financial shortfall in procurement of various components during the year 2009-14

S. No.	Components	Year	Items approved by GoI		Procurement		Shortfall		Percentage shortfall	
			No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	Physical	Financial
1	Mobility (Vehicle)	2009-10	414	16.28	314	11.83	100	4.45	24	27
		2010-11	588	24.25	484	16.43	104	7.82	18	32
		2011-12	506	19.96	341	17.49	165	2.47	33	12
		2012-13	702	40.53	22	1.21	680	39.32	97	97
		2013-14	420	19.89	42	3.37	378	16.52	90	83
2	Weapons	2009-10	9407	23.17	103	0.46	9304	22.71	99	98
		2010-11	1450	5.36	1133	3.96	317	1.40	22	26
		2011-12	1664	5.43	1373	9.60	291	(-4.17)	17	(-77)
		2012-13	1450	4.09	348	1.71	1102	2.38	76	58
		2013-14	1913	6.39	1005	4.09	908	2.30	47	36
3	Communication equipment	2009-10	1908	2.38	771	1.12	1137	1.26	60	53
		2010-11	1081	2.98	1946	5.45	(-865)	(-2.47)	(-80)	(-83)
		2011-12	1	1.50	0	0	1	1.50	100	100
		2012-13	1576	7.83	502	2.17	1074	5.66	68	72
		2013-14	464	2.82	0	0	464	2.82	100	100
4	FSL equipment	2009-10	385	2.95	154	1.02	231	1.93	60	65
		2010-11	66	2.12	0	0	66	2.12	100	100
		2011-12	18	1.16	0	0	18	1.16	100	100
		2012-13	31	1.00	0	0	31	1.00	100	100
		2013-14	75	1.30	0	0	75	1.30	100	100
5	Training equipment	2009-10	8	0.76	6	0.41	2	0.35	25	46
		2010-11	19	1.40	4	0.09	15	1.31	79	94
		2011-12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		2012-13	1	0.80	1	0.78	0	0.02	0	3
		2013-14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

S. No.	Components	Year	Items approved by GoI		Procurement		Shortfall		Percentage shortfall	
			No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	Physical	Financial
6.	CID/SSB/ACB	2009-10	434	7.77	324	2.51	110	5.26	25	68
		2010-11	211	4.56	125	1.49	86	3.07	41	67
		2011-12	137	0.76	213	1.43	(-76)	(-0.67)	(-55)	(-88)
		2012-13	306	7.18	84	0.78	222	6.40	73	89
		2013-14	172	4.35	189	1.77	(-17)	2.58	(-10)	59
7	Home Guard equipment	2009-10	81	0.98	83	0.61	(-2)	0.37	(-2)	38
		2010-11	582	0.97	869	1.10	(-287)	(-0.13)	(-49)	(-13)
		2011-12	3490	1.69	107	0.20	3383	1.49	97	88
		2012-13	1794	3.71	799	0.30	995	3.41	55	92
		2013-14	206	0.84	0	0.42	206	0.42	100	50

(-) figures denote excess procurement/expenditure than approved by GoI

Source: Information furnished by DGP

Appendix 2.3

(Refer paragraph 2.3.12.2; page 67)

Statement showing details of non-availability of necessary infrastructure facilities in District Laboratories

S. No.	Name of instruments	Instruments not available in district laboratory situated at
1	Hydride generator with all accessories, Fume coup board, Argon, Nitrogen & Oxygen Gas Cylinders (To be used with AAS/ Advanced Spectrophotometer), Kjeldal distillation apparatus, Pressure Pump, Membrane filtration	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
2	Microscope	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
3	Plate count and colony counter	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur,
4	Arsenic testing instrumentation (portable type)	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur,
5	Auto Burette & Auto-Pipette	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur,
6	Centrifuge	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur,
7	Vacuum pump	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Tonk, Bharatpur
8	Double distillation Apparatus	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Nagaur, Tonk,

Appendix 2.4

(Refer paragraph 2.3.12.2; page 67)

Statement showing details of insufficient testing facilities in District Laboratories

Parameters	Test Facilities Not available in district labs
Physical parameters	
Temperature	Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
Taste	Dungarpur, Jalore, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk
Chemical parameters	
Ammonia	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
Sulphate	Sriganaganagar, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
Sodium, Potassium	Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
Heavy metals	
Silver, Selenium, Aluminium, Zinc, Total Arsenic (as As), Nickel, Lead, Total Chromium (as Cr), Copper, Manganese	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
Iron	Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur,
Microbiological	
Total coliforms	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
E-coli / Thermotolerant coliforms	Jalore, Barmer, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
Oils and Grease	Dungarpur, Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Bhilwara, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur
Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	Jalore, Sriganaganagar, Barmer, Jodhpur, Nagaur, Tonk, Bharatpur

Appendix 2.5

(Refer paragraph 2.3.12.4; page 67)

Position of staff required, sanctioned posts and men-in-position in Laboratories

Name of post	Staff required (staff proposal)	Sanctioned Post	Men-in-position	Vacancy
Chief Chemist	1	1	1	0
Superintendent chemist	4	3	3	0
Zoologist	1	1	1	0
Microbiologist/ bacteriologist	33	0	0	0
Senior Chemist	10	9	9	0
Junior Chemist	28	26	20	6
Technical Assistant	5	5	4	1
Senior Laboratory Assistant	36	35	18	17
Junior Laboratory Assistant	53	51	48	3
Sample Collector	37	3	1	2
Laboratory attendant	53	51	38	13
Data Entry Operator	35	0	0	0
Total	296	185	143	42

Appendix 2.6

(Refer paragraph 2.3.12.7; page 69)

Statement showing details of water source tested through FTKs

S. No.	District	2011-12			2012-13			2013-14						
		No. of Water Sources	No. of Sources Tested	Per cent	No. of Sources Contaminated	No. of Sources Tested	Per cent	No. of Sources Contaminated	No. of Sources Tested	Per cent	No. of Sources Contaminated			
1	Ajmer	29027	216	0.74	125	57.87	882	3.04	229	25.96	2058	7.09	53	2.58
2	Alwar	43535	244	0.56	5	2.05	2301	5.29	10	0.43	3169	7.28	8	0.25
3	Banswara	33166	2611	7.87	109	4.17	6086	18.35	4	0.07	5352	16.14	7	0.13
4	Baran	12334	756	6.13	170	22.49	749	6.07	172	22.96	370	3.00	65	17.57
5	Barmer	28076	125	0.45	78	62.40	194	0.69	131	67.53	5541	19.74	758	13.68
6	Bharatpur	31146	844	2.71	347	41.11	1264	4.06	497	39.32	2906	9.33	507	17.45
7	Bhilwara	25681	2101	8.18	802	38.17	3212	12.51	578	18.00	3384	13.18	367	10.85
8	Bikaner	14811	640	4.32	67	10.47	730	4.93	63	8.63	2509	16.94	17	0.68
9	Bundi	19286	666	3.45	27	4.05	1539	7.98	130	8.45	3689	19.13	320	8.67
10	Chittorgarh	30198	2243	7.43	86	3.83	3282	10.87	19	0.58	2590	8.58	17	0.66
11	Churu	14469	70	0.48	9	12.86	63	0.44	0	0.00	4355	30.10	0	0.00
12	Dausa	28505	27	0.09	16	59.26	402	1.41	127	31.59	2820	9.89	48	1.70
13	Dhaulpur	17229	687	3.99	108	15.72	451	2.62	61	13.53	696	4.04	0	0.00
14	Dungarpur	13366	2	0.01	1	50.00	79	0.59	10	12.66	288	2.15	47	16.32
15	Sriganganagar	14950	2977	19.91	678	22.77	2469	16.52	420	17.01	2329	15.58	319	13.70
16	Hanumangarh	10325	3410	33.03	8	0.23	2007	19.44	21	1.05	2341	22.67	2	0.09
17	Jaipur	60067	2119	3.53	12	0.57	234	0.39	28	11.97	3752	6.25	69	1.84
18	Jaisalmer	9181	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	422	4.60	0	0.00
19	Jalore	12644	5	0.04	2	40.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	76	0.60	0	0.00
20	Jhalawar	14299	19	0.13	0	0.00	2	0.01	0	0.00	2570	17.97	151	5.88
21	Jhunjhunu	27831	1053	3.78	50	4.75	374	1.34	0	0.00	6040	21.70	11	0.18
22	Jodhpur	38577	319	0.83	23	7.21	229	0.59	93	40.61	3255	8.44	0	0.00
23	Karauli	13582	52	0.38	40	76.92	0	0.00	0	0.00	47	0.35	0	0.00
24	Kota	13924	467	3.35	127	27.19	459	3.30	77	16.78	3580	25.71	67	1.87

S. No.	District	2011-12			2012-13			2013-14						
		No. of Water Sources	No. of Sources Tested	Per cent	No. of Sources Tested	Per cent	No. of Sources Contaminated	No. of Sources Tested	Per cent	No. of Sources Contaminated				
25	Nagaur	16532	1583	9.58	784	49.53	1032	6.24	587	56.88	3200	19.36	471	14.72
26	Pali	18005	577	3.20	200	34.66	577	3.20	209	36.22	2288	12.71	1024	44.76
27	Rajsamand	22143	200	0.90	72	36.00	16	0.07	2	12.50	2558	11.55	3	0.12
28	Sawai Madhopur	11982	78	0.65	29	37.18	74	0.62	33	44.59	60	0.50	18	30.00
29	Sikar	33100	51	0.15	18	35.29	388	1.17	12	3.09	2830	8.55	576	20.35
30	Sirohi	6551	18	0.27	7	38.89	23	0.35	6	26.09	568	8.67	0	0.00
31	Tonk	22267	13	0.06	6	46.15	7	0.03	1	14.29	2487	11.17	172	6.92
32	Udaipur	37442	781	2.09	15	1.92	2457	6.56	1	0.04	3074	8.21	0	0.00
Total		724231	24954	3.45	4021	16.11	31582	4.36	3521	11.15	81204	11.21	5097	6.28

Appendix 2.7

(Refer paragraph 2.3.12.7; page 70)

Details of Water Samples tested though FTK and their cross verification in District Laboratories during the period 2011-14

S. No.	District	Total No. of Water Sources	2011-12		Percentage of cross verification	2012-13		Percentage of cross verification	2013-14		Percentage of cross verification
			Total No. of sources	Cross verified through FTK		Total No. of sources	Cross verified through FTK		Total No. of sources	Cross verified through FTK	
1	Barmer	28076	125	0	0.00	194	0	0.00	5541	0	0.00
2	Bharatpur	31146	844	65	7.70	1264	28	2.22	2906	73	2.51
3	Bhilwara	25681	2101	257	12.23	3212	242	7.53	3384	302	8.92
4	Dungarpur	13366	2	0	0.00	79	0	0.00	288	0	0.00
5	Sriganganagar	14950	2977	730	24.52	2469	618	25.03	2329	719	30.87
6	Jaipur	60067	2119	0	0.00	234	0	0.00	3752	0	0.00
7	Jalore	12644	5	0	0.00	0	0	0.00	76	0	0.00
8	Jodhpur	38577	319	0	0.00	229	103	44.98	3255	133	4.09
9	Nagaur	16532	1583	194	12.26	1032	862	83.53	3200	406	12.69
10	Tonk	22267	13	0	0.00	7	0	0.00	2487	0	0.00
Total		263306	10088	1246	12.35	8720	1853	21.25	27218	1633	6.00

Note: In 2011-12, out of 10,088 samples tested through FTKs, 30 per cent were to be tested in district laboratories. However, only 12.35 per cent were tested. Similar is the findings for other years.

Appendix 2.8

(Refer paragraph 2.3.14.1; page 70)

Statement showing position of delayed redressal of complaints registered online

S. No	District Name	2011-12			2012-13			2013-14		
		No. of Complaints Received	Redressed beyond time	Per cent	No. of Complaints Received	Redressed beyond time	Per cent	No. of Complaints Received	Redressed beyond time	Per cent
1	Ajmer	898	430	48	719	451	63	710	488	69
2	Bhilwara	2606	1016	39	4358	2175	50	3548	1957	55
3	Nagaur	1799	667	37	1228	418	34	1356	374	28
4	Tonk	536	440	82	458	346	76	467	385	82
5	Bharatpur	1314	1041	79	911	737	81	929	710	76
6	Dhauhpur	755	539	71	1039	774	74	919	716	78
7	Karauli	967	749	77	612	505	83	540	398	74
8	Sawai Madhopur	690	583	84	501	447	89	494	422	85
9	Bikaner	1738	928	53	1273	947	74	1280	992	78
10	Churu	913	652	71	805	600	75	678	520	77
11	Sriganganagar	904	383	42	692	400	58	581	365	63
12	Hanumangarh	1047	458	44	706	478	68	666	471	71
13	Alwar	1006	632	63	584	448	77	705	516	73
14	Dausa	1094	670	61	677	520	77	571	443	78
15	Jaipur	5348	2254	42	2903	1366	47	4226	1912	45
16	Jhunjhunu	1038	672	65	905	609	67	711	511	72
17	Sikar	985	680	69	673	479	71	710	505	71
18	Barmer	1604	1191	74	1882	1274	68	1442	1036	72
19	Jaisalmer	788	617	78	655	475	73	511	355	69
20	Jalore	1007	702	70	629	479	76	700	520	74
21	Jodhpur	2585	1590	62	1787	1054	59	1849	980	53
22	Pali	664	312	47	442	210	48	371	212	57
23	Sirohi	312	200	64	141	108	77	216	164	76
24	Baran	223	160	72	141	135	96	114	108	95

S. No	District Name	2011-12			2012-13			2013-14		
		No. of Complaints Received	Redressed beyond time	Per cent	No. of Complaints Received	Redressed beyond time	Per cent	No. of Complaints Received	Redressed beyond time	Per cent
25	Bundi	561	378	67	712	514	72	813	319	39
26	Jhalawar	227	175	77	151	122	81	160	122	76
27	Kota	596	353	59	385	181	47	371	200	54
28	Banswara	199	68	34	169	94	56	139	46	33
29	Chittorgarh	207	117	57	93	69	74	117	61	52
30	Dungarpur	105	61	58	203	105	52	196	77	39
31	Paratapgarh	110	52	47	63	34	54	61	16	26
32	Rajsamand	315	70	22	291	79	27	199	51	26
33	Udaipur	888	124	14	615	155	25	695	183	26
	Total	34029	18964	56	27408	16791	61	27046	16135	60

Appendix 3.1

(Refer paragraph 3.1.1; page 73, 74)

Statement showing difference between rates of pipes approved by CE, PHED and SE, Sawai Madhopur

S.No.	Work awarded by SE, PHED, Sawai Madhopur				Rate contract executed by CE, PHED, Jaipur			Difference rate (per metre) (5 - 8)	Excess payment (4 X 9)	Stipulated date of completion	Actual date of completion	
	Name of work	Name of contractor	Size	Quantity of pipes supplied as of March 2014 (in metre)	Approved rate (per metre)	Name of rate contract firm	Valid up to					RC rate (per metre)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	Const. of 200 MM T.W. & Providing L&J of P/L on SPR, Village Morpa	M/S Banwari Lal Meena	80 MM	750	1105	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	638	467	3,50,250	23.10.11	WIP
2	Const. of 200 MM T.W. & Providing L&J of P/L on SPR, Village Jat Baroda	M/S Brahmanand Agarwal	100 MM	957	1200	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	649	551	5,27,307	14.10.11	WIP
3	Providing L&J of DI P/L at Rural Sub Dn. SWM	M/S R.K. Construction Hindaun City	100 MM	1492.9	1190	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	13-9-12	725	465	6,94,199	18.09.12	24.01.13
4	Const. of 200 MM T.W. & Providing L&J of P/L on SPR, Village Sukar	M/S Prakash Chand Meena	100 MM	1494	1200	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	649	551	8,23,194	18.04.12	19.12.12

S.No.	Work awarded by SE, PHED, Sawai Madhopur				Rate contract executed by CE, PHED, Jaipur			Difference rate (per metre) (5 - 8)	Excess payment (4 X 9)	Stipulated date of completion	Actual date of completion
	Name of work	Name of contractor	Size	Quantity of pipes supplied as of March 2014 (in metre)	Approved rate (per metre)	Name of rate contract firm	Valid up to				
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
		125 MM	502	1450	M/S Electrosteel Casting Ltd., Kolkata	10-11-11	890	560	2,81,120	18.04.12	19.12.12
5	Const. of 200 MM T.W. & Providing L&J of P/L on SPR, Village Amargarth	M/s Khema Shankar Sharma	100 MM	1000	1250	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	649	6,01,000	20.12.11	WIP
6	Const. of 200 MM T.W. & Providing L&J of P/L on SPR, Village Khanpur Baroda	M/s Brahmanand Agarwal	100 MM	1045.5	1200	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	649	5,76,070	14.10.11	WIP
7	Const. of 200 MM T.W. & Providing L&J of P/L on SPR, Village Shayampura	M/S Batti Lal Meena	100 MM	597.5	1200	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	649	3,29,223	24.09.11	WIP
8	Const. of 200 MM T.W. & Providing L&J of P/L on SPR, Village Jatwara Kalan	M/S Ram Ratan Ghanshyam	100 MM	2949	1150	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	649	14,77,449	20.02.12	WIP

S.No.	Work awarded by SE, PHED, Sawai Madhopur				Rate contract executed by CE, PHED, Jaipur			Difference rate (per metre) (5 – 8)	Excess payment (4 X 9)	Stipulated date of completion	Actual date of completion	
	Name of work	Name of contractor	Size	Quantity of pipes supplied as of March 2014 (in metre)	Approved rate (per metre)	Name of rate contract firm	Valid up to					RC rate (per metre)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
9	Const. of 200 MM T.W. & Providing L&J of P/L on SPR, Village Sewa	M/S Ram Ratan Ghanshyam	100 MM	2497	1200	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	649	551	13,75,847	09.12.11	WIP
10	Providing L&J of DI P/L and commissioning work UWSS Gangapur City Dn SWM	M/S R.K. Construction Hindaun City	100 MM	2146.8	1355.5	M/S Jinadal Saw Ltd., New Delhi	02-9-11	649	706.50	15,16,714	19.06.12	18.06.12
11	Providing L&J of DI P/L and commissioning work UWSS Sawai Madhopur Dn. SWM	M/S Laxman Prasad Sharma	100 MM	776	1356	M/S M/S Jai Balaji Industries, Ltd. Kolkata	02-9-11	649	707	5,48,632	13.05.12	WIP
			150 MM	649	1849	M/S Jai Balaji Industries, Ltd. Kolkata	02-9-11	905	944	6,12,656	13.05.12	WIP
	Total									1,06,32,687		

Appendix 3.2

(Refer paragraph: 3.4.2.3 (a); page 103)

Details of non-providing supplementary nutrition to Adolescent Girls under *Kishori Shakti Yojana*

Districts	Sirohi					Nagaur		
	Sirohi	Pindwara	Shivganj	Revdar	Abu Road (Rural)	Abu Road (Urban)	10 blocks ¹ of Nagaur	Degana Block
Name of Blocks	Sirohi	Pindwara	Shivganj	Revdar	Abu Road (Rural)	Abu Road (Urban)	10 blocks ¹ of Nagaur	Degana Block
Periods when supplementary nutrition was not provided	April to July 2011	April 2011 to January 2012	April 2011 to January 2012	April 2011 to March 2014	April 2011 to August 2013	April 2011 to March 2014	April to Nov. 2011	April 2011 to Dec. 2013
Period in Months	4	10	10	36	29	36	8	33

District	Baran		
	Baran (U)	Chhabra	Chhipa Barod
Name of Blocks	Anta	Baran (U)	Shahabad
Periods when supplementary nutrition was not provided	April 2011 to November 2011	April 2011 to November 2011	July 2011 to October 2011
Period in Months	8	8	4

Source: Information furnished by the department

¹ Deedwana (R), Deedwana (U), Jayal, Kuchaman City, Makrana (U), Makrana (R), Merta City, Mundwa, Nagaur and Parbatsar.

Appendix 3.3

(Refer paragraph: 3.4.8; page 130)

Statement showing category-wise details of irregularities commented in Inspection Reports pending as of March 2014

S. No.	Category of irregularity	Medical Department		Labour Department		Total	
		Number of Paragraphs	Amount	Number of Paragraphs	Amount	Number of Paragraphs	Amount
1.	Fraud/misappropriation/embezzlement/losses/theft of stores and cash	23	365.47	1	-	24	365.47
2.	Recoveries pointed out by audit and overpayments	122	2176.61	51	4895.83	173	7072.44
3.	Violation of contractual obligations, undue favours to contractors	-	-	-	-	-	-
4.	Avoidable/excess expenditure	-	-	9	52.01	9	52.01
5.	Wasteful/infertuous expenditure	-	-	28	415.84	28	415.84
6.	Regulatory issues	8	356.79	66	4223.53	74	4580.32
7.	Idle investment/establishment/stores equipment/blocking of funds	80	6606.80	28	529.94	108	7136.74
8.	Delay in commissioning equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-
9.	Non-achievement of objectives	-	-	37	239.12	37	239.12
10.	Miscellaneous	2393	261776.90	99	625.32	2492	262402.22
	Total	2626	271282.57	319	10981.59	2945	282264.16