Annexes


## Annex-1A

## State-wise details of employment, poverty status and release of funds

(Refer to Paragraph 1.5)

| Name of State/UT | Average number of households provided employment* | Number of poor\# | Total release of funds (₹ in crore)@ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andhra Pradesh | 41,75,350 | 1,27,90,000 | 17,267.41 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 7,293 | 2,70,000 | 172.07 |
| Assam | 14,39,779 | 1,05,30,000 | 3,295.50 |
| Bihar | 19,27,579 | 4,98,70,000 | 6,292.44 |
| Chhattisgarh | 24,84,636 | 1,08,30,000 | 6,959.36 |
| Goa | 9,105 | 60,000 | 15.20 |
| Gujarat | 11,36,895 | 91,60,000 | 2,219.80 |
| Haryana | 2,23,447 | 30,40,000 | 715.10 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 4,84,126 | 5,60,000 | 1,880.34 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 2,17,661 | 7,30,000 | 1,446.04 |
| Jharkhand | 16,85,494 | 1,02,20,000 | 5,468.85 |
| Karnataka | 24,70,768 | 97,40,000 | 5,662.81 |
| Kerala | 11,86,135 | 21,60,000 | 2,390.88 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 37,95,298 | 2,16,90,000 | 15,717.43 |
| Maharashtra | 8,07,898 | 1,79,80,000 | 1,711.60 |
| Manipur | 1,64,736 | 8,80,000 | 1,832.02 |
| Meghalaya | 2,74,920 | 3,50,000 | 843.37 |
| Mizoram | 1,54,793 | 1,60,000 | 1,007.94 |
| Nagaland | 3,23,848 | 2,80,000 | 2,060.01 |
| Odisha | 15,54,758 | 1,35,50,000 | 4,401.29 |
| Punjab | 2,60,448 | 25,10,000 | 483.75 |
| Rajasthan | 53,42,937 | 1,33,80,000 | 17,928.73 |
| Sikkim | 46,833 | 70,000 | 281.13 |
| Tamil Nadu | 58,29,489 | 78,30,000 | 8,128.97 |
| Tripura | 5,66,777 | 5,40,000 | 2,858.82 |


| Uttar Pradesh | $73,69,867$ | $6,00,60,000$ | $20,425.74$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Uttarakhand | $4,20,241$ | $10,30,000$ | $1,154.13$ |
| West Bengal | $52,76,742$ | $1,77,80,000$ | $8,307.31$ |
| Andaman \& Nicobar <br> Islands | 9,783 | 0 | 34.91 |
| Chandigarh | 0 | 0 | 0.65 |
| Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 0 | $1,00,000$ | 2.77 |
| Daman \& Diu | 0 | 20,000 | 1.12 |
| Lakshadweep | 2,287 | 0 | 7.76 |
| Puducherry | 27,472 | 0 | 40.06 |

* Average for the years 2009-10 to 2011-12, Source: NREGASoft MIS
\# 2009-10, Source: Planning Commission
@ For the period 2007-12, Source: Ministry of Rural Development


## Annex-2A

## Summary of Sample Selection

(Refer to paragraph 2.1.4)

| SI. No. | Name of State/UT | Districts | Blocks | GPs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 5 | 15 | 150 |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | 9 | 43 |
| 3 | Assam | 8 | 21 | 83 |
| 4 | Bihar | 15 | 54 | 252 |
| 5 | Chhattisgarh | 6 | 14 | 140 |
| 6 | Goa | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| 7 | Gujarat | 6 | 15 | 150 |
| 8 | Haryana | 6 | 12 | 114 |
| 9 | Himachal Pradesh | 4 | 9 | 90 |
| 10 | Jammu \& Kashmir | 6 | 12 | 113 |
| 11 | Jharkhand | 6 | 17 | 167 |
| 12 | Karnataka | 8 | 16 | 157 |
| 13 | Kerala | 4 | 13 | 39 |
| 14 | Madhya Pradesh | 13 | 29 | 290 |
| 15 | Maharashtra | 9 | 24 | 240 |
| 16 | Manipur | 4 | 9 | 90 |
| 17 | Meghalaya | 4 | 8 | 90 |
| 18 | Mizoram | 2 | 4 | 39 |
| 19 | Nagaland | 3 | 7 | 54 |
| 20 | Odisha | 8 | 20 | 200 |
| 21 | Punjab | 6 | 13 | 118 |
| 22 | Rajasthan | 8 | 18 | 180 |
| 23 | Sikkim | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 24 | Tamil Nadu | 8 | 23 | 230 |
| 25 | Tripura | 2 | 6 | 60 |
| 26 | Uttar Pradesh | 18 | 46 | 460 |
| 27 | Uttarakhand | 4 | 10 | 100 |
| 28 | West Bengal | 5 | 15 | 120 |
| 29 | Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2 | 4 | 14 |
| 30 | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| 31 | Lakshadweep | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| 32 | Puducherry | 2 | 3 | 30 |
| Total |  | 182 | 458 | 3,848 |


|  |  |  |  | Kamranga, Laboc, Khaspur, Kashipur, Madhura, Salgonga (83) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | Bihar | Munger, Jehanabad, Banka, Darbhanga, Madhubani, Begusarai, Bhabhua, Muzaffarpur, Bhojpur, Nalanda, Aurangabad, Araria, Sitamarhi, W. Champaran, Kisanganj (15) | Asarganj, Dharhara, Hulasganj, Makhdumpur, Amarpur, Chandan, Shambhuganj, Baheri, Biraul, Hanuman Nagar, Tardih, Jale, Basopatti, Lakhnaur, Bisphi, Kaluahi, Jainagar, Balia, Navakothi, Dandari, Khodawandpur, Birpur, Kundra, Ramgarha, Rampur, Muraul, Mushahari, Sahebganj, Saraiya, Bihia, Odwantnagar, Sahpur, Sandesh, Chandi, Harnaut, Islampur, Noorsarai, rahui, Obra, Haspura, Rafiganj, Sikti, Jokihat, Bajpatti, Nanpur, Runnisaidpur, Sursand, Baria, Bhithan, Lauria, Majhaulia, Narkatiyaganj, Dighalbank, Pothia (54) | Asarganj, Makwa, Chorgaon, Ajimganj, Amari, Matadih, Bouri, Dawdhu, Murgaon, Dakra, Jamanganj, Kalanaur, Manjhaus, Saren West, Sumera, Garibpur, Gorgama, Bhikhampur, Pawai, Salempur, Dhanuvsara, South Kasba Basiala, Kusumjori, Barfera Tetariya, Gulni, Jhakhara, Birnaudha, Maldih, Pakaria, Baghauni, Bhakshi, Dohat Narain, Habidah Centre, Harhaccha, Jorja, Mitunia, Afjala, Bairampur, Dekuli Jagarnathpur, Neuri, Patania, Pokhram South, Sonepur Pagari, Godaipatti, Panchobh, Rampurdih, Sinuara, Vishath Bathiya, Mahthaur, Raja Kharwar, Ahiyari North, Brahmpur East, Doghara, Kamtaul, Massa, Muraitha, Ratanpur, Basopatti West, Birpur, Kataiya, Mahinathpur, Balia, Kachua, Laufa, Tamuria, Balha, Chauhutta, Jagwan West, Nahas Rupauli North, Parsauni North, Rathaus, Sadullapur, Haripur North, Madhepur, Pursaulia, Debdha North, Debdha Centre, Dorbhar, Belhi East, Balia Lakhamaniya-I, Balia Lakhmaniya-III, Bhagatpur, Nurjamapur, Tajpur, Dafarpur, Maheshwara, Rajakpur, Katahari, Katarmala South, Bank, Bariyarpur West, Khodabandpur, Bara, Birpur West, Genharpur, Bhavanandpur, Pachpokhara, Meura, Dervan, Chilbill, Ahivas, Baraura, Sahuka, Amanw, Belanw, Kurari, Harsinghpur Lautan, Mahmadpur, Vidhyajhpur, Manika Vishunchand Datt, Dumari, Abdulnagar/Madhopur, Sherpur, Prahaldpur, Bhagwanpur ,Patahi, Navanagar Nijamat, Halimpur, Madhopur Hazari, Jagdihpur, Saraiya, Parsauni Raeesi, Bahilwara Repanath North, Bahilwara Rupnath South, Chakana, Datapur Pachbhirwa, Madawa Pakar, Ramkrishna Duviyahi, Rampur Balli, Rewa Basantpur North, Ghagha, P. Chkwash Mahuav, Pipra Jagdishpur, Shivpur, Akauna, Bakari, Kusumbi, Sarthua, Khutahan, Sarana, Suhiya, Hariharpur, Jhanva Belvaniya, Ahpura, Jamuanw, Sandesh, Madhopur, Rukhai, Gangaur, Sartha, Basaniawan, Kolawan, Powari, Telmar, Weswak, Sanda, Ranipur, Sakri, Bhauridih, Andhanna, Jagdishpur Tiyari, Nirpur, Rasalpur, Pesaur, Rahui, Sosandi, Supasang, Bharub, Dihra, Kanchanpur, Obra, Rattanpur, Dindir, Tal, Ahiapur, Ghusari, Kajpa, Baliganj, Bhduki Kala, Toshila, Sihuli, Balar, Kuchhha, Muraripur, Rokantari, Bhidbhidi, Simaria, Sisuna, Kursail, Bhagwanpur, Chirah, Dubhra, Matiyari, Bajpattti, Bangaon South, Humayupur, Madhuban Basaha East, Ratwara, Bath Asali, Janipur, Nanpur South, Sirsi, Gurdah, Bagahi Ramnagar, Gidha Phulwaria, Athari, Barheta, Balua, Dewana Bujurg, Mehsha Pharakpur, Banauli, Diwari Malauna, Malahi, Patanpura, Virakh, Siswa Saraia, Bhithaha, Bagahi Rattanpur, Phulia Khand, Baria, Hathuahwa Machhahan, Semanwari, Baghi Baswaria, Lakar Sisre, Siswania, Lauria, Gobraraura, Raja Bhar, Lala Saria, Mahanawa Rampurwa, Mahnagni, Mohdipur,Ratanmala, Rulahi, Hardi Terha, Sikaarpur, Kesaria, Purania Hasri, Paroraha, Barwa Barauli, Gokula, Patharghati, Tarabari Padampur, Mangura, Singhimari, Koltha, Sarogora, Panasi, Chhattarghachh, Jahangirpur, Udgara, Sonpur Pagari (252) |
| 5. | Chhattisgarh | Durg, Mahasamud, Jashpur, Koriya, Bastar, Kanker (six) | Balod, Durg, Saja Basna,Pithora, Kansabel, Pathalgaon, Baikunthpur, Manendragarh, Bastar,Bakawand, Makadi, Charama, Narharpur (14) | B.Jamgaon, Bhoinapar, Dewarbhat, Jamruva, Jhungera, Latabore, Londi, Nevarikala, Parsoda, Tarod, Amati, Bhendsar, Bodegaon, Chirpoti, Gugsidih, Katro, Kolihapuri, Nagpura, Rasmara, Ravelidih, Bhatgaon, Danganiya, Gudva, Kanhera, Maatra, Odia, Sahashpur, Souri, Tiriyabhat, Umraonagar, Badetemari, Beltikari, Bichiya P, Birsinghpali, Chimarkel, Ganekera, Khemada, Lamber, Palsapali A, Sarkanda, Bade Loram, Bheekhapali, Bijemal, Dhanora, Gopalpur, Jandhora, Kodopali, Monhada, Pilvapali, Savitripur, Barjor, Chidora, Frsajudwain, Katangkhar, Khutera, Kusumtal, Patrapali, Pongro, Sajapani, Tangargaon, Bagbahar, Butrabahar, Gharjiyabathan , Kadro, Kudkel Khajiri, Madhuvan, Palidih, Raja Ama, Rokbahar, Tirsoth, Aamapara, Badgaon, Barpara, Budar, Chilka, Dakaipara, Gadbadi, Kadamnara, Khond, Salba, Bandeli, Charwahi, Dugla, Harra, Kachoud, Lalpur, Mahai, Narayanpur, Parasgadhi, Rokda, Barda, Chindgoan, Chiuargoan , Farsigoan, Kinjoli, Mangnar, Matnar, Pandanar, Satosa, Tongkongera, Bakel, Bhond, Dubeumergaon, Karmari, Kesharpal, Kungarpal, Lamker, Mandlapal, |


|  |  |  |  | Retavand, Turpura, Badeghordsoda, Bagbeda, Bhirgoan, Dharli, Jarandi, Kosaharduli, Makdi, Pathari, Tamrawand, Themgoan, Aanwri, Bhanpuri, Dedkohaka, Gitpahar, Jepra, Kilepar, Lilejhar, Pandripani, Saradhunavagaon , Amoda, Bhaismundi, Charbhatti, Devinawagaon, Dhanesara, Ghotiyawahi, Kurna, Marrampani, Risewada, Sarwandi, Saradhunavagaon (140) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6. | Goa | North Goa, South Goa (two) | Pernem, Sattari, Canacona, Sanguem (four) | Casne-Amere-Poroscodem, Tamboxem-Mopa-Ugavem, Ozorim, Querim-Terekhol, Paliem, Cotorem, Morlem, Nagargao, Agonda, Cola, Poinguinim, Sancordem, Rivona, Mollem (14) |
| 7. | Gujarat | Banaskantha, Dahood, Surendranagar, Valsad, Ahmedabad, and Patan (six) | Dharampur, Valsad, Barwala, Ranpur, Sandand, Patan, Halvad, Limbadi, Dantiwada, Deodar, Palanpur, Garbada, Fatepura, Sayla, Siddhpur (15) | Bhadali(Zat), Bhilachal, Dantiwada, Gundari, Mahudi-Moti, Nandotra (Brahamanvas), Panthawada, Odhava, Satsar, Zat, Chalva, Dhunson, Kotarwada, Lavana, Odha, Raiya, Ravel, Sardarpura(Jaseli), Vadiya, Vatanjuna, Chitrasani, Dhandha, Gadh, Hathidra, Karjoda, Mota, Madana(Gadh), Ratanpur, Sundha, Vasna (Jagana), Abhlod, Ambli, Chharachhoda, Dadur, Gungardi, Matwa, Nelsure, Panthawada, Vadva, Zari-Bujarg, Bhichor, Dungar, Hindoliya, Karodiya, Lakhanpur, Moti-Dhadheli, Nani-Dhadheli, Sagdapada, Sarsawa (East), Vandariya (East), Charadva, Dhanala, Gnanshyampur, Kavadiya, Khetardi, Koyba, Manekwada, Nava Ghanshyamgadh, Shiroi, Vankiya, Ankewaliya, Bhagvanpar, Bhagamda, Bhathan, Bhojpara, Dhalwana, Jaliyala, Katariya, Nani Katachi, Parnala, Chhadiyali, Dhamrasala, Dhinkwali, Gosal, Khintla, Motasakhpar, Navagam, Samadhiyala, Shapar, Sudamada, Ambatalat, Bhensdara, Gundiya, Kangvi, Khanda, Manaichondi, Pandav-Khadak, Pendha, Ranveri, Tanachhiya, Anjlav, Bhagal, Dandi, Dhanori, Kalwada, Kaparia, Malvan, Panchalai, Saron, Valvach, Chanchariya, Dhadhodar, Hebatpur, Kapariyali, Pipariya, Rampura, Ranpari, Refda, Sangasar, Sanlapur, Alau, Bodiya, Charanki, Gunda, Gadhiya-Deradi, Nani-Vadvi, Rajpura, Ranpur, Sangalpur, Umarala, Aniyari, Andej, Charal, Garodiya, Ghodavi, Khoraj, Kundal Govinda, Makhiyav, Rupavati, Vanaliya, Ajimana, Balva, Dharpur, Hajipur, Khanpurda, Mahemadpur, Rakhav, Sagodiya, Vachhalva, Vasni, Chatavada, Geneshpura,Kakoshi, Kholvada, Lukhasan, Meloj, Nagvasan, Sandesari, Sujanpur, Umru (150) |
| 8. | Haryana | Sirsa, Fatehabad, Mewat, Palwal, Ambala, Kurukshetra (six) | Ambala-I, Saha, Bhattu Kalan, Ratia, Babain, Thanesar, Firozpur Jhirka, Taoru, Palwal, Hasanpur, Baragudha,Odhan (12) | Jansui, Baroula, Kaleran, Raulan, Amipur, Mohra, Sekhupura, Jagouli, Bhurangpur, Kesri, Samlehri, Khera, Sabga, Akbarpur, Nurd, Shergarh, Jharu Majra, Chudiali, Saha, Khabra Kalan, Sirdhan, Dhand, Mehuwala, Banmandori, Jandwala Bagar, Sarwarpur, Daiyar, Bhattu Kalan, Pilli Mandori, Sehnal, Aharwan, Badalgarh, Chimmo, Raipur, Jandwala Sotter, Rattakhera, Kamana, Alika, Bir Sujra, Berthla, Bint, Jhandola, Kasithal, Nakhrojpur, Falsanda Rangran, Bargat, Mahua Kheri, Barna, Bhansi Majra, Gamri Jattan, Khaspur, Ajrana Kalan, Jhiverheri, Tigri Khalsa, Untsal, Mirzapur, Daultpur, Jharpuri, Dungeja, Balkhera, Shabpur, Malhaka, Samir Bass, Chittora, Sulela, Shekhpur, Panchgaon, Nizampur, Hasanpur, Shikarpur, Bawla, Para, Uton, Dingerheri, Bhangoh, Silkhon, Ghori, Paroli, Kalwaka, Badha, Karna, Chirwari, Jodhpur, Alawalpur, Durgapur, Therki, Hasanpur, Maholi, Bata, Raidaska, Fatasko Nagar, Tikri Gujjar, Kawarka, Sehnoli, Kushak, Jhiri, Thiraj, Bhagsar, Buraj Karamgarh, Shekhupuria, Kurganawali, Sukhchain, Lehangewala, Alika, Malikpura, Odhan, Chormar Khera, Ghukanwali, Rohiranwali, Khatrawan, Hassu, Salamkhera, Kheowali, Tigri (114) |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | Shimla, Kangra, Lahaul \& Spiti, Solan (four) | Bhawrna, Dehra, Indora, Kaja, Lahaul, Basantpur, Narkanda, Dharmpur, Kunihar (nine) | Pharer, Banghiar, Bindraban, Chachian, Parour, Gopalpur, Bhattu Samula, Bagora, Ghuggar, Darati, Panayali, Rail, Sanahi, Jasai, Majiyar, Sareri, Saproh, Narial, Chouru, Badhrol, Bajrol, Charin Di Dhar, Tapre, Dimmi, Barara, Dharog, Darbiar, Utpur, Barian, Tikker Buhula, Kyartu, Dharkandru, Mundu, Dadas, Pargaya, Tikkar, Kuthar, KotShilaru, Dharech, Bharana, Thakurdwara, Gangath, Dah Kulara, Raja Khasa, Mohtali, Majra, Daikwan, Bhapu, Mand Miani, Ghodan, Kotla, Majhiwar, Chanawag, Neen, Okhru, Ghariyana, Ogli, Baldeyan, Juni, Khundiyan, Ghalour, Kopra, Hiraan, Jaklehad, Ghurkal, Aluha, Bangoli, Sihorpai, Dhanot, Barotiwala, Hurang, Jadla, Patta Nali, Narayani, Dhakriana, Bughar Kanaita, Anji Matla, Darwan, Roari, Sanan, Palog, Kunihar, Giyana, Banoh, Bairal, Kotli, Manju, Kothi, Danoghat, Darotibala (90) |


| 10. | Jammu \& Kashmir | Pulwama, Shopian, Leh, Rajouri, Poonch, Udhampur (six) | Keller (ACD Shopian), <br> Shopian, Kharoo, Leh, Saspol, Doongi, Rajouri, Sunderbani, Mendhar, Poonch, Chenani, Udhampur (12) | Androla, Badhon A, Baljaran, Dangari, D Gorsian, F Khas, Kalal Kas, Kotedhar, M Gugjjran, Muradpur, A South, Bagla, Deharian, Dhanwan, D Brahmn, Dogani, Kallar, Lkote, Nadyala, S Kote, Bandipayen, Dudbugh, Waripora, Kakvathal, Chandosa, Kalantra, Nowpora, Wulraham, Khaitangan, Wagora B, Warpora A, Warpora B, Janwara, Zaloora A, Dangerpora, Malmapenpo, Shiva A, Dangerpora, Nathipora, Mundji, Chari, Gandala, Garnai, Tirshi, Kaller, Rehmbal, Mandeast, Mansar, Padnoo, Tikri, Batra, Ghantwal, Karlah, Kosar, Ladha, Lmadha, Matlowa, Panchote, R East, Satyalta, Ajote, Banwat Dhokri, Chaktroo, Chandak, Degwar, Islamabad, Khaneter Delera, Khaneter Duprian, Kuniyan, Noona Bandi, Bhatidhar, Chak Banola, Ghani Lower, Gohlad Mustfa Nagar, Gursai Harmutta, Gursai Moori, Gursai Phambanar, Kalaban, Sagra, Salwah Upper, Letpora A, Ladho B, Andursoo, Sempora A, Mandalpal, Hatiwara, Pahoo, Lerow, Kakpora A, Parigam A, Wagum, Gudoora, Marval, Gundipora, Ratnipora, Kakpora B, Basgo, Choglam A, Choglam B, Likeer, Ney, Nimoo, Phyang, Saboo, Spituk, Taru, Chemday, Egu, Martsaytang, Sakti, Sakti A, Shara, Gia (113) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11. | Jharkhand | West Singhbhum, Ranchi, Dumka, Pakur, Gumla, Palamu (six) | Chibasa, Chakardharpur, Jhinkpani, Angara, Chanho, Kanke, Dumka, Jama, Jarmundi, Pakur, Hiranpur, Bharno, Gumla, Sisai, Chainpur, Daltonganj, Lesliganj (17) | Diliamarcha, Harila, Karlajodi, Kursi, Lopungutu, Narsanda, Tonto, Tuibir, Baduri, Simbiya, Bharnia, Hathiya, Hoyahatu, Itore, Kenke, Kulitorang, Silphori, Surgura, Baipi, Gopinathpur, Asura, Choya, Jodapokhar, Kelende, Kudahatu, Nawagaon, Tutugutu, Chatra, Getelsud, Hesatu, Kuchhu, Nawagarh, Rajadera, Sursu, Tati, Angara, Bisa, Balsokra, Chama, Choreya, Lundri, Pandri, Raghunathpur, Roll, Tala, Tanger, Taranga, Badhu, Gagi, Kamde, Malsiring, Pithoria, Sukurhutu (South), Uperkonki, Uruguttu, Arsandey, Boreya, Baratali, Ghasipur, Haripur, Kerabani, Lakhikundi, Malbhandro, Parsimla, Rampur, Asansol, Bhurkunda, Asansol Kurua, Bhairawpur, Chhakilapathar, Chikaniya, Lagala, Nachangaria, Tapasi, Thanpur, Sikatiya, Simra, Barmasa, Chamra Bahiyar, Hathnama, Kharbila, Pahridih, Putli Dabur, Shinghni, Thekcha, Ghongha, Haripur Bazar, Sankarpur, Bhawanipur, Hiranadhpur, Jaikishtopur Urf Narayankhor, Kalidaspur, Kumarpur, Nashipur, Rahaspur, Sitapahari, Madan Mohanpur, Nawada, Babupur, Baratalla, Dangpara, Ghagharjaani, Hathkathi, Manjhaladih, Murgadanga, Vaghshisha, Kaindua,Sundarpur, Atakora, Dumbo, Duria, Karanj, Karaundajor, Marasilli, South Bharno, Supa, AmaliyaNorth Bharno, Armai, Brinda, Ghatgaon, Kaseera, Katri, Kotam, Kumharia, Silafari, Aanjan, Toto,Bargaon, Bhadauli, Bondo, Lakeya, Murgu, Nagar, Pandariya, Rerwa, Ghaghra, Shivnathpur, Awsane, Bansdih, Basariya Kalan, Chando, Huttar, Mahugawan, Ornar, Ramgarh, Chainpur, Purbdiha, Baralota North, Jhabar, Jonr, Kauria, Lahlahe, Polpolkala, Sarja, Singrakhurd, Chiyanki, Jamune, Chaura, Dabara, Haratua, Jamudih, Kundari, Naudiha, Purnadih, Sangbar, Kotkhas, Lesliganj (167) |
| 12. | Karnataka | Chickballapur, Shimoga, Bijapur, Gadag, Bellary, Raichur, Chamarajanagar, Hassan (eight) | Bagepalli, Gudibande, Hosanagar, Sorab, Muddebihal, Sindagi, Naragund, Shirahatti, Hospete, Kudligi, Devadurga, Lingasugur, Chamarajnagar, Kollegal, Belur, Hassan (16) | Devaragudipally, Gulur, Kottakote, Mittemari, Nallappareddy Palli, Naremaddepalli, Paragodu, Pathapalya, Rashcharavu, Somanathapura, Beechnaganahalli, Hampasandra, Somenahalli, Tirumani, Ullodu, Varlakonda, Yellodu, Amrutha, Baluru, Hosuru(Sampekatte), Humcha, Karimane, Melinabesige, Nitturu, Purappemane, Reppanpete, Yaduru, Bennur, Chitturu, Dyavanahalli, Guduvi, Heche, Jade, Samanavalli, Shigga, Talagaddi, Yennekoppa, Alur, Bavur, Bhantanur, Bidarakundi, Dhavalagi, Hirur, Kolur, Mukihal, Rakkasagi, Tumbagi, Bekinal, Bommanahalli, Chattaraki, Gabbasavalagi, Kondaguli, Mannur, Rampur Pa, Yalagod, Yankanchi, Yaragal BK, Banahatti, Bhiranahatti, Chikkanaragund, Hadli, Hirekoppa, Hunsikatti, Kanakikoppa, Konnur, Shirol, Surkod, Adarakatti, Balehosuru, Bannikoppa, Bellatti, Chebbi, Dodduru, Koganur, Magadi, Shigli, Vadavi, 114.Danapura, Bukkasagara, Byluvaddigeri, Gadiganur, Malapanagudi, Mariyammanahalli, Nagenahalli, No. 10 Muddapura, Ramasagara, Seetharama Thanda, Banavikallu, Choudapur, Gudekote, Hirehegdal, Huralihal, Hyalya, K.Ayyanahalli, Kandagallu, Nimbalageri, Rampura, Arkera, B.Ganekal, Chinchodi, Ganadhal (Deodurga), Hirebudur, Hosur Siddapur, Jagirajadaladinni, Jalahalli, Maladakal, Ramadurga, Bannigol, Bayyapur, Devarabhupur, Hutti, Kachapur, Kalapur, Kannal, Nagaral, Narakaladinni, Rodalabanda (U.K.P.), Arakalavadi, |


|  |  |  |  | Badanaguppe, Demahalli, Honnalli, Kagalavadi, Kothalavadi, Madapura, Maliyuru, Nanjedevanapura, Punajanuru, Doddinduvadi, Ellemala, Kowdalli, Kunthur, Kurattihosuru, Managalli, Martalli, Palya, Singanalluru, Suleripalya, Bikkodu, Cheekanahalli, Ghattadahalli, Hagare, Halebeedu, Malasavara, Narayanapura, Savasihalli, Tholalu, Yamasandi, Channangihalli, Doddagenigere, Gorur, Hanumanthapura, Honnavara, Kattaya, Koravangala, Mosalehosahalli, Shantigrama, Tejur(157) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13. | Kerala | Thiruvananthapuram, Kottayam, Malappuram, Palakkad (four) | Athiyannur, Vamanapuram, Varkala, Ettumanoor, Kanjirappally, Lalam, Areacode, Kondotty, Mankada, Perinthalmanna, Mannarkkad, Ottappalam, Thrithala (13) | Kanjiramkulam, Karumkulam, Vengannur, Kallara, Peringamala, Pullampara, Edava, Elakamon, Ottoor, Arpookkara, Athirampuzha, Neendur, Erumeli, Manimala, Parathode, Barananganam, Karur, Meenachil, Kavannur, Oorngattiri, Pulpatta, Kondotty, Nediyiruppu, Vazhayur, Makkaraparamba, Mankada, Moorkanadu, Angadipuram, Elamkulam, Kizhattur, Karimba, Kottopadam, Thachampara, Ambalappara, Nellaya, Vallapuzha, Nagalassery, Pattithara, Thrithala (39) |
| 14. | Madhya Pradesh | Balaghat, Shahdol, Khargone, Satna, Dhar, Ashok Nagar, Datia, Chhindwara, Indore, Sehore, Vidisha, Shajapur, Neemuch (13) | Balaghat, Khairlanji, Kirnapur, Sohagpur, Burhar, Bhikangaon, Gogawan, Ramnagar, Majhgawan, Umarban, Tirla, Gandhwani, Chanderi, Mungaoli, Bhander, Seondha, Harai, Pandhurna, Sausar, Indore, Depalpur, Sehore, Ichhawar, Vidisha, Kurwai, Shajapur, Badod, Manasa, Neemuch (29) | Naitra, Naharwani, Bhalewada, Servi, Linga, Aamgaw, Dhansua, Paraswada, Katangi, Bharveli, Beni, Miragpur, Sawargaw, Panjara, Khairi, Khairlanji, Chatera, Temni, Selotpar, Ghoti, Mohgaonkhurd, Parade, Bakkar, Dahedi, Jamadimeta, Kasangi, Lavveri, Mundesara, Pipalgawn, Sarad, Chunia, Sarangpur, Arajhula, Bodri, Dhanoora, Jamui, Kelmaniya, Lalpur, Padmaniya Khurd, Sinduri Chuniya, Dhummadol, Raikoba, Bairiha, Bijuri, Chhatai, Godinbuda, Katkona, Kuddi, Navatola, Sakra, Kanjhar, Patthar Wada, Badia Go., Boruth, Egaria, Kedwa, Nargaon, Pipalia Bujurg, Rehgaon, Sundrel, Ghatti, Piparkheda, Balgaon, Bilkhed Bujurg, Devli, Jagnath Pura, Lakhi, Nagjhiri, Rajpura, Solna, Sohaila, Argat, Devari, Tigana, Jigana, Majhatolva, Narayanpur, Nado, Gorasari, Bharatpur, Telni, Piperitola, Hardi, Lalpur, Kelhaura, Banka, Nayagav, Bhatwa, Karaudikala, Birpur, Kharberdi, Jamli, Malhera, Dedli-B, Dholahanuman, Sironj, Jalokhya, Pura, Balvarikala, Chunpya, Dholibawdi, Padla, Bhuwada, Kalibawdi, Ralamandal, Ukhalda, Dhanora, Kuwad, Kothda, Badia, Ambapura, Bagadia, Gyanpura, Musapura, Chandwada, Kharmpur, Sindhkuwa, Mafipura, Mawadipura, Sadariyakuwa, Kenvara, Khoribari, Bamoritanka, Bhyana, Dhechari, Jhagar, Khoksi, Merkabad, Russala, Singhada Dhondhiya, Arron, Navni, Aaket, Bari, Godhan, Khanpur, Laloitanka, Muradpur, Piprod, Singhpur Chalda, Barcholi, Kulariya, Ajitpura, Saletra, Bindawa, Bhalka, Mustra, Sunari, Maithanapauj, Godan, Bilaspur, Eguai, Jhuharpura, Kanjoli, Chiina, Ruhera, Karerua, Nehla, Atreta, Bercha, Elkapar, Khapa, Nandewani, Rangari, Dewli, Pangadi, Satnoor, Gangatwada, Mehrakhapa, Dukarjhela, Khamtara, Saldhana, Moharia, Andol, Sukhapura, Bhoipar, Sejwada, Surla, Chopna, Gaurpani, Dhavadikhapa, Pandoni, Bhajipani, Junewani, Lonadehi, Sillewani, Mordongri, Sawajpani, Chhawadi, Chimankhapa, Arniya, Bavliya Khurd, Asravad Bujurg, Buranakhedi, Gogakhedi, Khati Pipalya, Nainod, Pipalda, Shivni, Umrikheda, Pirnalwasa, Katkoda, Arodakot, Birgoda, Farkoda, Harnasa, Karadiya, Nevri, Ralayata, Semda, Nayapura, Balondiya, Amlanoabad, Bhaukhedi, Dhablamata, Fangiya, Khajuriyaghengi, Molga, Neelbad, Sohankheda, Seelkheda, Bansiya, Barkheda Hasan, Bilkisganj, Doraha, Jharkheda, Lelakhadi, Nipaniya, Sarkheda, Sonda, Neemkheda, Pipariya Ajit, Peepal Kheda, Tharr, Karariya Ahemedpur, Shajakhedi, Barro, Chitoriya, Kabula, Harrokhedi, Parakhedi, Nehra, Talapar, Gudawal, Roshanpipariya, Ishakhedi, Simardhan, Karaibarkhedi, Sirawali, Kaachi Kumhariya, Bercha, Tanda Pindonia, Bamori, Birgod, Haran Gaon, Ladawad, Nichma, Piploda, Tilawad Govind, Satgaon, Uchwas, Jaisingh Pura, Bargadi, Saranga Khedi, Guradia Badod, Jhota, Khjuri Badod, Lodha Khedi, Pipalia Ghata, Umarpur, Amlikheda, Bisalwas Songra, Chhayan, Harnawada, Kanakheda, Pipaliyabag, Sawan, Semlimewad, Seerkheda, Soniyana, Bhadwas, Bakhtuni, Bhagori, Denthal, Hanspur, Khetpaliya, Mokadi, Palasiya, Talau, Tamoti (290) |


| 15. | Maharashtra | Ahmednagar, Nandurbar, Nanded, Latur, Gondia, Yavatmal, Buldhana, Sindhudurg, Thane (nine) | Akola, Sangamner, Kopargaon, Akkalkuva, Shahada, Kandhar, Mukhed, Nanded, Renapur, Shirur Anantpal, Deoni, Lakhani, Tumsar, Kelapur, Yavatmal, Zari-Jamni, Motala, Khamgaon, Mehkar, Jawhar, Wada, Murbad, Sawantwadi, Kankavali, (24) | Bahirwadi, Dhamangaon Awari, Dhamangaon Pat, Garadni, Kalamb, Lavhali Otur, Palsunde, Pimpalgaon Nipani, Sherankhel, Unchkhadak Kh, Chincoli Gurav, Jawalebaleshwar, Lohare, Nandur Khandarmal, Paregaon Bk, Paregaon Kh., Pimpriloki Azampur, Sawarchol, Talegaon, Wadzari Kh., Apegaon, Bahadarpur, Dhondewadi (N.V.), Javalke, Madhi Bk., Ranjangaon Deshn, Shirasgaon, Takali, Ves, Yesgaon, Bhagadari, Bhangrapani, Ghantani, Kakadkhunt, Mogra, Ohava, Porambi, Sinduri, Vadfali, Veli, Aslod, Bhagapur, Dondwade, Kakarde Digar, Katharde Digar, Lambola, Langadi Bhavani, Nande, Shahane, Udhalod, Kawtha, Guntur, Mundewadi, Kotkalamb, Varvat, Pokharni, Nawargapura, Hipparga Shah, Dharmapuri Majre, Bahadurpura, Hangarga, Sangavi Bhadeo, Bennal, Umardari, Wasoor, Bawanwadi, Aural, Chinchgaon, Sawali, Khairka, Brahmanwada, Bhondar, Siddhanath, Dhanegaon, Vishnupuri, Limbgaon, Thugaon, Nila, Someshwar, Kalhal, Borol, Deoni(Bu), Helamb, Jawalga, Lasona, Nagral, Talegaon, Walandi, Dawanihapparg, Sayyatpur, Aari, Chamarga, Dighod, Kalamgaon, Shend, Thergaon, Ujed, Yerol, Ajni(B), Sakol, Arajkheda, Bhokarmbh, Gavhan, Koshtgaon, Motegaon, Niwada, Takalgaon, Talni, Darji Borgaon, Lakmapur, Bori, Dewhadi, Gonditol, Koshti, Mangali(K), Pathri, Sihor, Wahni, Sindhapuri, Yerali, Alesur, Dighori, Gondi, Kesalwada(Wag), Lohar, Morgaon, Pendri, Salebhat, Pimpalgaon(Sadak), Seloti, Bhisani, Daheli, Kharad, Moha, Manpur, Ratchandna, Savargad, Sakur, Waghda, Yavali, Dharna, Chikhaldara, Chankha, Bahattar, Khairgaon (D), Kopmandvi, Zuli, Kawtha, Wai, Arli, Mandvi, Durbha, Jamni, Adkoli, Bhendala, Lingoti, Zamkola, Mulgavhan, Adegaon, Matharjun, Chinchpur, Parda, Borkhedi, Kabarkhed, Kolhi Golar, Mahalungi Jahagiri, Takali, Takli Ghadekar, Ubbalkhed, Vadgaon Kh, Parkhed, Ambikapur, Chitoda, Garadgaon, Hiwarkhed, Kalegaon, Konti, Kumbephal, Pimpalgaon Raja, Wazar, Varud, Andhrud, Gajarkhed, Ganpur, Kalyana, Mohana Kd, Mundephal, Ratnapur Hiwara Sable, Vishwi, Warvand, Ayare, Dengachimet, Bhuritek, Gorthan, Borale, Zap, Valvanda, Vadoli, Dhivanda, Aine, Moj, Aabje, Pimplas, Kelthan, Nandani, Sange, Gorad, Chamble, Bilghar, Akhada, Shelgaon, Maniwali (Khu), Merdi, Khaniware, Nadhai, Mhasa, Fansoli, Tembhare (Bu), Dongarnhave, Balegaon, Amboli, Bhalaval, Kalambist, Kolgaon, Malgaon, Niravde, Satarda, Wafoli, Chartha, Chaukul, Aynal, Harkul Budruk, Kalsuli, Nagve, Osargaon, Pisekamate, Shirval, Vagde, Dariste, Karul (240) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. | Manipur | Imphal East, Thoubal, Tamenglong, Churachandpur (four) | Imphal East I, Imphal East II, Thoubal, Kakching, Tamenglong, Nungba, Lamka, Sangaikot, Vangai (nine) | Heingang, Khurai Chingangbam Leikai, Khurai Nandeibam Leikai, Luwangshangbam, Nilakuthi, Pungdongbam, Takhel, Waiton, Laipham Khunou, Kairang, Bamonkampur, Chanam Sandrok, Keirao Makting, Keirao, Kiyamgei Muslim Arapti, Naharup, Thongju Pt-II, Top Naoria, Tumukhong Moirangpurel, Uchekon Nongpok, Heirok Pt II, Kangyambem, Khangabok Pt III, Leishangthem , Oinam Sawombung, Sangaiyumpham Pt II, Turel Ahanbi, Wangkhem, Leirongthel Ningel, Maibam Uchiwa, Chairel, Irengband, Langmeidong, Mayeng Lamjao, Sekmaijin, Serou, Waikhong, Wangoo, Pallel, Hiyanglam, Chaengdai, Guigailong, Happy Villa - A, Khangchiuluan, Model Village, Rigunlong, Salem (New), Taobam, Tamenglong Village, Makhuam, Rongdai, Thingou, Taodaijang, Nungba HQ, Khongsang, Charoi, Charoi II, Rengpang, Longmai(Noney), Puiluan(Kambiron), Bungmual, Dorcas Veng, Gochinkhupveng, Hiangtam Lamka, HQ Veng, Mata Mualtam, Simveng, Vengnuam, Zenhang Lamka, Rengkai, Dongjang, Hengken, L.Gangpimual, Makhao, Mongnelphai, Saiboh, T.Lailoiphai, Tuitum Vajang, Sangaikot, Tuining, Hengchungpunjee, Kasurbali, Kangring, Nungsekpunjee, Phailienthang Punjee, Tahtuihmuan, Thingpuikuol, Tuisen, Sibapurikhal (Ankhasuo), Kangrengdor (90) |
| 17. | Meghalaya | East Khasi Hills, West Khasi Hills, West Garo Hills and South Garo Hills (four) | Chokpot, Gasuapara, Mairang, Mawphlang, Mawthadraishan, Rongram, Shella-Bholaganj, Tikrikilla (eight) | Madan Umsaw Pyllun, Rangshken, Urur, Phudmyrdong, Lumsohriew, Mawripih 'A', Marpna, Nongbsap, Mawpunneng, Mawponghong, Laitmawpen, Madanbitaw, Mawtap Pyllun, Tiehbah, Trawsaitkhlieh, Len Pdengshnong, Umsaw 'A', Madankor, Dongsurok Laitryngew, Laitduh, Lumskul Mawphu, Mawsmai, Mawmluh, Shyrwang, Pyndeng Nonglori, Pyndeng Dombah, Nongrang, Nongkhlaw, Lawkynter, Langkyrteng, Nongthyldep, Dongbir, Umthlong, Madan Bynther, Laitkseh, Marngor, Mawlangkhar, Mawlangsu, Mawkohlur, Lawbyrtun, |


|  |  |  |  | Nonglait, Nongshillong, Markasa, Asanang, Jendragre, Allagre, Ringgigre, Chandigre, Chokagre, Gondenggre, Rombagre, Chikasingre, Anogre, Waribokgre, Galwanggre, Danakgre, Rongkhongre, Marakpara, Mongalgre, Lower Sabanggre, Jugirjhar, Naguapara, Lower Rembigre, Pedaladoba, Bundukmali, Photamati, Aitibi, Tikrikilla, Ghaguapara, Odalguri, Wankolagre, Seempara - A, Seempara - B, Jatrakona, Dimapara - A, Dimapara - B, Kapasipara - A, Tellekali, Ramchengga - A, Ramchengga - B, Bolkongpara, Chokpotgre, Dagal Wagebokgre, Daji Teksragre, Ganchikalak, Gonggangre, Mitapgre, Rongrakgre, Sangknigre, Warimagre (90) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. | Mizoram | Lunglei, Lawngtlai (two) | Lungsen, Hnathial, Lawngtlai, Bungtlang 'S' (four) | Vairawkai, Sihphirtlang, Upper Lungrang, Lungrang ' S ', Silgur, Nunsury, Khawmawi, Bindasora, Lungsen, Zodin, Serhuan, Tipperaghat, Chengkawllui, Chhumkhum, Phairuangkai, South Vanlaiphai, Pangzawl, Aithur, Rawpui, Hnathial ' $N$ ', Hnathial ' $S$ ', Zochachhuah, Electric Veng, Bazar, Diltlang, AOC Veng, Chawngtelui, Chawngte-P, Sihtlangpui, Tuidangtlang, College Veng, Lawngtlai III, Chikhurlui, Vaseikai, Kawnkhua (Sekulhkai), Tuichawngtlang, Hmunnuam, Bungtlang ' S ', Karlui (39) |
| 19. | Nagaland | Mon, Tuensang, Dimapur (three) | Chen, Tobu, Sangsangnyu, Noklak, Chessore, Dhansiripar, Medziphema (seven) | Chaoha Chingnyu, Chenloiso, Choknyu, Jakphang, Ngangching, Wangti, Maneakshu, Pesao, Ukha, Yakshu, Hakchang, Helipong, Maksha, Momgching, Sangsangnyu, Saochu, Chessore Village, Kiutsukiur, Kuthur, Shiponger, Ekhao, Kengnyu, Nakyak, New Pangsha, Panso B, Taknyu, Yakhao, Yimpang, Disagaphu, Doyapur, Khekiho, Kiyeto, Razaphe, Razaphe Basa, Singrijan, Toshezu, Aoyimti, Bungsang, Darogapathar, Diphupar, Hekheshe, Industrial Village, Khopanalla, Khriezephe, Molvom, Naagarjan, Paglapahar, Phaiphijang, Samaguri, Seithekema A, Sodzulhou, Sovima, Thilixu, Toluvi (54) |
| 20. | Odisha | Kendrapara, Khordha, <br> Angul, Bhadrak, <br> Ganjam, Sambalpur, <br> Bolangir, Gajapati <br> (eight) | Aul, Rajnagar, Balianta, Khordha, Tangi, Angul, Kaniha, Basudevpur, Chandbali, Ganjam, Aska, Digapahandi, Bamra, Kuchinda, Rengali, Agalpur, Muribahal, Patnagarh, Gosani, Nuadada (20) | Atala, Chandia Gadi, Demal, Ketuapala, Mahu, Mendha Pur, Patra Pur, Sahira, Singiri, Tunga, Brahmansahi, Dangamala, Dera, Iswarpur, Kandira, Koilipur, Mahulia, Ostia, Rajnagar, Rangani, Bainchua, Balianta, Bentapur, Jaganathpur, Kakarudrapur, Prataprudrapur, Puranpradhan, Umadeibrahmapur, Sarakana, Jhintisasan, Bajapur, Dhauli Muhan, Garh Haladia, Jankia, Kanpur, Malipur, Nalipada Arjunpur, Narangarh, Pallatotapada, Pubusahi, Achyutpur, Badapari, Badaokharia, Chhanagiri, Kuhudi, Lendo, Nuagarh, Olasingh, Rameswar, Sundarpur, Badakantakula, Balnga(New), Balasinga, Baluakata, Baragaunia, Khalari, Khinda, Kumursingha, Manikajodi, Saradhapur, Badagunduri, Balipasi, Bijigol, Biru, Deranga, Hanumanapur, Karnapal, Kulei, Kuluma, Parabil, Arandua, Aruhan, Barandua, Ertal, K.K. Pur, Kumarpur, Lunga, Sudarsanpur, Balimunda, Balimunda, Bansada, Bhatapada, Bhuinbruti, Madhapur, Mausudha, Nalgunda, Nandapur, Totopada, Joshipur, Nologohira, Ramagada, Huma, Rajapur, Malada, S.Ramachandrapur, Pallibandha, Subalaya, Palanga, Pairosi, Khandadeuli, Benapat, Gunthupada, Kalasandhapur, Kamagada, Kendupadar, Khandadeuli, Khaira, Munigadi, Nimina, Sidhanai, Bomkai, Ch.Nimakhandi, Ch.Tikarpada, Gadagobindapur, Gokarnapur, Khamarigaon, Kusapada, Nimakhandipentha, Phasiguda, S.Tikarapada, Babunikitimal, Jarabag, Kinabaga, Sagara, Garposh, Mahulpali, Turei, Pindapathar, Keseibahal, Kabribahal, Boxma, Telitileimal, Paruabhadi, Bauriguda, Gochhara, Kuntara, Tainsar, Kusumi, K.Jamankira, Salebhadi, Laida, Rengloi,Jangla, Tamparkela, Jhankarpali, Katarbaga, Lapanga, Rengali, Salad, Tabadabhal, Agalpur, Babufasad, Bendra, Bhrsuja, Budula, Duduka, Kutasingh, Rinbachan, Nuniapali, Jharanipali, Bankel, Chalki, Chanabahal, Goimund, Haldi, Lakhana, Lebda, Tupaudar, Ichhapada, Malisira, Deulgaon, Gerda, Khuntsamlei, Maruan, Sunamudi, Tamian, Tendapadar, Ulba, Kendumundi, Dangabahal, Badakaturu, Bhuskudi, Bomika, Garabandha, Ghorani, Katalakaitha, Kerandi, Labanyagada, Rampa, Uppalada, Attarsingi, Betarsingi, Keradang, Khajuripada, Nuagada, Paikantarada, Parimala, Putrupada, Sambalpur, Tabarada (200) |
| 21. | Punjab | Hoshiarpur, Barnala, Fazilka, Amritsar, Mukatsar, Panthankot | Bhunga, Tanda, Talwara, Sehna, Barnala, Abohar, Jalalabad, Ajnala, Verka, | Tantpal, Gugial, Kahlwan, Chak Gujran, Kapahat, Durian, Gallowal, Jallowal, Dhoot Kalan, Bassi Babu Khan, Jhans, Kaloya, Bhagian, Hambran, Kotli Bodal, Kotli Jand, Jaura, Jhawan, Harsi Pind, Khudda, Chattarpur, Depur, Fatehpur, Goewal, Beh Bidiya, Changrwan, Chamuhi, Heer Beh, Gwalchak shingaroo, Bhadiaran, Channa Gulab |


|  |  | (six) | Gidderbaha, Malout, Bamial, Narot Jai Mal Singh (13) | Singh Wala, Cheema, Draj, Dharampura, Pakhoke, Dhilwan Patiala, Sukhpura, Tajoke, Rurekekhurd, Gurm, Kotdunna, Pandher, Manal, Bhure, Badra, Thulewa, Thikriwal, Chak Kala Tiba, Shergarh, Ruhrian Wali, Sherewala, Jodhpur, Bhagu, Ghuriana, Malukpur, Dhaban Kokarian, Bhawal Bassi, Attu Wala, Chak Gariban Sandar, Dhab Khariyal, Hazara Ram Singh Wala, Kirian wala, Lamochar Kalan Uttar, Mohar Singh wala, Pacca Kala wala, Sukhera Bodla, Sarai, Kotli Amb, Jafarkot, Kamirpur, Issapur, Hashampura, Bhure Gill, Kotla Kazian, Kotli Shah Habib, Dial Bhatti, Meeran Kot Kalan, Nangli, Murad Pura, Abadi Dayanand Nagar, Loharka Khurd, Khapar Kheri, Abadi Sunder Nagar, Thande, Bal, Gaunsabad, Chhatiana, Chakgiljewal, Kot Bhai, Kotli ablu, Mallan, Sahib chand, Sotha, Bhangchari, Raniwala, Pakki Tibi, Ram Nagar, Khunnan Kalan, Karniwala, Katorewala, Danewala, Khaneki Dhab, Anial, Bamial, Bhakhri, Chak amir, Gohla, Khojki Chak, Muthi, Abadi Phoolpur, Begowal, Fatehpur, Nakki, Nangal, Nangal Farida, Sahoda Kalan, Sharif Chak, Shekhopur Manjiri (118) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22. | Rajasthan | Bhilwara, Bundi, Churu, Dholpur, Dungarpur, Jaisalmer, Jaipur, Jalore (eight) | Banera, Suwana, Raipur, Nainwa, Talera, Sardarshahar, Taranagar, Dholpur, Raja Khera, Sagwara, Simalwara, Sam, Sankra, Chaksu, Phagi, Viratnagar, Sanchore, Raniwara (18) | Babrana, Baran, Beran, Chamanpura, Dabla, Kundiya Kalan, Rakshi, Salriya Kalan, Sardarnagar, Upreda, Atoon, Bhopalgarh, Dariba, Gundli, Gurla, Mahuwa Kalan, Rampuriya, Sangwa, Suwana, Swaroopganj, Asaholi, Bagar, Binta, Boriyapura, Jharol, Khemana, Narayan Khera, Pitha, Raipur, Thala, Bachhola, Dei, Gurha Sadavartian, Jetpur, Karwar, Khanpura, Mani, Maran, Rajlawata, Talwas, Aktasa, Bajad, Budhpura, Dabi, Dora, Jakhmund, Ladpur, Laxmipura, Leeled Byasan, Rajpura, Ajeetsar, Bhojsar Upadhiiyan, Boghera, Jaitsisar, Kalyanpura Purohitan, Kikasar, Kheenwansar, Melusar, Phoga Bhardhari, Rooplisar, Alayla, Bain, Bhaleri, Dheerwas Bara, Gajoowas, Kalwas, Raitunda, Redi, Sahwa, Sarayan, Biparpur, Bothpura, Karimpur, Kookra Makra, Kurendha, Maloni Panwar, Nagla Kharapur, Nidhaira Kalan, Pachgaon, Saipau, Bajna, Basai Gheeyaram, Basai Kare, Jatoli, Kasimpur, Kherli, Mangrol, Nagar, Sadapur, Sikroda, Barboodaniya, Bheeloora, Dencha, Dibra Chhota, Hadmala, Kahela, Od, Parwa, Pipla Gunj, Vageri, Baba Ki Bar, Bansiya, Baori, Gundlara, Jorawar Pura, Mala Kholra, Nagariya Panchela, Seemalwara, Sendola, Sakodra, Bersiyala, Bida, Chhatangar, Fatehgarh, Habur, Kapuriya, Khoohri, Loonar, Sam, Sipala, Balad, Barath Ka Gaon, Bhaniyana, Dantal, Kelawa, Khetolai, Lathi, Neran, Panasar, Rajmathai, Arnai, Damana, Danta, Dhanta, Hariyali, Karola, Khara, Nainol, Pamana, Surawa, Aajodar, Akhrad, Jakhadi, Jalera Khurd, Jorwas, Korka, Kura, Ratanpur, Ropsi, Silasan, Garoodwasi, Jhapda Kalan, Kadera, Kathawala, Kumhariyawas, Mahadeopura, Mandaliya, Nimodiya, Roopaheri Khurd Bapugaon, Sawai Madhosinghpura, Chittora, Dabich, Didawata, Harsooliya, Kansel, Kishorpura, Ladana, Lasariya, Peepla, Phagi, Badodiya, Bagawas Ahiran, Bhamod, Bhankhari, Chheetoli, Jaje Kalan, Jodhpura, Poorawala, Rampura,Tulsipura (180) |
| 23. | Sikkim | East Sikkim, South Sikkim (two) | Pakyong, Regu, Namchi, Temi Tarku (four) | Aho Yangtan, Kartok Namcheybong, Aritar, Dalapchan, Assangthang, Maniram, Ben Namprik, Temi (8) |
| 24. | Tamil Nadu | Coimbatore, Erode, Perambalur, Pudukottai, Tiruvallur, Vellore, Sivagangai, Virudhunagar (eight) | Pollachi South, Kinathukadavu, Sultanpet, Alangayam, Thimiri, Thirapathur, Kadambathur, Vilivakkam, Poondi, Bhavanisagar, TN Palayam, Kodumudi, Alathur, Veppur, Manamadurai, S.Pudur, Kannangudi, Vembakottai, Kariapatti, Rajapalayam, | Veeralpatti, Naickenpalayam, Gomangalam, Ambarampalayam, Gomangalampudur, Thenkumarapalayam, Nallur (S), Vakkampalayam, Zaminkottampatti, Thondamuthur, Panapatti, Kovilpalayam, Arasapalayam, Kakkadavu, Mettupalayam, Sirukalandai, Solavampalayam, Devarayapuram, Andipalayam, Sokkanur, Kumarapalayam, Varapatti, Sellackarichal, Vadavedampatti, Bogampatti, Sencheripudur, S.Ayyampalayam, Kamalapatti, Kallapalayam, Pachapalayam, Beemalulam, Pungampattunadu, Govindapuram, Girisamudiram, Neckanamalai, Elayanagaram, Madanancheri, Pudumadu, Pethaveppampattu, Vijilapuram, Pali, Pariyamangalam, Melnayakanpalayam, Senganavaram, Mambakkam, Soraiyur, Sennasamudram, Durgam, Mazhaiyur, Vembi, Aneri, Pudukottai, Kakamanpalayam, Annandapatti, Kurumbakeri, Mittur, Ak Mottur, Thathansvalasai, Kadhirampatti, Madapalli, Kondancheri, Polivakkam, Ramankoil, Kopur, Kadambathur, Vellerithangal, Pudumavilangai, Citrambakkam, Killacheri, Mappedu, Palavedu, Ayyapakkam, Pammadhukulam, Adayalampattu, |


|  |  |  | Thirumayam, Ponnamaravathi, Viralimalai (23) | Vellachery, Pondeshwaram, Arakkambakkam, Vanagaram, Karalapakkam, Alathur, Kalavai, Eraiyur, Tb Puram, Perunjeri, Meyyur, Ramalingapuram, Ellapanaidupetttai, Thiruper, Goonipalayam, Nampakkam, Desipalayam, Karapadi, Kavilipalayam, Mathampalayam, Nallur, Nochikkuttai, Periyakallippatti, Pungar, Kothamangalam, Panayampalli, Akkaraikodivery, Arakkankottai, Kanakkampalayam, Nanjaipulliampatti, Odayagoundenpalayam, Perumugai, Pullappanaickenpalayam, Punjaithurayam Palayam, Kondayampalayam, Kongarapalayam, Anjur, Ayyampalayam, Avudaiyarparai, Elunuthimangalam, Ichipalayam, Kollathupalayam, Kondalam, Kongudaiyampalayam, Nanjaikollanalli, Vallipuram, Elandapatti, Adhanur, Ayinapuram, Naranamangalam, Elandankuzhi, Mavilangai, Kolathur, Padaloopr, Irur, Kizhamathur, Perali, Aduthurai, Andhoor, Vadakkalur, Periammapalayam, Kizhumathoor, Varagoor, Kunnam, Asoor, Sirumathur, Keelappasalai, Kattikulam, Thanjakoor, Sannathipudhukulam, Perumpatcheri, M.Karisalkulam, Vagudi, Kalkurichi, Therukku Chanthanoor, Seikalathur, Kuluthupatti, Manaloor, Piranapatti, Puluthipatti, Kunnathur, K Pudupatti, Melavannariruppu, Urathupatti, Ulagampatti, Musundapatti, Hanumanthagudi, Koduvour, Thiruppakkottai, Puthurani, Kangani, Thathani, Vengalore, Kandiyur, Kannangudi, Siruvatchi, A.Lakshmiapuram, Alangulam, Guganparai, Jegaveeranpatti, Kundairrpu, Sevalpatti, Thulukankurichi, Vettrilaiyoorani, Subramaniapuram, Elayirampannai, Varalotti, Mustakuruchi, Pampatty, Kambikudi, Vakkanankundu, Melakallankulam, Alagianallur, V.Nangoor, Manthope, Chettikulam, Zamin Nallamangalam, Ayankollankondan, Sundaranatchiyapuram, Venganallur (S), Muhavoor, Meenachipuram, Ganapathy Sundaranatchiyapuram, Chatrapati, Cholapuram, Sundarajapuram, Kannanoor, Pulivalam, Arasampatti, Kuzhipirai, Melur, Nachandupatti, Kuruvikondampatti, Rarapuram, Kottur, Thulaiyanoor, Maravamadurai, Olimangalam, Kopnapatti, Konnaipatti, Konnayampatti, Melamelnilai, Nagarapatti, Nallore, Vedampatti, Chirukalamboor, Letchumanampatti, Perambalur, Boodhakudi, Viralimalai, Meenaveli, Kesavanoor, Kumaramangalam, Vadudapatti, Theravoor, Pakudi (230) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25. | Tripura | West Tripura, South Tripura (two) | Jirania, Hezamara, Teliamura, Kakraban, Killa, Matabari (six) | Bridhanagar, Durganagar, Madhya Debendranagar, Paschim Jiraniakhala, Purba Debendranagar, Purba Noagaon, Radhakishore Nagar, Radhapur, Sachindranagar, Uttar Majlishpur, Surendanagar, Barkathatl, Paschim Chandpur, Paschim Tamakari, Balurbandh, Meghlibandh, Dumarakaridak, Purbanagaon, Ramshankar, Shankhola, Paschim Teliamura, Madhya Krishanpur, Dakshin Krishinpur, Howaibari, Hadrai, Sardukarkari, Moharchhar A, Chakmaghat, Uttarpulinpur, Paschim Howaibari, Dakshin Rani (Adc), Dhuptali (Adc), Hadra, Hurijala, Ichachhara, Jamjuri, Murapara, Purba Tulamura (Adc), Shilghati, Uttar Shilghati (Adc), Killa, Raiyabari, Kachigang, Choyghoria, East Khupilong, Kowaimura, South Baramura, Noabari, Uttar Brajendranagar, South Brajendranagar, Barabhaya, Dalshin Maharani, Gamaria, Khilpara, Maharani, Purba Gakulpur, Shalgara, Tepania, Uttar Kalaban, Uttar Maharani (60) |
| 26. | Uttar Pradesh | Azamgarh, Kushi Nagar, Allahabad, Gonda, Balrampur, Sultanpur, Varansi, Bareilly, Moradabad, Rampur, Bulandshahr, Ghaziabad, Sitapur, Unnao, Lucknow, Jalaun, Banda, Chitrakoot (18) | Ahiraula, Maharajganj, Koilsa, Ramkola, Padrauna, Captainganj, Soraon, Chaka, Urwa, Itiathok, Babhanjot, Katrabazar, Pachpedwa, Sridattganj, Dubeypur, Dostpur, Bhadaiya, Chiraigaon, Harahua, Mirganj, Bhadpura, Alampur Jafrabad, Bahjoi, Bilari, Moradabad, | Kusamahara, Vilari, Gahzi, Pakdi, Kotavali Pur, Kori Ghatampur, Ishakpur, Sajani, Sahuwal Asilai, Shambhupur, Bhatauli, Mureelpur, Araji Jajman Jot, Mahaji Dewara Jadid, Baijuapur, Govardhanpur, Bhilam Pur, Captaingang, Chaurashi, Motipur, Kaudiya, Benghu Kishundev Patti, Deurpur Sarai, Usurkudewa, Bhawanipatti, Bhadaura Molnapur, Rampur Dasharajpatti, Ghazipur, Karamhadigur Pur, Keshavpur, Farna, Lala Chapra, Motipakad, Bhathahi Bujurg, Tarkulwa, Parorha, Kusumhi, Abdul Chak Islam, Pidari, Deoria Babu, Jungal Hanumanganj, Gangrani, Lamuha Kewal Chapra, Sukhpura, Nadah, Sarpatahi Bujurg, Sahuadih, Kalyan Chhapar, Jungal Naharchhapra, Sakhopar, Pemali, Pakadi, Kundur, Gangrai, Bauliya, Mundera, Captainganj, Balua, Sudhiyani, Padkhori, Gohari, Sarai Bahar, Sahaji Pur, Sarai Lal Khatoon Shiv Garh, Padraiya, Raja Pur Malhuva, Gaura, Jallupur, Malak Chaturi, Singar Pur, Dabhav, Nibi Khurd, Balapur, Bara Mar, Ghogha Pur, Champat Pur, Chak Pure Khurd, Bhadara, Newada Samogar, Lawayan Kala, Chilbila, Akodha, Lehadi, Kanjouli, Chauktha Tivariyan, Patti |


|  |  |  | Bilaspur, Shahabad, <br> Anupshahar, Agauta, Khurja, <br> Muradnagar, Garh <br> Mukteshwar, Machretha, Hargaon, Parsendi, Hilauli, Sikandarpur Karan, Auras, MohanLal Ganj, Kakori, Konch, Jalaun, Tindwari, Mahua, Karvi, Manikpur (46) | Nath Rai, Upraura, Barva, Ram Nagar, Amiliya, Belwa Shukla, Karuapara, Sighawa Pur, Lakhani Pur, Ramwapur Nayak, Arjunpur, Verdeeha, Belwa Bahuta, Baher Kunwa, Gyanapur Khariha, Bhavpur, Mubarak Pur Grant, Itawa Khurd, Barhara, Bangawa, Dhodaupur, Pipra Ismail, Gaura Bujurg, Izadi Pur, Pipra Barakhna, Sehariya Kala, Sarainya, Nadanwa, Basbhariya, Pipari Manjha, Babupur, Nakha, Chaipurwa, Meharbana Bad, Teri, Dhubaulia, Bhathar, Gurchihwa, Bhushar Uchwa, Adamtara, Laukhwa, Mankapur, Chorsi, Gaurabhari, Bangarh Pipri, Mehmoodnagar, Kapauahsherpur, Dhowadabar, Belai Bujurg, Baibheet, Babhanpurwa, Dhamauli, Mujehna, Agaya Bujurg, Chandanpur, Baijapur, Ramapur, Bansi, Dehali Mubarakpur, Katkauli, Bibiganj, Lauhar Paschim, Jaitapur, Khainchila Kala, Lauhar Dakxin, Mustafa Badkala, Sukhaupur Asrafpatti, Bani, Sariya Mustafa Bad, Gorai, Imligawan, Pahar Pur Raipatti, Bamhrauli, Arjunaipur, Katara Chunghupur, Kenaora, Pithipur, Belasada, Karomi, Kuchmuch, Alipur, Abhiya Kala, Unchahara, Sarayachal, Mahesuwa, Allopur, Tilmapur, Sultanpur, Irasti, Barai, Dinapur, Sonavrasa, Mokalpur, Saraiya Bishunpura, Khalispur, Paramanand Pur, Ganesh Pur, Paliyashambhupur, Kaklpur, Lodan, Gahura, Ausan Pur, Gosaipur Mohavn, Kohasi, Haribllabhapur, Divna, Pipariyamustquil, Pahunchabuzurgmustquil, Simariya, Junhaimustquil, Balupura, Sirodhiangadpur, Tilmas, Mohammadaganjmustquil, Nagariyakalyanpur, Panvadiyaelakajalalpur, Margapurmargaiya, Pahrapururbhagwatipur, Surajpur Paroriya, Dhimri, Matkapur, Karuasahabganj, Dabri Dabra, Ambarpur, Gulariyalekhraj, Daruapur, Majnoopur, Kangaon, Chadpur Navadia, Sihuliya, Rasula, Nakatpur, Bhojpur, Bilauri, Digoi, Pagona, Fatehpur Sarif Nagar, Bisaroo, Mulheta, Baderia, Chopa, Beharoli Taharpur, Maithara Allpur, Kirari, Bhawan, Deora Khas, Dharam Pur Kuiyan, Lakhneta, Kurh Fateh Garh, Naglia Jat, Maleh Pur Sidhari, Atwa Checheri, Maleh Pur Bhawani, Dharam Pur Ratta, Ahlad Pur Khem Urf Raipur, Sonakpur, Umari, Vakainia Mafi, Uttam Pur Bahelal Pur, Barbar Mazra, Lodipur Rajpoot, Mangupura, Rasoolpur Sunwati, Bhensia, Theekri, Bhatpura Taran, Bedpur, Pipalia Mehto, Mundia Kalan, Tehri Khwaja, Chandayan, Ahro, Jithania Jagir, Dalki, Sitaura, Madyan Budepur, Dholsar, Lodhipur, Nababganj, Udaipur Jagir, Raipur, Doharia, Khandeli, Ravana, Mittarpur Ahreola, Kishankhera, Karanpur Kalan, Roopwas, Bagsra, Hasanpur Bangar, Salalmatpur, Khanoda, Bibiyana, Sunana, Paharpur, Tatarpur, Akhtayarpur, Meerpur, Agauta, Neemchana, Bagwala, Jasanawali Kalan, Kheri, Brari, Pawsara, Saneeta Safipur, Dharari, Khurja Dehat, Bichhat, Asgarpur, Dastura, Bhagvanpur, Sikari, Maina Kalandra Garhi, Akhtyarpur, Jalalpur Dindhal, Sarna Murad Nagar, Manauli, Sikhaida Hajari, Rewadi Rewda, Husainpur, Nekpur Sabitnagar, Firozpur, Painga, Badka Arifpur, Lahadara, Dhana, Chittauda Mahiuddinpur, Dholpur, Sadullapur Lodi, Chandener, Bagadpur, Karimpur, Salarpur, Dahra, Hans Khera, Gujrehta, Anogi, Sisendi, Bijubamau, Fateh Nagar, Gadhi, Barsandhiya, Mirzapur Uttari, Mirzapur Dakshini, Keuti Kalan, Katesar, Barkherwa, Wazirpur, Semari Bhan, Richhin, Gurdhapa, Madnapur, Bariyadih, Nigohan, Udanapur Kalan, Meernagar, Narsohi, Misepur, Mohraiya Kala, Kaimahra Wazirpur, Khadaniya, Gauriya Kalan, Shahpur Dalawal, Chandpur, Mardan Pur, Bardha, Ahesa, Barwa Kalan, Bahwa, Gujauli, Khanpur, Nari Chak, Maharani Khera, Musandi, Karaundi, Anoop Pur, Badiyan Khera, Bhaisai Koyal, Ranipur, Vibhaura Chandan Pur, Tikari Ganesh, Rawat Pur, Mawaiya Mafi, Chhariha, Alipur Michloula, Lahru, Tikara Bao, Samad, Tikara Samad, Maini Bhawakheda, Kabroyee, Rampur Khanjhadi, Bahadurpur Khanjhadi, Seemau, Dayal Pur, Bindauwa, Garhi Mehdauli, Dahiyar, Paraspur Thattha, Balsingh Khera, Samesee, Salsamau Hilgi, Hasanpur Kaneri, Nigoha, Mahipatmau, Saifalpur, Jehta, Sarousa Bharousa, Amethya Salempur, Salempur Patoura, Sraiprem Raj, Kushmoura Haluwa Pur, Saitha, Pahiya Ajampur, Anda, Pahar Goan, Panyara, Bharsunda, Gumawli, Birgawan Bujurg, Sami, Kaithi, Kunda, Jamrehi Khurd, Makarand Pura, Dhanaura Kalan, Nagri, Kushmara, Mohan Pur Kudari, Sarang Pur, Gadhela, Harkauti, Ura Malloo, Alai Pura, Bhujrakh, Bambia, Loumar, Sindhouli, Atrahat, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  |  | Bareri Kala, Chirhuta, Tarahi Mafi, Godhni, Ghokharhia, Rahusat, Nayee, Kharauch, Manipur, Bdeha Syodha, Baruwa Spotha, Prem Pur, Dhurgapur, Bachehi, Anadhuwa, Gadhighat, Ramayapur, Baihar, Hariharpur, Kadar Gunj, Itkhari, Khutahaa, Bhanbhayee, Baramafi, Bhaganpur, Bambhiya, Devakali, Baghaura, Nagar, Arvara, Kota Kandaila, Bagdari, Nihi, Raipura, Itwa Dudaila (460) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27. | Uttarakhand | Pauri, Dehradun, Pithoragarh, Almora (four) | Pauri, Khirsu, Ekeshwar, Chakrata, Vikas Nagar, Munsiyari, Didihat, Bhikiasen, Dwarahat, Dhauladevi (10) | Ayal, Dhanau Malla, B. Dhandari, Baunsari, Ujyadi, Nankot, Gumai, Garh Ka Margaon, Bada, Kyark, Kothgi, Markhoda, Gajeli, Sumadi, Jaletha, Sweet, Dharigaon, Badeshu, Mindangaon, Koltha, Dyuld, Vinjoli, Sanglakoti, Matchouri, Malethi, Naugaon, Mathana, Gorli, Pathar, Vatholi, Mashk, Rajanoo, Behmoo, Painva, Bulhad, Kharoda, Jaadi, Kunva, Atal, Mundhol, Dhakrani, Bawan Dhar, Fatehpur, Jaman Khata, Majri, Kata Pathar, Matogi, Babugarh, Baragiwala, Sabhawala, Bajeta, Dhamigaon, Quiri, Ringu, Khasiabara, Kotiyura, Manitundi, Ringonia, Chauna Bhadeli, Ruispata, Lakhtigaon, Dunakot, Sitauli, Durlekh, Jakhghaulet, Kandaman Singh, Leparthi, Nanpapo, Mala Jhula, Satyal Gaon, Peepal Gaon, Inda, Mayoli, Bajan, Singoli, Budhali, Palitholi, Ugalia, Naikana, Kharak, Sutar Gaon, Naulakot, Todara, Kui, Kulsivi, Rawadi, Ida Sera, Chhana, Bavan, Sakuni, Khola, Madam, Mani Agar, Kothuligunth, Gurdabaj, Dunarh, Naugaon, Chaun Dungari, Faltiya, Kunja Gunth(100) |
| 28. | West Bengal | Bardhaman, Murshidabad, South 24 Parganas, Bankura, Jalpaiguri (five) | Sarenga, Simlapal, Hirabandh, Bhangore-I, Namkhana, Baruipur, Bardhaman-I, Jamuria, Katwa-II, Kalchini, Dhupguri, Nagrakata, Suti-I, Raghunathganj-II, Beldanga-I (15) | Bikrampur, Dubrajpur, Lakshmisagar, Machatora, Mandalgram, Parsola, Simlapal, Bikrampur, Chiltor, Gargaria, Goalbari, Neturpur, Sarenga, Baharamuri, Gopalpur, Hirabandh, Maliyan, Moshiara, Baghar-I, Baghar-li, Bandul-I, Belkash, Kshetia, Kurmun-I, Rayan-I, Rayan-li, Saraitikar, Bahadurpur, Chinchuria, Churulia, Dobrana, Hijalgara, Kenda, Madantor, Parasia, Shyamla, Tapsi, Agradwip, Gazipur, Jagadanandapur, Karui, Palsona, Singi, Sreebati, Malangi, Kalchini, Chuapara, Dalsingpara, Garopara, Jaygaon I, Latabari, Mendabari, Rajbhatkhaowa, Satali, Banarhat I, Banarhat-li, Binnaguri, Chamurchi, Gadong I, Gadong Ii, Jharaltagram Ii, Magurmari Ii, Sakoajhora I, Salbari I, Angrabhasa - I, Angrabhasa - Ii, Champaguri, Luksan, Sulkapara, Ahiran, Bahutali, Bansabati, Harua, Nurpur, Sadikpur, Barasimul-Dayarampur, Giria, Jotkamal, Kasiadanga, Lakshmijola, Mitipur, Sammatinagar, Sekalipur, Sekendra, Teghari - I, Bhabta-I, Madda, Debkundu, Chaitanyapur I, Chaitanyapur Ii, Mirzapur I, Mirzapur Ii, Mohula I, Mohula Ii, Bodra, Chandaneshwar - I, Chandaneshwar - Ii, Durgapur, Jagulgachhi, Narayanpur, Pranganj, Shankshahar, Tardah, Budhakhali, Frezargunj, Haripur, Mousuni, Namkhana, Shibrampur, Narayanpur, Hardah, Shankarpur I, Belgachi, Champahati, Dhapdhapi Ii, Hariharpur, Mallickpur, Ramnagar I, Ramnagar Ii, Shikharbali Ii (120) |
| 29. | Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | North \& Middle Andaman, South Andaman (two) | Little Andaman, Prothrapur, Rangat, Diglipur (four) | Hut Bay, Netaji Nagar, Ram KrishnaPur, Shyam Nagar, Garacharma-I, Govind Nagar, Dasarathpur, Long Island, Parnasala, Kishorinagar, Kalighat, Diglipur, Nilambur , R.K. Gram (14) |
| 30. | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | Dadar \& Nagar Haveli (one) | Dadar \& Nagar Haveli (one) | Kala, Chauda, Besada, Khedapa, Vansda, Naroli, Bonta, Samrvarni, Rakholi,Sayali (10) |
| 31. | Lakshadweep | Lakshadweep (one) | Kavaratti, Agatti, Amini (three) | Kavaratti, Agatti, Amini (three) |
| 32. | Puducherry | Puducherry, Karaikal (two) | Ariankuppam, Villianur, Karaikal(three) | Andiarpalayam, Ariyankuppam West, Bahour (East), Abishegapakkam, Karaiyamputhur Panayadikuppam, Manamedu, Nettapakkam, Kalmandapam Pandasozhanallur, Sembiapalayam - I Nathamedu, Sooramangalam, Kalitherthal Kuppam, Katterikuppam, Madagadipet, Odiampet, Santhaipudukuppam, Santhamangalam, Sedrapet, Suthukeny, Thirukannur, Thiruvandarkoil, Ambagarathur, Keezhaiyur, Melakasakudy, Neravy(East), Pettai, Sellur, Thirunallar(North), Thirunallar(South), Ponbethy, Kurumbagaram (30) |

## Annex-3A

## Annual Plan/Development Plan

(Refer to paragraph 3.2)

| Documented Annual Plan not prepared or was incomplete |  | Meeting at GSlevel not convened |  | District Annual Plan not prepared |  | Block-wise Shelf of Projects not prepared |  | Persondays to be generated not indicated |  | Full cost of each project not indicated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State/UT | GPs | State/UT | GPs | State/UT | Districts | State/UT | Districts | State/UT | Districts | State | Districts |
| Andhra Pradesh | 150 | Karnataka | 128 | Andhra Pradesh | 5 | Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | Assam | 3 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 43 | Punjab | 17 | Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | Goa | 2 | Assam | 3 | Haryana | 2 |
| Goa | 14 | West Bengal | 83 | Assam | 1 | Gujarat | 6 | Bihar | 15 | Nagaland | 3 |
| Gujarat | 150 | Lakshadweep | 3 | Goa | 2 | Kerala | 4 | Haryana | 2 | Punjab | 3 |
| Jharkhand | 167 |  |  | Gujarat | 6 | Punjab | 1 | Jharkhand | 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tamil } \\ & \text { Nadu } \end{aligned}$ | 1 |
| Maharashtra | 240 |  |  | Sikkim | 2 | Tamil Nadu | 2 | Karnataka | 3 |  |  |
| Meghalaya | 89 |  |  | Tamil Nadu | 7 | Uttar Pradesh | 14 | Madhya Pradesh | 9 |  |  |
| Nagaland | 54 |  |  | Uttar <br> Pradesh | 18 | West Bengal | 5 | Odisha | 8 |  |  |
| Punjab | 56 |  |  | West Bengal | 3 | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1 | Tamil Nadu | 6 |  |  |
| Sikkim | 8 |  |  | Dadra \& Nagar <br> Haveli | 1 | Puducherry | 2 | Puducherry | 2 |  |  |
| Tamil Nadu | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Puducherry | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1201 | 4 | 231 | 10 | 49 | 10 | 41 | 10 | 58 | 5 | 12 |

## Annex-3B <br> Annual Plan/Development Plan

(Refer to paragraph 3.2)

| Enduring outcomes not given in District Plan |  | Crop pattern data not used in plan |  | District Plan did not ensure that 50 per cent works were to be executed by GP |  | Unique work code not allotted to works in plan |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State | Districts | State | Districts | State | Districts | State/UT | Districts |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 4 | Assam | 6 | Bihar | 1 | Assam | 8 |
| Assam | 3 | Bihar | 15 | Haryana | 6 | Bihar | 15 |
| Bihar | 15 | Jharkhand | 6 | Maharashtra | 2 | Jharkhand | 5 |
| Haryana | 6 | Kerala | 3 | Punjab | 1 | Kerala | 4 |
| Jharkhand | 6 | Maharashtra | 9 |  |  | Maharashtra | 9 |
| Karnataka | 8 | Nagaland | 3 |  |  | Mizoram | 2 |
| Kerala | 2 | Rajasthan | 2 |  |  | Nagaland | 3 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 9 | Uttar Pradesh | 18 |  |  | Rajasthan | 1 |
| Nagaland | 3 | West Bengal | 5 |  |  | Tamil Nadu | 6 |
| Odisha | 8 |  |  |  |  | Uttarakhand | 4 |
| Rajasthan | 1 |  |  |  |  | Lakshadweep | 1 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Uttarakhand | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 87 | 9 | 67 | 4 | 10 | 11 | 58 |

## Annex-3C(i) <br> Delay in preparation of Annual Plan/Development Plan at GP level

(Refer to paragraph 3.2.8)

| SI. <br> No. | State where delay in preparation of Annual Plan observed | Number of GPs | Delays with respect to due date of 15 October (in months) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 14 | 2 to 6 |
| 2. | Bihar | 250 | Plans were without dates |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh | 140 | Records were not produced |
| 4. | Himachal Pradesh | 90 | Dates of preparation were not mentioned |
| 5. | Jharkhand | 167 | Dates of preparation were not mentioned |
| 6. | Karnataka | 157 | Dates of preparation were not furnished to audit |
| 7. | Kerala | 13 | 1.5 to 4 |
| 8. | Madhya Pradesh | 56 | 1 to 21 |
| 9. | Maharashtra | 240 | Dates were not recorded in Plans |
| 10. | Odisha | 200 | Dates were not recorded in Plans |
| 11. | Tripura | 60 | 1 to 10 |
| 12. | Uttar Pradesh | 140 | Undated submission of Plans |
|  |  | 54 | 4 to 12 |
|  |  | 266 | Data not available |
| 13. | Uttarakhand | 100 | Dates were not recorded |

## Annex-3C(ii) <br> Delay in preparation of Annual Plan/Development Plan at Block level

(Refer to paragraph 3.2.8)

| SI. <br> No. | State where delay in preparation of Annual Plan observed | Blocks | Delay with respect to due date of 30 November (in months) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 4 | 2 to 8 |
| 2. | Bihar | 54 | Plan without date |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh |  | Records were not produced |
| 4. | Goa | 4 | No Plan only Labour Budget |
| 5. | Himachal Pradesh | 9 | Records were not produced |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 1 | 5 to 12 |
| 7. | Karnataka | 16 | Records were not produced |
| 8. | Kerala | 13 | Actual dates were not available |
| 9. | Madhya Pradesh | 10 | 1 to 11 |
| 10. | Maharashtra | 24 | Dates were not recorded |
| 11. | Nagaland | 7 | 2 to 4 months |
| 12. | Odisha |  | Dates were not available |
| 13. | Punjab | 2 | 3 |
| 14. | Tripura | 6 | 3 to 7 |
| 15. | Uttar Pradesh | 10 | Undated plan |
|  |  | 7 | 1 to 9 |
|  |  | 29 | Dates were not available |
| 16. | Uttarakhand | 10 | 1 to 4 |

## Annex-3C(iii) <br> Delay in preparation of Annual Plan/Development Plan at District level <br> (Refer to paragraph 3.2.8)

| SI. <br> No. | State where delay in preparation of Annual Plan observed | Number of Districts | Delay with respect to due date of 31 December (in months) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 1 | 5 |
| 2. | Bihar | 8 | 12 |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh |  | Records were not produced |
| 4. | Himachal Pradesh | 4 | Dates were not furnished |
| 5. | Jharkhand | 2 | 4 to 9 |
| 6. | Karnataka | 8 | Dates were not furnished to audit |
| 7. | Kerala | 1 | 2.5 |
| 8. | Madhya Pradesh | 7 | 1 to 11 |
| 9. | Nagaland | 3 | 2 to 8 |
| 10. | Odisha |  | Date not available |
| 11. | Rajasthan | 8 | 0.3 to 5.5 |
| 12. | Tripura | 2 | 3 to 5 |
| 13. | Uttarakhand | 4 | 3 to 6 |

## Annex-3C(iv) <br> Delay in preparation of Labour Budget

(Refer to paragraph 3.2.8)

| SI. No. | State/UT where delay in <br> preparation of Labour <br> Budget observed | Delay with respect to due date of 31 <br> January (in months) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Bihar | 2 to 6 |
| 2. | Goa | 2 to 10 |
| 3. | Gujarat | Records were not produced to audit |
| 4. | Karnataka | Dates were not furnished to audit |
| 5. | Rajasthan | 1 to 2 |
| 6. | Uripura | 0.3 to 5.5 |
| 7. | Uttar Pradesh | 2 to 4 |
| 8. | Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 0.7 to 2.5 |
| 9. | 1 to 2.8 |  |
| 10. | 3 to 9 |  |

## Annex-3D

## Execution of works outside Annual Plan

(Refer to paragraph 3.4)

| SI. <br> No. | State where works were executed outside the Annual Plan | Number of Districts | Number of works | Amount (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 2 | 97 | 401.81 |
| 2. | Bihar | 6 | 144 | 376.25 |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh | 2 | 34 | 443.00 |
| 4. | Himachal Pradesh | 4 | 3,859 | 9,727.24 |
| 5. | Jharkhand | 4 | 58 | 2,244.24 |
| 6. | Rajasthan | 3 | 474 | 2,426.98 |
| 7. | Uttarakhand | 3 | 94 | 89.94 |
| 8. | West Bengal | 1 | 147 | 174.06 |
|  | Total | 25 | 4,907 | 15,883.52 |

## Annex-3E Shortfall in Execution of Works in Annual Plans <br> (Refer to paragraph 3.5)

| SI. <br> No. | State/UT | Works approved in Annual Plan |  | Works sanctioned |  | Works completed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. | Amount (₹ in crore) | No. | Amount (₹ in crore) | No. | Amount ( $₹$ in crore) |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 55,83,401 | 51,086.07 | 55,83,401 | 51,086.07 | 19,32,414 | 12,225.38 |
| 2. | Assam | 2,32,535 | 10,516.17 | 89,345 | 3,451.47 | 65,945 | 2,914.11 |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh | 4,75,521 | 7,732.28 | 4,25,136 | 4,799.07 | 2,34,289 | 2,404.51 |
| 4. | Goa | 3,003 | 31.05 | 3,003 | 31.05 | 1,296 | 15.25 |
| 5. | Haryana | 25,179 | 242.07 | 21,900 | 292.21 | 12,371 | 272.02 |
| 6. | Karnataka | 17,42,186 | 650.94 | Data not available | Data not available | 4,71,633 | 332.84 |
| 7. | Kerala | 6,38,152 | 3,681.70 | 5,60,954 | 3,647.59 | 4,03,076 | 2,188.18 |
| 8. | Maharashtra | 9,24,305 | 12,176.89 | 99,634 | 1,915.12 | 39,294 | 396.72 |
| 9. | Meghalaya | 46,024 | 922.66 | 46,024 | 922.66 | 27,756 | 553.32 |
| 10. | Rajasthan | 19,06,786 | 24,235.51 | 1,56,859 | 10,805.96 | 53,908 | 1,464.17 |
| 11. | Tripura | 34,929 | 344.40 | 11,584 | 100.72 | 11,511 | 98.56 |
| 12. | Uttar Pradesh | 9,71,061 | 10,430.29 | 6,56,808 | 5,916.73 | 4,69,767 | 3,948.57 |
| 13. | Uttarakhand | 1,79,904 | 1,766.79 | 1,52,292 | 825.14 | 1,00,631 | 438.01 |
| 14. | West Bengal | 1,56,419 | 3,102.54 | 48,416 | 654.74 | 41,232 | 539.69 |
| 15. | Lakshadweep | 2,562 | 41.75 | 253 | 16.40 | 11 | 0.80 |
|  | Total | 1,29,21,967 | 1,26,961.11 | 78,55,609 | 84,464.93 | $\begin{gathered} 38,65,134 \\ \text { (30 per } \\ \text { cent }) \end{gathered}$ | 27,792.13 <br> (22 per cent) |

## Annex-3F <br> Preparation of District Perspective Plan

(Refer to paragraph 3.6)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | State/UT where DPP not prepared | Districts | Amount <br> Received <br> (₹ in lakh) | Expenditure incurred in preparation of DPP (₹ in lakh) | Unspent balance (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 2 | 20 | 9.80 | 10.20 |
| 2. | Bihar | 7 | 70 | 9.20 | 60.80 |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh | 5 | 50 | 2.17 | 47.83 |
| 4. | Gujarat | 1 | 10 | 5.26 | 4.74 |
| 5. | Haryana | 2 | 20 | 5.39 | 14.61 |
| 6. | Himachal Pradesh | 4 | 40 | 0 | 40.00 |
| 7. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 3 | 30 | 3 | 27.00 |
| 8. | Jharkhand | 6 | 60 | 4.70 | 55.30 |
| 9. | Karnataka | 8 | 80 | Data not available | Data not available |
| 10. | Madhya Pradesh | 5 | 40 | 22.06 | 17.94 |
| 11. | Maharashtra | 8 | 50 | 13.79 | 36.21 |
| 12. | Manipur | 1 | 10 | 0 | 10.00 |
| 13. | Meghalaya | 1 | 10 | 0 | 10.00 |
| 14. | Punjab | 3 | 30 | 8.21 | 21.79 |
| 15. | Rajasthan | 6 | 60 | 0 | 60.00 |
| 16. | Tamil Nadu | 7 | 70 | 0 | 70.00 |
| 17. | Uttar Pradesh | 10 | 70 | 6.52 | 63.48 |
| 18. | Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2 | 20 | 0 | 20.00 |
| 19. | Lakshadweep | 1 | 10 | 4.49 | 5.51 |
| 20. | Puducherry | 2 | 10 | 0 | 10.00 |
|  | Total | 84 | 760 | 94.59 | 585.41 |

## Annex-3G <br> Preparation of District Perspective Plan

(Refer to paragraph 3.6)

| SI. <br> No. | DPP prepared but not approved by SEGC | Number of Districts | Amount received (₹ in lakh) | Expenditure incurred in preparation of DPP (₹ in lakh) | Unspent balance (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 6 | 60 | 49.52 | 10.48 |
| 2. | Bihar | 2 | 20 | 15.33 | 4.67 |
| 3. | Gujarat | 3 | 30 | 24.48 | 5.52 |
| 4. | Haryana | 4 | 20 | 7.47 | 12.53 |
| 5. | Kerala | 3 | 30 | 26 | 4.00 |
| 6. | Madhya Pradesh | 8 | 30 | 8.07 | 21.93 |
| 7. | Maharashtra | 1 | Data not available | 5.08 | Data not available |
| 8. | Manipur | 3 | 30 | 32.45 | -2.45 |
| 9. | Punjab | 3 | 30 | 4.95 | 25.05 |
| 10. | Rajasthan | 1 | 10 | 3.02 | 6.98 |
| 11. | Tripura | 3 | 30 | 8.20 | 21.80 |
| 12. | Uttarakhand | 4 | 40 | 22.19 | 17.81 |
| 13. | West Bengal | 1 | 10 | 7.12 | 2.88 |
|  | Total | 42 | 340 | 213.88 | 133.65 |

## Annex-4A <br> Delay in notification of State Rules <br> (Refer to paragraph 4.2)

| S. <br> No. | Name of State | Due date of <br> formulation of <br> State Rules | Date of <br> formulation of <br> State Rules | Delay in <br> formulation of <br> Rules |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Arunachal <br> Pradesh | February 2007 | March 2011 | More than four <br> years |
| 2. | Himachal <br> Pradesh | February 2007 | December 2009 | More than two <br> year |
| 3. | Kerala | February 2007 | July 2009 | More than two <br> years |
| 4. | Mizoram | February 2007 | September 2007 | Seven months |
| 5. | Sikkim | February 2007 | November 2010 | More than three <br> years |

# Annex-4B <br> Deficiencies in Technical Support 

(Refer to paragraph 4.5)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of State | Audit observation (Status of Panels of accredited engineers at District and Block levels) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Arunachal Pradesh | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |
| 2. | Assam | In the test checked districts Cachar and Kamrup (rural), 58 and 124 (Civil Engineering diploma holders) accredited engineers were engaged during July 2009 to October 2009 respectively and subsequently retrenched from service with effect from April 2010 as they did not possess the required technical knowledge for the assigned work which resulted in infructuous expenditure of ₹ 15.62 lakh and ₹ 29.80 lakh respectively, towards their remuneration. |
| 3. | Bihar | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |
| 4. | Gujarat | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |
| 5. | Haryana | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |
| 6. | Himachal Pradesh | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |
| 7. | Jharkhand | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |
| 8. | Karnataka | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. However, 82 to 97 percent of the post of engineers created by the state governments had remained vacant as March 2012. |
| 9. | Maharashtra | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |
| 10. | Manipur | The panel of accredited engineers was not constituted. The services of DRDA engineers were utilized for MGNREGS works. The state government had deployed technical supportive staff on contract basis in all the four sampled districts, which were stated to be insufficient by the DPCs in Tamenglong and Thoubal districts. |
| 11. | Meghalaya | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |
| 12. | Mizoram | The state government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers in two test checked districts and in eight blocks under them for the purpose of assisting with preparation estimate and measurement of works. |
| 13. | Nagaland | The panel of accredited engineers was not constituted in the three test checked DPCs and blocks. Only the regular engineers appointed in DPC/block office were assigned for the preparation of estimate and measurement of works. |
| 14. | Odisha | State government did not constitute panel of accredited engineers. |


| 15. | Rajasthan | The panel of accredited engineers was not constituted in three test <br> checked districts i.e., Bhilwara, Churu and Dholpur. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 16. | Tripura | The panel of accredited engineers at the district and block levels <br> were not constituted. The services of engineers of Rural Department <br> were utilised. |
| 17. | West Bengal | The state government did not empanel accredited engineers at the <br> district and block levels. |

# Annex-4C <br> Technical support for planning, designing, monitoring, evaluation and quality audit <br> (Refer to paragraph 4.5) 

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | The Technical Resource Support System was not set up. It was also seen that out of the funds of ₹ 32.70 lakh received for the purpose, an amount of ₹ 12.28 lakh was utilised for purchase of stationery articles, hiring of vehicles, payment of transport allowances and printing of Assamese Wall Calendar, etc. by the Commissionerate resulting in irregular and unauthorised expenditure. |
| 2. | Bihar | The state government did not set up a Technical Resources Support System at the state and district levels. |
| 3. | Haryana | The state government had not set up Technical Resource Support System at state and district levels. |
| 4. | Karnataka | State government did not appoint Technical Resource Support System at the state and district levels. |
| 5. | Maharashtra | Technical Resource Support System did not exist in three districts i.e., Ahmednagar, Bhandara and Nanded. |
| 6. | Meghalaya | The state government did not set up Technical Resource Support System. |
| 7. | Mizoram | The state government did not set up Technical Resource Support System. |
| 8. | Nagaland | The state government did not set up Technical Resource Support System. |
| 9. | Sikkim | The Technical Resource Support System was not constituted. The State Quality Monitoring and Technical Resource Committee had also recorded (June 2011) the unsatisfactory quality of work for want of technical assistance and supervision |
| 10. | Uttar Pradesh | The state Government did not take initiatives for developing Technical Resource Support System. However, the state Government availed of the services of engineers of the Technical Audit Cell (TAC) under the Rural Development Department and paid ₹ 2.04 crore for their salary and allowances for the period from January 2011 to March 2012 from the administrative expenditure of MGNREGS, excluding expenditure on vehicles, etc. in contravention of the orders of Gol. Since, the engineers engaged were permanent employees of the state Government and were not the dedicated MGNREGS personnel their pay and allowances were not to be paid from MGNREGS funds. <br> It was further noticed that the total strength of the engineers of TAC was only 20 and they were posted at division and state level offices of Rural Development department. Out of these, five engineers had dual charges of the divisions. The 20 engineers of TAC engaged for 72 districts were not sufficient. Audit noticed that Gol released (2008) ₹ 54.20 lakh as first tranche for developing Technical Resource Support System but this amount remained unspent in bank accounts at the state level. |
| 11. | West Bengal | Technical Resource Support System was not set up at the state and district levels. Technical persons from among existing staff at each level assisted for preparing estimate and measurement work. |

## Annex-4D Technical Assistants (Refer to paragraph 4.5)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Audit observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | There were shortages of 217 against requirement of 4,478 technical assistants |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | The DRDA staff was functioning as technical assistants. Full time regular technical assistants were not engaged. |
| 3. | Bihar | There was shortage of 925 (41 per cent) against requirement of 2,218 technical assistants. |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | There was shortage of 466 against requirement of 1,168 technical assistants. |
| 5. | Gujarat | There was shortage of technical assistant at state level (54 per cent). For districts, shortages ranged between eight per cent (Dahod) and 70 per cent (Ahmedabad). |
| 6. | Jammu \& Kashmir | There was shortage of 69 percent of technical assistants |
| 7. | Madhya Pradesh | Against 2,817 sanctioned posts of engineers in the state, only 1,447 engineers (51 per cent) were posted to provide technical assistance to 23,336 GPs of the state. Thus, on an average, every engineer had to supervise 16 GPs for execution of MGNREGS works. |
| 8. | Maharashtra | Additional charge was given to the Junior Engineer for technical supervision of select group of 10 to 15 GPs for 240 test checked Panchayats. There was shortage of four against requirement of 13 technical assistants in Buldana district. |
| 9. | Odisha | There were four junior engineers and two technical assistants in Panchayat Samiti exclusively for MGNREGS works of GPs, but they were also allotted inspection of works in other schemes in the GPs. |
| 10. | Punjab | Against the requirement of 2,555 , only 74 technical assistants were deployed in the entire state (one for five GPs) leaving a shortage of 2,481 technical assistants (97 per cent). Shortage of technical assistants in test checked district ranged between 89 and 98 per cent. |
| 11. | Uttar Pradesh | There was shortage of 2,533 against requirement of 7,931 technical assistants. |

## Annex-4E

## Shortage in Training

(Refer to Paragraph 4.6)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of State | Total number of employees under MGNREGS |  |  |  | Number of employee to whom training was imparted |  |  |  | Expenditure incurred on training (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | GP <br> level | Block <br> level | District level | Total | GP <br> level | Block <br> level | District level | Total |  |
| 1. | Goa | 36 | 30 | 34 | 100 | 36 | 22 | 20 | 78 | 2.63 |
| 2. | Haryana | 650 | 65 | 11 | 726 | 650 | 53 | 9 | 726 | 24.13 |
| 3. | Rajasthan | 27,265 | Data not available | Data not available | 27,265 | 21,190 | Data not available | Data not available | 21,190 | 113.60 |
| 4. | Uttar Pradesh | 85 | 122 | 45 | 252 | Data not available | Data not available | Data not available | Data not available | 56.42 |
|  | Total | 28,036 | 217 | 90 | 28,343 | 21,876 | 75 | 29 | 21,994 | 196.78 |

Annex 4F
Information, Education and Communication (IEC) activities
(Refer to Paragraph 4.7)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State/UT | Districts | Funds received (₹ in lakh) | Expenditure incurred on IEC (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Goa | 2 | 14.00 | 6.79 |
| 2. | Gujarat | 2 | 14.00 | 11.00 |
| 3. | Haryana | 6 | 84.5 | 38.78 |
| 4. | Kerala | 3 | 17.30 | 17.40 |
| 5. | Punjab | 6 | 40.39 | 28.69 |
| 6. | Rajasthan | 5 | 45.00 | 23.87 |
| 7. | Tripura | 2 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 8. | Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2 | 5.00 | 1.88 |
| 9. | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 1 | 5.00 | 4.05 |
| 10. | Lakshadweep | 1 | 7.00 | 5.84 |
| 11. | Puducherry | 2 | 7.00 | 2.89 |
|  | Total | 32 | 257.19 | 159.19 |

## Annex-5A <br> Excess release of funds by the Ministry without adjusting unspent balance

(Refer to paragraph 5.5)
(₹ in crore)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Unspent balance as on 31.03.2010 <br> Provisional figure | Unspent balance as on 31.03.2010 Audited figure | Release made without considering unspent balance | Date of release |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra <br> Pradesh | 874.36 | 1,169.51 | 1,012.43 | 15.04.2010 |
| 2. | Himachal Pradesh | 66.63 | 103.87 | 147.12 | 15.04.2010 |
| 3. | Karnataka | 503.37 | 821.87 | 773.05 | 16.04.2010 |
| 4. | Madhya Pradesh | 2,539.98 | 2,664.92 | 995.80 | 15.04.2010 |
| 5. | Odisha | 44.12 | 85.22 | 308.49 | 16.04.2010 |
| 6. | Punjab | 90.06 | 90.99 | 34.28 | 28.04.2010 |
| 7. | Rajasthan | 1,992.25 | 3,659.25 | 1,610.43 | 16.04.2010 |
| 8. | Uttar <br> Pradesh | 1,275.55 | 1,196.55 | 1,419.40 | 16.04.2010 |
| 9. | West Bengal | 361.78 | 312.53 | 432.25 | 16.04.2010 |
|  | Total | 7,748.10 | 10,104.71 | 6,733.25 |  |

## Annex-5B

## Excess release of funds by the Ministry

 without adjusting unspent balance(Refer to paragraph 5.5)
(₹ in crore)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Unspent balance as on 31.03.2011 <br> Provisional figure | Unspent balance as on 31.03.2011 <br> Audited figure | Release made without considering unspent balance | Date of release |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Karnataka | 1,095.53 | 1,095.93 | 658.57 | 1.04.2011 |
| 2. | Madhya Pradesh | 1,895.17 | 1,894.25 | 434.34 | 1.04.2011 |
| 3. | Tamil Nadu | 509.14 | 502.79 | 509.84 | 1.04.2011 |
| 4. | West <br> Bengal | 237.58 | 265.94 | 837.25 | 1.04.2011 |
|  | Total | 3,737.42 | 3,758.91 | 2,440.00 |  |

## Annex-5C <br> Excess release of funds by the Ministry

(Refer to paragraph 5.6)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Year | Observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 2009-10 | Excess release of ₹ 75.10 crore for second tranche during 2009-10 due to Central liability not being restricted to 96 per cent of total cost. |
|  |  | 2010-11 | Excess release of $₹ 89.48$ crore for second installment during 2010-11 due to Central liability not being restricted to 96 per cent of total cost. <br> Further, ₹ 108.90 crore were released in excess due to understatement of opening balance as on 1 April 2010. This was accepted by the Ministry. |
|  |  | 2011-12 | Excess release of $₹ 146.24^{1}$ crore as Central liability was determined by adjusting the less unspent balance. |
| 2. | Gujarat | 2010-11 | Ministry released (February 2011) an amount of ₹ 492.02 crore which included ₹ 184.64 crore as pending liability, which was already adjusted by the state in its demand projection for the year. |
|  |  | 2011-12 | Similarly, in 2011-12, Ministry released (February 2012) an amount of $₹ 87.43$ crore which included $₹ 43.2$ crore ( 96 per cent of $₹ 45$ crore) as pending liability, which was already adjusted by the state in its demand projection for the year. |

1

| SI. No. Particulars | ₹ in crore |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 01. | Amount of approved labour budget | $8,272.00$ |
| 02. | Central Liability (96 per cent of ₹ <br> 8,272 crore) | $7,941.12$ |
| 03. | First tranche i.e., 50 per cent of <br> Central liability | $3,970.56$ |
| 04. | Less available balance as on 1.4.2011 | $3,655.96$ |
| 05. | Funds to be released to State | 314.60 |
| 06. | Actual amount released by the <br> Ministry | 460.84 |
| 07. | Excess release | 146.24 |


| 3. | Himachal Pradesh | 2009-10 | Release of ₹ 228 crore without adjustment of unspent balance of ₹ 100.35 crore. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | Madhya Pradesh | 2010-11 | The Ministry released (February 2011) ₹ 303.18 crore considering opening balance as on 1.04.2010 ₹ $2,435.45$ crore, whereas, as per audited accounts opening balance was ₹ $2,664.92$ crore. Thus, there was unjustified/excess release of ₹ 229.47 crore. |
| 5. | Rajasthan | 2010-11 | The Ministry released (June 2010) ₹ $1,178.39$ crore considering opening balance as on 1 April 2010 as ₹ $1,992.25$ crore. However, as per audited accounts opening balance was ₹ $3,659.25$ crore. Thus, there was unjustified/excess release of ₹ $1,178.39$ crore. |
| 6. | West Bengal | 2009-10 | The Ministry released ₹ 439.27 crore in March 2010 for requirement of last quarter of the financial year 2009-10 without adjusting release made in February 2010 of ₹ 219.09 crore for the same quarter. |
| Total amount of excess releases |  |  | ₹ 2,374.86 crore |

## Annex-5D <br> Short release of State share <br> (Refer to paragraph 5.8)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | State share due | State share released | Short <br> release |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam (whole State) | 336.87 | 307.52 | 29.35 |
| 2. | Manipur (whole State) | 182.30 | 59.11 | 123.19 |
| 3. | Mizoram (whole State) | 105.43 | 83.52 | 21.91 |
| 4. | Nagaland (whole State) | 208.42 | 91.85 | 116.57 |
| 5. | Rajasthan (three districts) | 88.96 | 78.84 | 10.12 |
| 6. | Sikkim (whole State) | 30.79 | 8.47 | 22.32 |
| 7. | Tripura (whole State) | 232.67 | 99.58 | 133.09 |
| Total |  |  |  | 456.55 |

## Annex-5E <br> Delay in release of State share

(Refer to paragraph 5.9)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Delay in days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 47 to 354 |
| 2. | Bihar | 9 to 313 |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh | 5 to 139 |
| 4. | Jharkhand | 5 to 293 |
| 5. | Himachal Pradesh | 15 to 170 |
| 6. | Manipur | 21 to 249 |
| 7. | Meghalaya | 18 to 174 |
| 8. | Mizoram | 9 to 317 |
| 9. | Punjab | 3 to 527 |
| 10. | Rajasthan | 17 to 331 |
| 11. | Sikkim | 34 to 252 |
| 12. | Tripura | 5 to 222 |
| 13. | Uttarakhand | 8 to 211 |

## Annex-5F <br> Non/delay in transfer of funds from SGRY and NFFWP

(Refer to paragraph 5.11)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Observation | Amount ( $₹$ in crore) | Period of delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Bihar | In 11 test checked districts (Araria, Begusarai, Bhabhua, Bhojpur, Darbhanga, Jehanabad, Madhubani, Muzaffarpur, Nalanda, Sitamarhi and West Champran), ₹ 21.48 crore of SGRY and NFFWP were not transferred to MGNREGS. <br> Cost of unutilized food grains of ₹ 77.36 crore under SGRY/NFFWP could not to be realized from defaulting PDS dealers and therefore could not be transferred to MGNREGS. | $21.48$ $77.36$ | Not yet transferred |
| 2. | Chhattisgarh | In Mahasamund ( $₹$ one crore) and Kanker (₹ 0.22 crore) districts, funds were not transferred to MGNREGS account even after a delay of more than five years. | 1.22 | Not transferred for more than five years |
| 3. | Jharkhand | In three test checked districts (Palamau, Ranchi, Gumla), ₹ 4.43 crore pertaining to SGRY fund (handling and transportation of food grains) and NFFWP funds were not transferred to MGNREGS. | 4.43 | Not yet transferred |
| 4. | Madhya Pradesh | Audit observed that seven of 13 test checked districts transferred the balance amount ₹ 6.73 crore of SGRY and NFFWP with delays. | 6.73 | One to five years |
| 5. | Odisha | Unutilized funds of ₹ 2.91 crore (as of March 2008) under SGRY and NFFWP, were not transferred to MGNREGS. | 2.91 | Not yet transferred |
| 6. | Rajasthan | In test checked districts, blocks and GPs, unspent balance under SGRY and NFFWP amounting to $₹ 2.33$ crore were not transferred and ₹ 4.99 crore were transferred with delay to MGNREGS. | $\begin{aligned} & 2.33 \\ & 4.99 \end{aligned}$ | Not yet transferred Delay of 5 to 40 months |
| 7. | Uttar Pradesh | In two test-checked Line departments of Sitapur district, the balance of NFFWP amounting to ₹ 0.41 crore was not transferred to the MGNREGS. | 0.41 | Not yet transferred |
| 8. | West Bengal | Unutilized balance of ₹ 0.08 crore under SGRY in Bhangar-I block of South 24 Parganas district was not transferred to MGNREGS. | 0.08 | Not yet transferred |

## Annex-5G

Non accountal or utilization of interest income on non permissible items
(Refer to paragraph 5.12)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of State | Sampled <br> Districts/Blocks/GPs | Amount ( $₹$ in crore) | Observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 13 GPs and three Development blocks under Dibrugarh and Jorhat districts | 0.18 | Utilised for procurement of office stationery, payment of bills, construction of boundary wall, earth filling at office campus, procurement of xerox machine, etc. |
| 2. | Jammu \& Kashmir | Jammu | 0.14 | Out of interest income of ₹ 0.97 crore earned up to February 2012, ₹ 0.14 crore was spent by the department on the TA claims, POL, Stationery, etc. |
|  |  | Three BDOs and one Assistant Commissioner (Development) | 0.16 | Amount was not accounted for in the books as interest on funds deposited in banks. |
| 3. | Odisha | Four (Bolangir, Bhadrak, Ganjam and Khurda) out of eight districts | 0.38 | Interest as of March 2012 was not accounted for in the Cash Book. |
| 4. | Punjab | Test checked districts of Hoshiarpur (Tanda block), Amritsar, Barnala (three GPs, Barnala block and district) | $0.02$ $0.11$ | In Hoshiarpur (Tanda block), no interest was given by the banks on the funds available in savings account under the Scheme. <br> In Amritsar district, it was observed that interest of ₹ 0.02 crore earned by Line department on the MGNREGS funds was not returned by the Line department to ADC (D). In Barnala district, interest earned on MGNREGS funds was not accounted for in Cash Book. |
| 5. | Uttar <br> Pradesh | Line department (Public Works Department) of Allahabad district | 0.27 | Amount of interest was not accounted for in DRDA's account. |
| Total interest |  |  | 1.26 |  |

## Annex-5H

Excess Administrative Expenses
(Refer to paragraph 5.13.1)

| Name of <br> State/ UT | Period | Number <br> of <br> Districts | Name of Districts | Amount |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| (F in crore) |  |  |  |  |

## Annex-5 Expenditure on Inadmissible Items

(Refer to paragraph 5.14)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Diversion of funds | Amount (F in crore) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra <br> Pradesh | In Kotarautla block of Visakhapatnam district, Panchayati Raj Engineering Division purchased measurement books in excess which were utilized on other than MGNREGS works, purchase of agreement books, computers and furniture. | 0.14 |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | Towards salary of staff by the Project Director, Lower Dibang Valley. | 0.08 |
| 3. | Assam | Towards expenditure on visits of NLM, godown rent, Independence day expenditure, construction of office room and repairing of vehicles in district Karbi Anglong. <br> Towards contingency expenditure like repairing of block godown, repairing of vehicles and polling expenses, etc. in district Hailakandi. | 0.45 |
| 4. | Bihar | In Araria and Munger districts, expenditure was incurred for the purpose of BPL survey work and as contingent expenditure of DRDA and Zila Parishad. | 0.86 |
| 5. | Chhattisgarh | Zila parishad, Bastar purchased 150 computers, which were not distributed to the gram panchayats. | 0.46 |
| 6. | Jammu \& Kashmir | Three ACDs, one BDO and Director(Rural Development), Jammu incurred expenditure on activities not related to MGNREGS, such as, election process, fixation of tiles in offices, purchase of DG set, POL, etc in districts of Jammu, Leh, Poonch and Rajouri. | 1.06 |
| 7. | Madhya Pradesh | Expenditure of ₹ 22.15 lakh was incurred on printing of 1.96 lakh pass books of 11 different banks through private printing press and were handed over to the concerned banks for issuing these to the beneficiaries. | 0.22 |
| 8. | Punjab | Towards purchase of laptops, sofa sets, photocopy machines, invertors, LCD TV, stabilisers, etc. | 0.28 |
| 9. | Rajasthan | Towards purchase of furniture and fixture, air conditioner, etc. | 0.02 |
| 10. | Sikkim | Towards repair and maintenance of vehicles. | 0.03 |
| 11. | Tamil Nadu | Towards pay and allowances of deputy BDO whose services were not utilized for MGNREGS. | 0.49 |
| 12. | Tripura | Construction of 6,035 rural shelter houses, steel foot bridges, RCC cantilever bridges, market stall, SHG skill upgradation centre, children shed, pump house, etc. | 21.87 |
|  |  | Total | 25.96 |

## Annex-5J <br> Diversion of funds <br> (Refer paragraph 5.14)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Areas/schemes to which funds were diverted | Amount ( $F$ in crore) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Jharkhand | To Indira Awas Yojana in Kanke block of Ranchi district. In Dumka district, towards payment of audit fee for MPLAD, DPAP and IAY schemes. | 0.76 |
| 2. | Karnataka | Towards materials procured for service road and inspection paths of Narayanapur Right Bank Canal of Upper Krishna Project, construction of cement concrete roads, under another scheme. | 1.98 |
| 3. | Odisha | Towards other schemes viz. Flood Relief, GP funds, IAY and Old Age Pension and PDS. | 2.07 |
| 4. | Rajasthan | Towards purchase of books, pay fixation arrears of two Assistant Engineers, celebration of golden jubilee of Panchayati Raj and other schemes. <br> Towards Keshav Badi Yojana for the period 2007-12 in the four test checked districts. <br> Towards payment of increased honorarium and meeting allowances of Zila Pramukh, Pradhan and Sarpanch along with members of zila parishad and block. | 0.09 <br> 85.17 <br> 43.44 |
| 5. | Uttar <br> Pradesh | Towards miscellaneous administrative expenditure, contingent expenditure of other schemes, renovation and electrification of conference hall at Vikas Bhawan, construction of primary school and Harijan Awas, office expenses and Mid Day Meal scheme. | 1.51 |
|  |  | Total | 135.02 |

## Annex-5K <br> Suspected/confirmed misappropriation of funds

(Refer to paragraph 5.15)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Observation | Amount (₹ in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | The Office of PD, DRDA Kamrup, paid an amount of ₹ 0.03 crore to the BDO, Hajo development block. Whereas the same amount was not found entered in the cash book of the BDO Hajo block, it was found drawn in cash in the bank pass book of PD, DRDA Kamrup. | 0.03 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
|  |  | The DC cum DPC, Cachar while submitting the UC, mentioned an embezzlement of $₹ 1.59$ crore in Kalain development block. | 1.59 | Confirmed case of misappropriation/ embezzlement. |
|  |  | An amount of $₹ 0.049$ crore was spent by the GP Tukrapara, Kamrup (rural) district for execution of the AP level work 'land development of FA Ahmed college' under MGNREGS. However, muster roll vouchers for ₹ 0.022 crore were made available to Audit in support of the entire expenditure. | 0.03 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
| 2. | Bihar | Working agencies were paid ₹ 0.64 crore for execution of different schemes. Audit observed ₹ 0.64 crore remained unutilized for a long period. Out of this ₹ 0.41 crore was recovered at the instance of Audit and balance ₹ 0.23 crore remained to be recovered. | 0.23 | Executing agencies retained funds without purpose for long period. |
| 3. | Gujarat | Scrutiny of records at Fatepura and Dahod blocks of Dahod district revealed an unaccounted expenditure of $₹ 5.79$ crore (Fatepura) and ₹ 0.29 crore (Dahod) during 2009-11. These expenditures were neither recorded in cash book nor were vouchers in support of expenditure available with the PO. | 6.08 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
| 4. | Haryana | During 2009-10, an amount of $₹ 0.12$ crore was drawn from the bank account of the Scheme by Sarpanch, Arjana Kalan (district Kurukshetra). Out of which, ₹ 0.074 crore was incurred in July-August 2009 for material component, however expenditure was shown incurred in February 2009 in MIS. Further, Audit on physical verification of the work noticed that material component could not be utilized. <br> Similarly, Sarpanch, Malhaka (district Mewat) had drawn an amount of $₹ 40,000$ from the Scheme account. Documents in support of the amount were not found on records. | 0.08 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
| 5. | Jharkhand | In NREP-II Division Ranchi, difference of ₹ 2.12 crore was found in closing balance/opening balance in two instances i.e., 31 May 2011/1 June 2011 and 30 June 2011/1 July 2011 even though there was no adjustment or return of | 2.12 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of |


|  |  | advances. Hence, there was a possibility of misappropriation of funds. |  | records. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Scrutiny of the records revealed that in Gumla and Ranchi a sum of ₹ 11.63 crore was advanced during 2007-12 to the Range Officer of Forest (ROF) of five implementing agencies to execute works under MGNREGS, but neither were its entries made in cash book nor were any adjustment vouchers demanded while releasing subsequent advances. This resulted in accumulation of unadjusted temporary advance of ₹ 11.63 crore. <br> Similarly, during the period 2009-10 in District Board, Khunti and Special Works Division, Khunti, successive advances were granted without demanding adjustment vouchers or refunds which indicated misappropriation of MGNREGS funds to the tune of $₹ 10.16$ crore. | 21.79 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
|  |  | In Sisai block, ₹ 0.02 crore was drawn on self cheque by the BDO and in the names of two block personnel, between April 2008 and August 2009. Similarly, in Bharno block, Gumla district, ₹ 0.27 crore was drawn through 45 cheques in favour of beneficiaries, committees, bank, post office and LAMPS between November 2007 and October 2011. However, no vouchers in support of payment made were available with the block. | 0.29 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
|  |  | In test checked Zila Parishad, West Singhbhum district, in the Cash Book a sum of ₹ 30.03 crore was shown adjusted against advance of ₹ 31.68 crore during the period 200711. However, adjustment vouchers and concerned measurement books for ₹ 30.03 crore were not produced to Audit. Chartered Accountant also noted (24.10.2011) in Cash Book that no supporting vouchers and Measurement Books were furnished to him for verification. | 30.03 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
| 6. | Karnataka | In test checked district of Bijapur, cheques aggregating ₹ 0.13 crore had been issued to four individuals during May 2009 to March 2010 without supporting documents relating to payment. Further, in test checked district of Chickballapur, as per the pass book of the bank account of GP, Thirumani, ₹ 0.09 crore were transferred in 18 cases, during 2010-12. However, these transactions had not been entered in the cash book of GP. As per statement of the Secretary of the GP (May 2012), no record relating to these transactions was available in the GP. | 0.22 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
| 7. | Manipur | During 2007-10, the DPC, Tamenglong issued cheques for ₹ 0.11 crore and $₹ 0.16$ crore to the POs of Tamenglong and Nungba blocks, respectively. However, the amounts released were not reflected as received in the cash books of the respective POs. | 0.27 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |


| 8. | Nagaland |  | An amount of $₹ 1.68$ crore rele during 2011-12 by DPC, Dimapur, the MGNREGS bank accoun Dhansiripar. However, verificatio test checked GPs under the PO, no such amount was found reco the PO, Dhansiripar. Hence, mi crore could not be ruled out. | ds the Scheme accounted for in ed with PO, check of eight revealed that, e cash book by tion of ₹ 1.68 | 1.68 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Seven test checked POs in three ₹ 114.52 crore to 54 GPs during noticed that the 54 GPs received analysis of money trail revealed the POs, there were entries of am along with cheque number and stated by POs were not actually Thus, financial leakage of ₹ 65.38 of funds could not be ruled out. | stricts allocated However, Audit 14 crore. Audit the records of ased to each GP e the amounts to GP accounts. ing the transfer | 65.38 | Case of suspected misappropriation because of nonmaintenance of records. |
| 9. | Punjab |  | As per records/complaint file and information furnished by office of the JDCC it was noticed that funds amounting to $₹ 0.65$ crore released under the Scheme were misappropriated at GPs in districts of Amritsar, Bathinda, Patiala and Muktsar. |  | 0.65 | Confirmed case of misappropriation/ embezzlement. |
| 10. | Rajasthan |  | During review of reconciliation of records in block Dholpur (GP, Basai Neem), it was noticed that fake wage list was prepared and sent to post office for payment to workers. The Post Master made the payment of $₹ 0.21$ crore which was bogus as the works were not executed. |  | 0.21 | Confirmed case of misappropriation/ embezzlement. |
| 11. | Uttar <br> Pradesh |  | Irregularities in 198 cases with recoverable amount of ₹ 2.06 crore (pertaining to $2010-11$ ) and $₹ 1.25$ crore (pertaining to 2011-12) were detected during 2010-12, but recovery of only ₹ 0.71 crore was effected by the State authorities. Recovery of $₹ 2.60$ crore could not be effected as of March 2012. |  | 2.60 | Confirmed case of misappropriation/ embezzlement. |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SI. No. | Type of cases |  | Number of States |  | Amount (₹ in crore) |
|  | 1. | Cases of suspected misappropriation |  | 8 |  | 128.23 |
|  | 2. | Confirmed cases of misappropriation |  | 4 |  | 5.05 |

## Annex-6A <br> Door-to-door survey not conducted

(Refer to paragraph 6.2.1)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/Districts | Audit Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 7 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not conducted. Orientation training not imparted to teams. |
| 2. | Bihar | 250 GPs | No door-to-door survey was conducted to identify persons willing to register. |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh | 140 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not conducted. |
| 4. | Haryana | 38 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not conducted. |
| 5. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 1 district | No door-to-door survey was conducted in the villages. |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 6 districts | No door-to-door survey was conducted in villages of any of the test checked districts. |
| 7. | Karnataka | 157 GPs | Details of door-to-door survey were not available. |
| 8. | Kerala | 39 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not conducted. |
| 9. | Mizoram | 39 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not conducted, the willing persons themselves came to the Village Councils for registration. |
| 10. | Nagaland | 33 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not conducted. |
| 11. | Odisha | 199 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not undertaken for registering households to be covered under the Scheme. |
| 12. | Punjab | 79 GPs | No door-to-door survey was conducted. |
| 13. | Rajasthan | 40 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not conducted. |


| 14. | Sikkim | 8 GPs | Door-to-door survey to identify persons <br> willing to register under the Scheme was <br> not conducted. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15. | Tripura | 30 GPs | No door-to-door survey was conducted. |
| 16. | Uttar <br> Pradesh | 420 GPs | Door-to-door survey was not conducted. <br> No orientation training was imparted to <br> survey teams at block and district levels. |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 4 7 9}$ <br> $\mathbf{7}$ GPs and |  |

## Annex-6B <br> Non-updation/display of Registration List <br> (Refer to paragraph 6.2.2)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Details regarding nonupdation of list |  |  | Details regarding non-display of list |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | Test Checked | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Per } \\ & \text { cent } \end{aligned}$ | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | Test Checked | Per cent |
| 1. | Assam | -- | -- | -- | 6 districts | 8 districts | 75.0 |
| 2. | Nagaland | 12 GPs | 54 GPs | 22.2 | 34 GPs | 54 GPs | 63.0 |
| 3. | Odisha | -- | -- | -- | 199 GPs | 199 GPs | 100.0 |
| 4. | Tamil Nadu | -- | -- | -- | 3 blocks | 23 blocks | 13.0 |
| 5. | Tripura | -- | -- | -- | 60 GPs | 60 GPs | 100.0 |
| 6. | Uttar Pradesh | 176 GPs | 460 GPs | 38.3 | 436 GPs | 460 GPs | 94.8 |
| 7. | West Bengal | 31 GPs | 120 GPs | 25.8 | 34 GPs | 120 GPs | 28.3 |
|  | Total | 219 GPs | 634 GPs |  | 763 GPs, 3 blocks and 6 districts | 893 GPs, <br> 23 blocks <br> and 8 <br> districts |  |

## Annex-6C <br> Irregular issue of multiple job cards

(Refer to paragraph 6.3.2)

| S. <br> No. | Name of <br> State | No. of <br> GPs/ <br> Blocks/ <br> Districts | No. of <br> HHs | No. of <br> Job Card <br> issued | No. of <br> Duplicate <br> Job Cards | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^0]
## Annex-6D

Delay in issue of job cards
(Refer to paragraph 6.3.3)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | No. of GPs/Blocks/ Districts | No. of Job Cards | Delay |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 1 block (Tingkhong) | 912 | 10 to 528 days |
|  |  | 1 district | 39 | 2 to 523 days |
| 2. | Jharkhand | 1 GP | 66 | 11 to 197 days |
| 3. | Maharashtra | 8 GPs | 79 | 1 to 51 months |
| 4. | Odisha | 3 districts | 232 | 112 to 1,460 days |
| 5. | Punjab | 4 GPs | 126 | 14 to 17 days |
| 6. | Rajasthan | 8 GPs <br> (Dholpur district) | 6,224 | 2 to 65 days |
|  |  | 5 GPs (Rajakhera block, Dholpur district) | 4,210 | 3 to 75 days |
| 7. | Uttar Pradesh | 2 GPs (Bulandshahr district) | 120 | 25 to 45 days |
|  | Total | 28 GPs, 1 block and 4 districts | 12,008 |  |

## Annex-6E

Other discrepancies in job cards
(Refer to paragraph 6.3.4)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State/UT | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | No. of cases in which photo not found (No. of GPs/Blocks/ Districts) |  |  | No. of cases registration No./ Date of issue of job cards not found | No. of cases on which signature not found (No. of GPs/Blocks/Districts) |  | No. of cases in which entry of payment not tallied with entry made on job card | No. of job card not in custody of HH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not having any photo | Not having joint photo | Photo not pasted on Regn. Register |  | Signature of members of household | Signature of competent authority |  |  |
| 1. | Arunachal Pradesh | 4 districts | 15 | 139 | -- | -- | 33 | 396 (378+18) | -- | -- |
| 2. | Assam | -- | $\begin{gathered} 536 \\ (15 \mathrm{GPs}) \end{gathered}$ | 232 <br> (1 Distt. Chirang | -- | -- | $\begin{gathered} 274 \\ (2 \mathrm{GPs}) \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- | $\begin{gathered} 840 \\ (1 \mathrm{GP}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. | Bihar | 13 districts | 731 | -- | 516 | 1,053 | -- | 335 | 523 | -- |
| 4. | Himachal Pradesh | 27 GPs | 614 | -- | -- | -- | 1,097 | 1,621 | -- | -- |
| 5. | Jharkhand | $1 \mathrm{GP}$ <br> (Chiyanki) | -- | -- | 247 | 599 | 90 | -- | -- | -- |
| 6. | Maharashtra | 2 districts (Ahmednagar \& Sindhudurg) | 3,83,021 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 7. | Manipur | 1GP | 80 | 20 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 8. | Rajasthan | -- | $\begin{gathered} 49,531 \\ (100 \mathrm{GPs}) \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 37,707 \\ & (58 \mathrm{GPs}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17,754 \\ (50 \mathrm{GPs}) \end{gathered}$ | -- | -- | -- |
| 9. | Uttarakhand | 100 GPs | -- | -- | 6,309 | -- | 2,444 | 2,013 | -- | -- |
| 10. | Uttar Pradesh | 14 GPs | -- | -- | 960 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 11. | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 10 GPs | -- | -- | 685 | -- | 610 | 637 | -- | -- |
|  | Total |  | $\begin{gathered} 4,32,528 \\ \text { ( in } 143 \\ \text { GPs \& } 19 \\ \text { districts) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 391 \\ & (\text { in } 1 \mathrm{GP} \\ & \& 5 \\ & \text { districts) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8,717 \\ & \text { ( in } 125 \\ & \text { GPs \& } 13 \\ & \text { districts) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 39,359 ( in } 59 \\ & \text { GPs \& } 13 \\ & \text { districts) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22,302 ( in } \\ & 190 \text { GPs \& } 4 \\ & \text { districts) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5,002 ( in } 137 \\ & \text { GPs \& } 17 \\ & \text { districts) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 523 \text { ( in } 13 \\ & \text { districts) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 840 \\ & \text { ( in } 1 \\ & \text { GP) } \end{aligned}$ |

## Annex-6F

Maintenance of records relating to job card and employment
(Refer to paragraph 6.4)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { SI. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of the State/UT | Job Card Application Register |  |  |  | Job Card Register |  |  |  | List of Registration Not sent to PO in GPs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not maintained in |  | Not properly maintained in |  | Not maintained in |  | Not properly maintained in |  |  |
|  |  | GPs | Blocks | GPs | Blocks | GPs | Blocks | GPs | Blocks |  |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 150 | - | - | - | 150 | - | - | - | - |
| 2. | Assam | 28 | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3. | Bihar | 250 | 54 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4. | Gujarat | 150 | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5. | Haryana | 13 | - | - | - | 18 | - | - | - | - |
| 6. | Himachal Pradesh | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 7. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 113 | 12 | - | - | 113 | 12 | - | - | - |
| 8. | Jharkhand | 40 | 7 | 127 | 10 | 46 | 8 | 121 | 9 | - |
| 9. | Karnataka | 26 | - | 117 | - | - | - | 133 | - | - |
| 10. | Kerala | 10 | - |  |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11. | Madhya Pradesh | 167 | 17 | 100 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 248 | 29 | - |
| 12. | Manipur | - | - | 90 | 9 | - | - | 90 | 9 | - |
| 13. | Meghalaya | 89 | 8 | - | - | - | 8 | - |  | - |
| 14. | Nagaland | 23 | - | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | - |
| 15. | Odisha | - | - | - | - | - | - | 199 | -- | 199 |
| 16. | Punjab | 53 | 6 | - | - | 37 | 4 | - | - | - |
| 17. | Sikkim | - | - | 8 | 4 | - | - | 8 | 4 | - |
| 18. | Tripura | - | - | - | 6 | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| 19. | Uttarakhand | - | - | 100 | - |  |  | - | - | - |
| 20. | Uttar Pradesh | 7 | 39 | - | - | 93 | - | - | - | 202 |
| 21. | West Bengal | 83 | - | - | - | - | - | 83 | - | 41 |
| 22. | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | 3 | - | 2 | - | - | - | 10 | - | - |
|  | Total | 1,205 | 165 | 564 | 39 | 482 | 43 | 892 | 51 | 442 |
|  | Grand Total | 1,769 GPs and 204 blocks |  |  |  | 1,374 GPs and 94 blocks |  |  |  | 442 GPs |

## Annex-6G <br> Employment Guarantee day not organized

(Refer to paragraph 6.5)

| SI. No. | Name of State/UT | No. of GPs/ Blocks/Districts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Arunachal Pradesh | 43 GPs |
| 2. | Maharashtra | 7 districts |
| 3. | Nagaland | 38 GPs |
| 4. | Odisha | 199 GPs |
| 5. | Tamil Nadu | 4 districts |
| 6. | Uttar Pradesh | 446 GPs |
| 7. | West Bengal | 69 GPs |
| 8. | Lakshadweep | 3 GPs |
|  | Total | 798 GPs and 11 districts |

## Annex-7A <br> Non-payment of unemployment allowance

(Refer to paragraph 7.2.1)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | No. of workers to whom unemployment allowance not paid | Delay ranged between (Days) | Amount of unemployment allowance (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam * | 2 districts | 37,229 | -- | -- |
| 2. | Bihar | 1 district | 77 | 23 to 87 | 1.93 |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh | 10 GPs | 10,041 | 2 to 407 | -- |
| 4. | Jharkhand | 3 districts | 206 | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \text { to } \\ & 1,218 \end{aligned}$ | 22.63 |
| 5. | Kerala | 1 GP | 46 | 30 | 0.52 |
| 6. | Maharashtra | 1 block | 77 | 9 to 201 | 0.82 |
| 7. | Punjab | 1 GP | 11 | 6 to 427 | 0.50 |
|  | Total | 12 GPs, <br> 1 block and 6 districts | 47,687 |  | 26.40 |

* Figures as per Monthly Progress Report


## Annex-7B <br> Non-maintenance/Poor maintenance of records

(Refer to paragraph 7.2.2)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of State/UTs | Dated receipts of application not issued/record not maintained in <br> GPs/Blocks | Maintenance of Employment Register |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Not maintained in |  | Not maintained properly in |  |
|  |  |  | GPs | Blocks | GPs | Blocks |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 150 GPs | 150 |  |  |  |
| 2. | Assam | - | - | - | 83 | - |
| 3. | Bihar | 250 GPs | 250 | 54 |  |  |
| 4. | Goa | - | - | - | 14 | - |
| 5. | Gujarat | 150 GPs | 150 | 15 | - | - |
| 6. | Haryana | 6 GPs | 6 | - | - | - |
| 7. | Himachal Pradesh | - | 32 | - | 38 | - |
| 8. | Jammu \& Kashmir | - | - | 12 | - | - |
| 9. | Jharkhand | - | 50 | 8 | 117 | 9 |
| 10. | Karnataka | - | 75 |  | 72 | - |
| 11. | Kerala | 21GPs | 09 | - | - | - |
| 12. | Madhya Pradesh | - | 10 | 4 | 220 | 23 |
| 13. | Maharashtra | - | 160 | - | - | - |
| 14. | Manipur | -- | - | - | 90 | 9 |
| 15. | Meghalaya | 8 blocks | 89 | 8 | - | - |
| 16. | Mizoram | 39 GPs | - | - | - | - |
| 17. | Nagaland | - | 26 | - | - | - |
| 18. | Odisha | - | - | - | 199 | - |
| 19. | Punjab | - | 62 | 7 | - | - |
| 20. | Tamil Nadu | 230 GPs |  |  | - | - |
| 21. | Uttarakhand | - | - | - | 100 | - |
| 22. | Uttar Pradesh | 443 GPs | 7 | - | - | - |
| 23. | West Bengal | 83 GPs | 3 | - | 19 | - |
| 24. | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | - | 2 | - | 5 | - |
| 25. | Puducherry | 30 GPs | 30 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 1,402 GPs and 8 blocks | 1,111 GPs | $\begin{gathered} 108 \\ \text { blocks } \end{gathered}$ | 957 GPs | $\begin{gathered} 41 \\ \text { blocks } \end{gathered}$ |

## Annex-7C

Non-payment of wages
(Refer to paragraph 7.3.1)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | Amount of wages not paid (₹ In lakh) | Period for which wages to be paid | Reasons for nonpayment/ Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra <br> Pradesh | 1 block | 1.20 | July to November 2010 | Due to discrepancies in the software. |
| 2. | Bihar | 13 districts | 117.85 | 2007-12 | Non opening of Accounts, unavailability of funds and human error. |
| 3. | Goa | 1 GP | 4.05 | February to March 2012 | In respect of 173 beneficiaries. |
| 4. | Gujarat | 2 blocks | 0.43 | 2009-12 | Not paid up to March 2012. |
| 5. | Haryana | 1 district | 207.47 | 2011-12 | Due to shortage of funds. |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 16 GPs and 1 block | 4.92 | 2007-12 | Not paid up to May 2012. |
| 7. | Punjab | 4 blocks \& 1 LD $^{1}$ | 118.39 | 2009-12 | Due to non-release of funds. |
| 8. | Uttar Pradesh | 1 block | 1.30 | 180 Days | Not paid up to May 2012. |
| 9. | West Bengal | 1 district | 6.80 | -- | Not paid up to May 2012. |
|  |  | 7 GPs | 497.00 | January to May 2012 | Not paid up to May 2012. |
|  | Total | $24 \text { GPs, } 9$ <br> blocks/ mandals, 15 districts and 1 LD | 959.41 |  |  |

[^1]
## Annex-7D

 Non-issue of wages slips(Refer to paragraph 7.3.2)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of State/UT | No. of GPs/ Blocks/Districts | Test checked | Percentage of test checked | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 83 GPs | 83 GPs | 100 | Wage slips not issued |
| 2. | Bihar | 14 districts | 15 districts | 93.3 | No wage slip issued |
| 3. | Gujarat | 15 blocks | 15 blocks | 100 | No wage slip issued |
| 4. | Himachal Pradesh | 90 GPs | 90 GPs | 100 | No wage slip issued |
| 5. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 12 blocks | 12 blocks | 100 | Wage slips not issued, delays in payment of wages could not be verified. |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 167 GPs | 167 GPs | 100 | In absence of wage slip, payment of wages was fraught with the risk of payment to other person. |
| 7. | Karnataka | 157 GPs | 157 GPs | 100 | No wage slip had been generated by these GPs for information of the workers. |
| 8. | Kerala | 37 GPs | 39 GPs | 94.9 | In absence of wage slips, the details of the amount of wages credited in bank accounts could not be ascertained. |
| 9. | Madhya Pradesh | 247 GPs | 290 GPs | 85.2 | In absence of wage slips, the authenticity of the payment made couldn't be ascertained. |
| 10. | Maharashtra | 9 districts | 9 districts | 100 | Without issue of wage slips, there was no mechanism to intimate the labourers about the credit of wages into their accounts. |
| 11. | Nagaland | 54 GPs | 54 GPs | 100 | Wages slips were neither generated nor recorded. |
| 12. | Sikkim | 2 districts | 2 districts | 100 | Wage slips were not generated to enable the workers to know the exact wages earned by them. |
| 13. | Uttarakhand | 100 GPs | 100 GPs | 100 | In the absence of wage slips, the authenticity of the payment made could not be ascertained. |
| 14. | West Bengal | 83 GPs | 120 GPs | 69.2 | Wage slips were not generated/ handed over to wage seekers. |


| SI. <br> No. | Name of <br> State/UT | No. of GPs/ <br> Blocks/Districts | Test <br> checked | Percentage <br> of test <br> checked | Remarks |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15. | Lakshadweep | 3 GPs | 3 GPs | 100 | No wage slip issued |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 1}$ GPs, <br> $\mathbf{2 7}$ blocks and <br> 26 districts |  |  |  |

## Annex-7E <br> Short payment of wages <br> (Refer to paragraph 7.3.3)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the State | No. of GPs / Blocks/Districts | Minimum wage rate (₹) | Wages paid at the rate of (₹) | Short payment due to less rate ( $₹$ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam\# | 2 districts | 130 | 100 | $\begin{array}{r} 112.79 \\ (3,75,976 \text { persondays } x \\ 30) \end{array}$ |
| 2. | Bihar | 8 GPs | $\begin{aligned} & 104 \text { to } \\ & 144 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \text { to } \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | -- |
| 3. | Himachal Pradesh | 5 GPs | $100 \text { to }$ $120$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { to } \\ & 105 \end{aligned}$ | $1.44$ <br> (for 5,328 persondays) |
| 4. | Jharkhand | 16 GPs | 92 to 120 | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \text { to } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | $1.25$ <br> (for 10,178 persondays |
| 5. | Karnataka* | 8 districts | -- | -- | 2,371.00 |
| 6. | Manipur | 28 GPs | 126 | 81.40 | 127.68 |
| 7. | Meghalaya | 8 blocks | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \text { to } \\ & 117 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \text { to } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 84.18 |
| 8. | Tripura | 19 GPs | 118 | 100 | 34.50 |
| 9. | Uttar Pradesh | 17GPs, 6 blocks , 2 districts and 1 LD | 80 to 120 | $\begin{aligned} & 58 \text { to } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | 4.50 |
| 10. | West Bengal | 1 GP | 195 | 130 | 1.10 |
|  | Total | 94 GPs, 14 <br> blocks 12 <br> districts and $1 \text { LD }$ |  |  | 2,738.44 |

\# Figures as per MPR

* Figures as per MIS


## Annex-7F

Non-payment of compensation for delay in payment of wages
(Refer to paragraph 7.3.4)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State/UT | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | No. of works | Delay in payment of wages beyond 15 days | No. of job card holders | Amount of wages (Fin lakh) | Amount of compensation not paid (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 23 GPs | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { to } \\ & 1,100 \\ & \text { Days } \end{aligned}$ | 3,226 | 12.19 | -- |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | 1 block | 4 | 1 to 3 month | 1,244 | 7.81 | -- |
| 3. | Assam | 13 GPs | 43 | $4 \text { to } 159$ days | 6,263 | 47.06 | $\begin{aligned} & 93.95 \\ & \text { (@ ₹ } 1,500 \text { per } \mathrm{HH} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4. | Bihar | $172 \text { GPs, } 3$ <br> blocks, 3 <br> districts and 2 LDs | 657 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { to } 700 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | -- | 507.61 | -- |
| 5. | Chhattisgarh | 8 blocks | 83 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { to } 376 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $12,426$ <br> MRs | 959.87 | -- |
| 6. | Goa | 1 block | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { to } 310 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | 3,355 | 80.93 | -- |
| 7. | Gujarat | 12 blocks | 923 | $1 \text { to } 685$ days | $11,527$ <br> MRs | 1,681.54 | -- |
| 8. | Haryana | 8 blocks | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { to } 331 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | -- | 54.24 | -- |
| 9. | Himachal Pradesh | 11 GPs | 373 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { to } 795 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | 7,773 | 110.15 | -- |
| 10. | Jharkhand | 79 GPs | 324 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { to } 468 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | -- | 215.39 | -- |
| 11. | Kerala | 13 GPs | 50 | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \text { to } 138 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | 28,738 | 39.44 | -- |
| 12. | Madhya <br> Pradesh | 11 districts | 152 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } 292 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,027 \\ & \text { MRs } \end{aligned}$ | 472.88 | -- |
| 13. | Maharashtra | 4 districts | 175 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \text { to } 345 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | -- | 7,293.23 | -- |
| 14. | Nagaland | 1 district | -- | 356 days | 3,347 | 256.96 | -- |
| 15. | Odisha | 17 blocks | 1,567 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \text { to } 270 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | 315 | 166.72 | -- |


| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State/UT | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | No. of works | Delay in payment of wages beyond 15 days | No. of job card holders | Amount of wages (Fin lakh) | Amount of compensation not paid (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16. | Punjab | 48 GPs | 107 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { to } 790 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | 13,117 | 98.95 | -- |
| 17. | Rajasthan | $1 \text { GP \& } 15$ <br> blocks | -- | Up to 812 days | -- | 11,813.10 | -- |
| 18. | Tamil Nadu | 22 GPs | -- | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { to } 482 \\ & \text { days } \end{aligned}$ | -- | 88.78 | -- |
| 19. | Uttarakhand | 100 GPs | 500 | 1 to 669 days | 13,278 | 382.86 | $\begin{aligned} & 199.17 \\ & \text { (@ ₹ } 1,500 \text { Per HH) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 20. | Uttar Pradesh | 91 GPs, 05 <br> blocks, 04 <br> districts, and 04 LDs | 362 | $1 \text { to } 273$ days | 14,885 | 144.17 | -- |
| 21. | West Bengal | 04 districts | -- | 11 to 810 days | -- | 43,788.73 | -- |
| 22. |  <br> Nagar Haveli | 05 GPs | 5 | 1 to 123 days | 251 MRs | 23.34 | -- |
| 23. | Puducherry | 02 blocks | -- | Up to 137 days | $1,882$ <br> MRs | 426.05 | -- |
|  | Total | 574 GPs, <br> 72 blocks, <br> 27 districts and 6 line departments |  |  |  | 68,672.00 |  |

## Annex-7G <br> Irregular cash payment of wages

(Refer to paragraph 7.3.5)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | Amount of wages paid in cash (₹ In lakh) | Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 2 GPs | 0.09 | 2009-10 and 2011-12 |
| 2. | Bihar | 2 GPs | 0.67 | January 2009 to December 2009 |
| 3. | Maharashtra | 1 district (Thane) | 30.52 | 2009-10 |
|  |  | 1 district (Buldhana) | 29.09 | September 2008 to July 2009 |
| 4. | Manipur | 20 GPs | 1,143.38 | 2009-10 to 2011-12 |
| 5. | Punjab | 4 GPs | 6.80 | March 2011 to August 2011 |
| 6. | Rajasthan | 27 GPs | 463.98 | October 2008 to March 2009 |
|  | Total | 55 GPs and <br> 2 districts | 1,674.53 |  |

## Annex-7H <br> Deployment of Mates <br> (Refer to paragraph 7.4)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/Districts | Brief of irregularities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01. | Assam | 38 GPs | Mates had not been engaged during 2007-12. |
| 02. | Kerala | 6 GPs | Mates were not rotated during 200712. |
|  |  | 1 GP | Wages to mates were classified under unskilled wages. |
| 03. | Maharashtra | 9 districts | Mates were not appointed in test checked districts. |
| 04. | Mizoram | 39 GPs | Mates had not been engaged during 2007-12. |
| 05. | Rajasthan | 11 blocks | Expenditure of ₹ 4.26 crore on account of mates wages was misclassified under labour component. As a result accurate wage material ratio could not be ascertained and state shifted the liability to Central Government. |
| 06. | Uttarakhand | 100 GPs | No mates were engaged in 899 test checked works. |
| 07. | West Bengal | 10 GPs | No training was given to mates during 2007-12. |

Annex-7I
Tampering of Muster Rolls
(Refer to paragraph 7.5.1)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/Districts | No. of Muster Rolls | Amount (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 11 GPs | 93 | 4.32 |
| 2. | Bihar | 24 GPs | 213 | 7.84 |
| 3. | Haryana | 14 GPs | 22 | 3.44 |
| 4. | Jharkhand | 46 GPs | 321 | 17.85 |
| 5. | Karnataka | 29 GPs | 127 | 7.94 |
| 6. | Kerala | 9 GPs | 26 | 1.95 |
| 7. | Manipur | 1 GP | 128 | 9.20 |
| 8. | Nagaland | 5 GPs | 6 | 10.31 |
| 9. | Odisha | 4 blocks | 78 | 23.73 |
| 10. | Uttar Pradesh | 61 GPs and 1 block | 259 | 27.00 |
|  | Total | 200 GPs and 5 blocks | 1,273 | 113.58 |

## Annex-7J <br> Engagement of ghost workers in the Muster Rolls <br> (Refer to paragraph 7.5.3)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | No. of MRs with ghost workers | No of ghost workers verified | Amount involved (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam* | 2 GPs | 189 | 2,016 | 20.59 |
| 2. | Haryana | 1 GP | 1 | 1 | 0.02 |
| 3. | Jharkhand | 2 GPs | 50 | 61 | 2.28 |
| 4. | Karnataka* | 8 districts | 1,659 | 3,077 | 23.14 |
| 5. | Odisha | 4 blocks | 5 | 170 | 3.34 |
| 6. | Punjab | 4 GPs | 7 | 34 | 0.53 |
| 7. | Rajasthan | 2 GPs | 21 | 82 | -- |
| 8. | West Bengal | 4 GPs | -- | 29 | 0.20 |
|  | Total | $15 \text { GPs, } 4$ <br> blocks and 8 districts | 1,932 | 5,470 | 50.10 |

* Para as per MIS


## Annex-7K

Engagement of workers under different Muster Rolls for the same period
(Refer to paragraph 7.5.4)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the State/UT | No. of GPs/ <br> Blocks/ Districts | No. of Muster Rolls | No. of workers with double engagement (with over lapping entries) | Amount involved (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 24GPs | 75 | 80 | -- |
| 2. | Assam | 2 GPs | 57 | 559 | 4.90 |
| 3. | Bihar | 9 GPs and 1 district | 104 | 159 | 1.04 |
| 4. | Gujarat | 7 blocks | 99 | 201 | 1.21 |
| 5. | Haryana | 1 GP | 3 | 3 | 0.03 |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 11 GPs | 55 | 238 | 2.11 |
| 7. | Karnataka* | 8 GPs | 1,154 | 3,081 | 54.05 |
| 8. | Kerala | 3 GPs | 7 | 48 | 0.81 |
| 9. | Odisha | 2 blocks | 4 | 170 | 1.22 |
| 10. | Punjab | 1 block | 2 | 7 | 0.22 |
| 11. | West Bengal | 2 GP and 1 district | 5 | 5 | 0.06 |
| 12. | Lakshadweep | 1 GP | 2 | 2 | 0.04 |
|  | Total | 61 GPs, <br> 10 blocks <br> and <br> 2 districts | 1,567 | 4,553 | 65.69 |

* Figures as per MIS

Annex-7L
Payment of wages without signatures
(Refer to paragraph 7.5.5)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | No. of <br> Muster Rolls/ Works | No of cases | Payment made without signature | Amount involved (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1 GP | 1 MRs | 4 | Household's | 0.07 |
| 2. | Jharkhand | 7 GPs | 29 MRs | 95 | -do- | 0.52 |
| 3. | Karnataka | 7 GPs | 123 MRs | 1,627 | -do- | 21.24 |
| 4. | Manipur | 1 GP | 76 MRs | 82 | -do- | 0.46 |
| 5. | Odisha | 5 blocks | 728 MRs | 5,069 | -do- | 57.81 |
| 6. | Punjab | 6 GPs | 17 MRs | 218 | -do- | 1.51 |
| 7. | Uttar Pradesh | 14 GPs <br> and 1 <br> district | 153 MRs | 2,837 | -do- | 30.24 |
|  | Total | 36 GPs, <br> 5 blocks and <br> 1 district | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1,127 } \\ & \text { MRs } \end{aligned}$ | 9,932 |  | 111.85 |
| 8. | Andhra Pradesh | 1 GP | 1 MR | 9 | Competent Authority | 0.05 |
| 9. | Jharkhand | 21 GPs | 376 MRs | -- | -do- | 22.08 |
| 10. | Tamil Nadu | 21 GPs | 35 Works | -- | -do- | 64.10 |
|  | Total | 43 GPs | 377 MRs <br> and 35 works |  |  | 86.23 |

## Annex-7M <br> Suspected Misappropriation of wages

(Refer to paragraph 7.5.6)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | No. of Muster Rolls/ Works | Reasons for suspected misappropriation of payment | Amount ( $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Bihar | 15GPs | 64 MRs (20 Works) | Engagement of workers after completion of work | 7.47 |
| 2. | Gujarat | 2 blocks | -- | Payment made without any supporting document like, MRs, MBs and vouchers. | 607.39 |
| 3. | Himachal Pradesh | 2 GPs | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \text { MRs } \\ & \text { (127 Cases) } \end{aligned}$ | Payment made on holidays | 0.14 |
| 4. | Karnataka | * 8 districts | 2,021 MRs | Payment made before last date of engagement of workers. | 568.46 |
|  |  | 1 block | 24 MRs | MRs issued by PO after completion of work | 24.48 |
| 5. | Punjab | 3 GPs | 3 MRs | Payment for 29 February 2011 made on 31 September 2011 | 0.05 |
|  |  | 2 GPs | 2 MRs | Payment made before execution of work | 0.51 |
| 6. | Uttar Pradesh | 1 GP | 1 work | Payment made before execution of work | 0.04 |
|  |  | 11 GPs | 250 MRs <br> (43 Works) | Payment made before/ without measurement of work | 22.29 |
|  | Total | $33 \text { GPs, }$ <br> 3 blocks and 8 districts |  |  | 1,230.83 |

* Figures as per MIS


## Annex-7N

Requisite details not entered in MRs
(Refer to paragraph 7.5.7)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State/UT | No. of GPs/ Blocks/ Districts | No. of Muster Rolls | Shortcomings in MRs | Amount of payment (₹ in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Bihar | 7 districts | -- | Unique Identification Number not given, only printed SI. Nos. mentioned on MRs | -- |
| 2. | Himachal Pradesh | 20 GPs | 724 | MRs did not have UID Number | -- |
| 3. | Jharkhand | 1 GP | 17 | Names of labourers not recorded | 0.66 |
|  |  | 12 GPs | 85 | Period of work not recorded | 5.35 |
| 4. | Karnataka | 5 GPs | 21 | Job card number not mentioned | 1.34 |
| 5. | Manipur | 1 GP | 322 | Name of work, wages paid, and date, etc. not mentioned. | 31.46 |
| 6. | Punjab | 37 GPs | -- | Unique Identification Number not mentioned | -- |
| 7. | Sikkim | 4 blocks and 8 GPs | -- | Unique Identification Number not mentioned | -- |
| 8. | Tamil Nadu | 230 GPs | --- | Unique Identification Number not mentioned | -- |
| 9. | Lakshadweep | 3 GPs | 35 | Unique Identification Number not mentioned | -- |
|  |  | 317 GPs, <br> 4 blocks and 7 districts |  |  |  |
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## Annex-8A <br> Works executed without restricting material component to 40 per cent of total costs of work

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State/UT | District | Block | GP | Amount (F in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | Entire state | - | - | 1,139.49 | Expenditure of ₹ $1,935.49$ crore and ₹ 54.51 crore were incurred on material and wages respectively. Thus, excess expenditure of ₹ $1,139.49$ crore was incurred on material which could have resulted in generation of 10.63 crore persondays. |
| 2. | Assam | 7 | - | - | 46.70 | The excess expenditure on material of ₹ 46.70 crore led to less generation of 42.64 lakh persondays. |
| 3. | Bihar | 5 | 7 | 19 | 7.94 | -- |
| 4. | Gujarat | - | 1 | - | 0.54 | Entire expenditure of ₹ 0.90 crore on 25 drainage works (February 2011) was only towards material component. |
| 5. | Jharkhand | 2 | 2 | 34 | 33.72 | At block and GP levels, ₹ 50.06 lakh excess expenditure was incurred on material component. <br> At district level, excess expenditure of ₹ 33.22 crore on material resulted in short generation of 38.73 lakh persondays. |
| 6. | Punjab | 1 | 1 | 30 | 2.98 | -- -- |
| 7. | Rajasthan | 3 | 7 | 101 | 36.31 | Excess expenditure on material component of $₹ 14.28$ crore at district level, ₹ 7.32 crore at block level and ₹ 14.71 crore at GP level was noted. |
| 8. | Sikkim | 1 | - | 2 | 1.11 | Excess expenditure of ₹ 1.11 crore on material resulted in short generation of 1.56 lakh persondays in East Sikkim district. |
| 9. | Tripura | 2 | - | - | 4.66 | Excess expenditure of ₹ 4.66 crore on material resulted in short generation of 5.48 lakh persondays. |
| 10. | Uttar Pradesh | - | - | 460 | 10.95 | -- |
| 11. | Uttarakhand | 4 | - | - | 0.26 | Excess expenditure of ₹ 0.26 crore on material resulted in short generation of 21,603 persondays. |
| 12. | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | - | - | 3 | 0.07 | -- |
|  | Total | 25 | 18 | 649 | 1,284.73 |  |


| 6. | Gujarat | 3 | - | 1 | 377 | Earthen roads, cement concrete roads and roads works | 6.68 | Expenditure of ₹ 2.44 crore on earthen roads, ₹ 0.31 crore on construction of 7 cement concrete roads and ₹ 3.93 crore on other road works had been incurred. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | Haryana | - | - | 25 | 31 | Earthen roads | 1.06 | Works were executed without stabilization of top surface and adequate provisions for drainage. |
|  |  | - | - | 16 | 27 | Cement concrete/ interlocking paver blocks roads | 0.80 | -- |
| 8. | Himachal <br> Pradesh | - | - | 26 | 97 | Kutcha roads | 0.98 | Works were executed without stabilization of top surface and adequate provision for drainage and culverts. |
|  |  | 3 | - | - | 723 | Repair and Maintenance of roads | 42.15 | DPCs in three districts had sanctioned ₹ 42.15 crore to the Himachal Pradesh PWD for 723 repair and maintenance works of roads. |
| 9. | Jharkhand | 6 | - | - | 1,176 | Mitti murram works/ earthen roads | 21.43 | -- |
| 10. | Kamataka* | Entire state | - | - | 23,816 | Earthen roads | 77.30 | -- |
| 11. | Kerala | 2 | 2 | - | 15 | Foundation work of houses under EMS and IAY housing schemes, anti sea erosion work and rubber plantation. | 0.97 | Expenditure of $₹ 0.40$ crore on foundation work of houses, ₹ 0.56 crore on anti sea erosion work and ₹ 0.007 crore on rubber plantations had been incurred. |
|  |  | 1 | - | - | 559 | Earthen roads | 2.22 | -- |
| 12. | Madhya Pradesh | - | - | 69 | 132 | Mitti murram works/ earthen roads | 4.90 | Mitti murram roads were constructed without proper compaction, side slopes, side drains, cross drainage and other required technical inputs. |
|  |  | - | - | 10 | 10 | Construction of bathing ghats/stairs | 0.34 | -- |
|  |  | - | - | 34 | 38 | Cement Concrete Roads | 0.66 | -- |
|  |  | - | - | 7 | 10 | Construction of platform, | 0.22 | -- |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  |  | - | - | 29 | 37 | Gravel road without connectivity | 2.56 | Audit observed that 37 road works were executed without providing connectivity to villages and had no use for public. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20. | Tamil Nadu | Entire states | - | - | 62,588 | Kutcha roads Rural connectivity | 1919.88 | Audit observed that 62,588 road works were executed without use of material. |
| 21. | Tripura | - | - | 60 | 2,825 | Kutcha roads without stabilization of top surface and Jungle cutting works | 5.79 | Expenditure of $₹ 5.29$ crore on construction of 2765 kutcha road and ₹ 0.50 crore on 60 jungle cutting works had been incurred. |
| 22. | Uttar | - | - | 393 | 2,265 | Earthen road | 15.60 | -- |
|  | Pradesh | 2 districts and 1 line department | 12 | 84 | 272 | Purchase of plants, Interlocking works, Mitti bharai, construction of model ponds and Ponds constructed without inlet/ outlet, lacking source of water | 10.26 | -- |
| 23. | Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2 | - | - | 259 | Rural connectivity | 8.66 | Rural connectivity works were executed without any material component. |
| 24. | Puducherry | - | 1 | - | 155 | Jungle clearance works | 0.64 | -- |
|  | Total | 40 districts and 2 line departments | 41 | 940 | 1,01,995 |  | 2,250.41 |  |

Annex-8C
Work executed on ineligible individuals' land
(Refer to paragraph 8.4)
Remarks

| SI. <br> No. | Name of <br> State | District | Block | GP | Remarks |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Assam | - | - | 2 | Two works were executed at a cost of ₹ 1.53 lakh and ₹ 1.40 lakh respectively on the lands of the <br> GP president's father and the Anchlik Parishad Member (ineligible beneficiaries). Moreover, <br> these works were not incorporated by DPC/GP in Annual Plan. |
| 2. | Goa | - | - | 6 | Permissible works such as construction water tank was executed on land of ineligible individuals <br> and non-permissible works such as protection wall, kutcha road, foot path, compound walls, etc. <br> were executed on land owned by eligible individuals involving total financial implication of <br> ₹ 11.44 lakh. |
| 3. | Kerala | - | - | 1 | Land development work was undertaken on the land, the owner of which had more than five <br> acres of land. |
| 4. | Uttar Pradesh | - | - | 52 | Works were executed on lands of individuals without identifying their status of belonging to <br> weaker sections of society. |
|  | Total | - | - | $\mathbf{6 1}$ |  |


| 4. |  <br> Kashmir | - | 8 | - | 484 | All type of works | 2.92 | Works had been abandoned midway due to nonavailability of labour in subsequent years, land disputes, forest clearance was awaited, etc. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | Jharkhand | - | - | 244 | 2,472 | Construction of irrigation well | 1.72 | Reasons attributed to this were emergence of hard rock strata during the course of execution and delay in issue of work order. |
| 6. | Kerala | - | - | 1 | 5 | Flood relief work in water logged areas. | 0.04 | Works were abandoned half way to avoid purchase of material component originally included in the estimates. |
| 7. | Maharashtra | 2 | - | - | 1,423 | Nalla saralikaran works and farm ponds | 34.22 | During the course of execution of 1,203 Nalla saralikaran works, Nalla saralikaran work was declassified of permissible work. Works had been abandoned midway after incurring infructous expenditure of ₹ 33.72 crore. <br> Labour problems and hard strata arose during the course of execution of 220 farm ponds works. Works had been abandoned midway after incurring infructous expenditure of ₹ 0.50 crore. |
|  |  | - | - | 1 | 1 | Public well for drinking water | 0.01 | The work was abandoned due to submergence. |
| 8. | Odisha | - | 6 | - | 23 | Rural connectivity | 0.49 | Intended purpose of the project could not be achieved, hence abandoned. |
| 9. | Punjab | - | - | 2 | 4 | Clearance of jungle weed, renovation of pond | 0.03 | Works were abandoned due to rain. |
| 10. | Uttar Pradesh | 2 | - | 1 | 47 | Brick work, Kharanja work, culvert, approach road and earthwork | 1.65 | Works were abandoned due to non-availability of funds. |
| 11. | Lakshadweep | - | 1 | - | 1 |  | 0.02 | Cost of material was very high |
|  | Total | 13 | 15 | 256 | 9,220 |  | 209.57 |  |

## Details of Infructuous expenditure on work (Refer to paragraph 8.5)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | District | Block | GP | No. of work Infructuous | Nature of work executed | Amount (₹ in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | - | - | 1 | 1 | Plugging the breach caused in the Puthimari ring bund embankment by engaging job card holders | 0.08 | Work was left incomplete as the ring bund was washed away in flood. |
| 2. | Bihar | - | - | 1 | 20 | Plantation work | 0.13 | Plantation was removed by flood control department for widening of river embankment. |
| 3. | Chhattisgarh | - | - | 1 | 7 | Wells on the land of the 10 beneficiaries | 0.03 | The works executed had collapsed. |
| 4. | Gujarat | - | - | 1 | 1 | Deepening of pond | 0.24 | On joint physical verification it was observed that pond did not have inlet and outlet and therefore, deepening of pond did not add to storage capacity of pond. Hence, expenditure incurred was infructuous. |
| 5. | Kerala | - | - | 1 | 1 | Construction of contour bund | 0.37 | Work did not exist physically. |
| 6. | Punjab | - | - | 1 | 1 | Renovation of pond | 0.02 | Due to non maintenance of pond, jungle weed had grown up again and therefore expenditure incurred on renovation of pond was rendered infructuous. |
| 7. | Rajasthan | - | - | 3 | 4 | Construction of canals | 0.68 | Canals were constructed without connecting them with source of water. |
|  |  | - | - | 7 | 9 | Construction of anicut check dam, kutcha dam, etc. | 0.81 | Works were executed without ensuring sufficient catchment area and wrong selection of site, etc. |
|  | Total | - | - | 16 | 44 |  | 2.36 |  |

Annex-8F

## Incomplete works

(Refer to paragraph 8.5)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State/UT | District | Block | GP | No. of incomplete work | Nature of work executed | Amount (₹ in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | - | - | 86 | 188 | All types of works | 4.73 | - |
| 2. | Assam | - | - | 8 | 10 | Land development, rural connectivity and canal system of Majrabari | 1.10 | Work was not completed due to land dispute and non-receipt of funds. |
| 3. | Bihar | 3 districts and 3 line departments | 10 | 41 | 754 | Pucca works like brick soiling, pulia and culvert | 12.64 | -- |
| 4. | Chhattisgarh | 6 | - | - | 29,636 | All types of works | 902.37 | Works given to the line departments i.e., PWD, WRD, RES, Forest, Agriculture and Sericulture Departments and GPs were incomplete. |
|  |  | 2 | - | - | 98 | Construction of Water Bound Macadam (WBM) road | 4.47 | -- |
|  |  | 1 | - | - | 399 | Road works, up-gradation of Ponds/tanks, land development, etc. | 3.26 | Works were incomplete due to nonavailability of sufficient government land and roller in time for compaction work. |
| 5. | Gujarat | - | 4 | - | 392 | Group well | 5.25 | -- |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 6 | - | - | 2,949 | Construction of wells, ponds, roads, etc. | 27.91 | Works remained incomplete after the lapse of up to five years due to improper planning, slow progress of work, engagement of one Gram Rozgar Sahayak in multiple works, etc. |


| 7. | Karnataka* | Entire state | - | - | 7,33,897 | All types of works | 3,057.11 | -- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8. | Manipur | - | 2 | - | 5 | Construction of public wells and rubber plantation | 0.17 | -- |
| 9. | Odisha | - | 8 | - | 266 | Excavation of multipurpose farm ponds to provide irrigation facility, horticulture plantation and land development facility on land owned by SC/ST/BPL families and IAY Scheme beneficiaries | 0.53 | -- |
| 10. | Rajasthan | - | - | 76 | 205 | Gravel roads | 7.04 | -- |
|  |  | - | - | 174 | 664 | All types of works | 36.58 | Works were incomplete since 2008-09. |
| 11. | Tripura | - | 2 | - | 26 | All types of works | 1.87 | Works were incomplete for one to four years due to site problem, delay in commencement, slow progress of work, non supply of bricks in time, etc. |
| 12. | Uttar <br> Pradesh | 2 | 1 | 8 | 23 | Brick soiling work, kharanja work, culvert, approach road and earthwork | 0.29 | -- |
| 13. | Puducherry | - | - | 10 | 63 | Deepening and de-silting of ponds | 5.44 | Works had remained incomplete for more than two years. |
|  | Total | 20 districts and 3 line departments | 27 | 403 | 7,69,575 |  | 4,070.76 |  |

## Annex-81

 (Refer to paragraph 8.8)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | District | Block | GP | No. of items purchased | Nature of purchase of item | Amount (F in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1 district and 1 line department | - | - | - | Supply of polythene bags, water storage tanks, teak stumps, watering with mobile tankers | 3.37 | Bids were not invited for purchase of items/material. |
|  |  | - | 1 | - | - | Nursery and Rural Connectivity Project (RCP) works for supply of sign boards to RCP works | 0.07 | Payments were made to firms other than suppliers/WEMs in respect of nursery and RCP works. Instances of payments to unauthorized account holders were also noticed. |
|  |  | 1 | - | - | - | Procurement of plastic water containers | 0.30 | Prescribed norms/procedure was not followed for procurement. |
| 2. | Jammu \& Kashmir | - | 6 | - | 1,32,681 | Purchase of cement bag | 4.67 | Prescribed norms/procedure was not followed for procurement. |
| 3. | Jharkhand | 1 | - | - | - | Furnishing of conference hall | 0.13 | Supply of material, furnishing and electrification works were carried out by M/s Sunrise Roadlines Construction Division, Chaibasa prior to preparation of the estimate and administrative approval which was irregular. Moreover, this was not a permissible work under the Scheme. |
|  |  | - | - | 47 | - | Procurement of material | 11.93 | Expenditure of ₹ 11.93 crore was incurred on procurement of materials such as boulders, metal, chips, murram, etc. from unregistered suppliers. |
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| 4. | Nagaland | 1 | - | - | - | Procurement of tools and implements | 0.66 | Prescribed norms/procedure was not followed for procurement. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | Uttar Pradesh | 1 | - | - | 954 | Steel Almirahs at the rate of ₹ 2,350 | 0.24 | Almirahs were purchased without inviting tenders. |
|  |  | 1 |  | - | 21 | Purchase of Videocameras at the rate of ₹ 13,990 | 0.03 | Video-cameras were purchased without inviting tenders. |
|  |  | 1 |  | - | 970 | Purchase of digital cameras at the rate of ₹ 5,300 | 0.51 | Digital Cameras were purchased without inviting tenders. |
|  |  | - | 3 | - | - | Purchase of construction materials | 1.04 | Construction material was purchased without inviting tenders. |
|  | Total | 7 district and 1 line department | 10 | 47 |  |  | 22.95 |  |

Annex-8J
Non-Accounting of material at site
(Refer to Para 8.9)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | District | Block | GP | Nature of purchase of material | Amount (₹ in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1 | - | - | Procurement of seeds/saplings | 0.95 | DFO, Hyderabad made payments to the suppliers without ensuring actual receipt of stock/prior to receipt of stock. |
| 2. | Assam | - | - | 14 | Sand gravel, stone chips, cement, black wire, bamboo, wood planks, etc. | 6.12 | No site Account was maintained in respect of material procured at GP levels and as a result the materials worth ₹ 6.12 crore remained unaccounted. |
|  |  | - | 2 | 11 | Sand gravel, stone chips, cement, black wire, wood planks, etc. | 43.28 | -- |
|  |  | - | - | 11 | 27 schemes | 0.53 | Audit observed that ₹ 0.53 crore was incurred on procurement of material in 27 schemes without maintaining any stock book and site accounts. |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 36 |  | 50.88 |  |

## Annex-8K

Works executed without assigning unique identity number
(Refer to paragraph 8.10)

## Remarks

 for each work undertaken for ex The development plan did not contain the unique identity number however, the same was provided at the time of MIS entry. was provided either at the time of preparation of labour budget or at the time of technical and administrative sanction.Unique identity numbers had neither been indicated in the Annual Action Plans nor in the progress reports.
Unique identity numbers were not provided in the Annual Action Plan. However, unique identity numbers were provided in MIS
Unique identity numbers were provided at the time of entering muster roll in MIS of MGNREGS. However, the development plan did not contain the unique identity number.

$\sigma$
$\stackrel{\sim}{\wedge}$
-
0
~
4
m
the subsequent year.
Different unique iden a unique location code.

Unique identity numbers were not generated for each work at the time of sanction in Jaisalmer district.
i However, while entering the data in MIS, these numbers were generated.
--
Annex-8M
Works executed by contractors
(Refer to paragraph 8.12)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | District | Block | GP | Amount ${ }^{\boldsymbol{F}}$ in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 1 | - | - | 0.21 | Contractor was engaged by the Water Resource Department for construction of wooden bridge. |
| 2. | Chhattisgarh | 5 | - | - | 3.96 | A firm was contracted for making MIS data entry. |
|  |  | 1 | - | - | 0.22 | A firm was contracted for making MIS data entry. |
| 3. | Kerala | - | 1 | - | 0.03 | Contractor was engaged for construction of thatched sheds and toilets in district agriculture farm, Peringamala. |
| 4. | Nagaland | - | - | 1 | 0.39 | Funds of ₹ 33.80 lakh for construction of sanitary drainage and ₹ 5.26 lakh for construction of irrigation canal were diverted for construction of Rest House and Primary Health Centre building. Further, it was observed that contractor was engaged for construction of Rest House and PHC building. |
| 5. | Uttar Pradesh | - | - | 1 | 0.02 | Contractor was engaged for 7,356 plantation work. |
|  | Total | 7 | 1 | 2 | 4.83 |  |
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## Annex-8P

Excess expenditure on projects over sanctioned estimate
(Refer to paragraph 8.14)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Name of State | District | Block | GP | No. of work | Excess <br> Amount <br> Incurred (₹ in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Haryana | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | An expenditure of ₹ 0.06 crore was incurred against the sanctioned estimate of $₹ 0.04$ crore on digging of pond. |
| 2. | Jharkhand | - | - | 3 | 4 | 0.04 | An expenditure of ₹ 0.28 crore was incurred against the sanctioned estimate of ₹ 0.24 crore. |
| 3. | Meghalaya | - | 1 | - | 112 | 0.40 | Final expenditure on 112 projects exceeded the sanctioned estimate by ₹ 0.40 crore. |
| 4. | Nagaland | - | - | - | 2 | 0.26 | Two infrastructure projects were completed at the cost of ₹ 0.40 crore against the estimate of $₹ 0.14$ crore. |
| 5. | West Bengal | - | - | 4 | 5 | 0.06 | -- |
|  | Total | - | 1 | 8 | 124 | 0.78 |  |

Non Preparation of State/District Schedule of Rates (SoR) (Refer to paragraph 8.16)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | District |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1. | Arunachal <br> Pradesh | 4 | No SoR for MGNREGS works was prepared for the state/districts. The estimates for works were prepared by blocks on PWD <br> item rates. |
| 2. | Bihar | 15 | The state government did not devise SoR for MGNREGS works. The SoR of Public Works Department had been followed by <br> the implementing agencies. |
| 3. | Kerala | 4 | Out of the four test checked districts, SoR for MGNREGS was prepared only in Kottayam. |
| 4. | Manipur | 4 | No separate SoR was prescribed (based on the comprehensive work, time and motion studies) for MGNREGS works. <br> Manipur schedule of rates (MSR) prepared by the state public works department was utilised for preparing estimates of <br> MGNREGS works. |
| 5. | Punjab | 6 | No separate SoR for MGNREGS had been prepared by the state. |
| 6. | Sikkim | 2 | The Sikkim PWD works SoR 2006 had been followed by the implementing agencies. |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{3 5}$ |  |

## Annex-8S

Payment without Measurement of Works (Refer to paragraph 8.17)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of State | District | Block | GP | No. of work | Amount (₹ in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | - | - | 1 | 4 | 0.07 | Workers were paid wages without recording measurement of works by the JE/AE. |
|  |  | - | - | 6 | 21 | 0.70 | Expenditure of ₹ 0.70 crore was incurred in 21 works without recording measurement in MBs. |
| 2. | Bihar | - | 1 | 8 | 27 | 0.68 | -- |
| 3. | Jharkhand | - | - | 20 | 587 | 9.16 | Measurement of work had not been verified by qualified technical persons. |
|  |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 0.19 | Measurement of a work was required to be finally approved by the Executive Engineer if the value of work exceeded ₹ 1.00 lakh. However, it was observed that in Bharno block ₹ 0.19 crore was paid to an executing agent without the approval of Executive Engineer. |
| 4. | Kerala | - | - | 1 | 45 | 0.13 | Payment of ₹ 0.13 crore was made without taking measurement during the course of execution of work. It was also seen that measurements were recorded in MBs without proper details of location of work site and hence works could not be verified physically. |
| 5. | Tamil Nadu | - | - | 4 | 7 | 0.29 | Measurements were not recorded or not authenticated by the technical staff in respect of muster roll payments. |
|  |  | - | - | 8 | 8 | 0.12 | Muster rolls for value of ₹ 0.12 crore were passed and paid. It was noticed that pass orders for the muster roll payments were not signed by Programme Officers of the blocks in the MB of the works. |
| 6. | Uttar Pradesh | - | - | 5 | 9 | 0.09 | Payment was made without measurement of works. |
|  | Total | - | 2 | 53 | 709 | 11.43 |  |

Annex-8W
Execution of Suspect/Duplicate Work/Doubtful Payments (Refer to paragraph 8.20)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | District | Block | GP | No. and nature of works | Amount (₹ in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra <br> Pradesh | - | 8 | - | Conveyance charges for tractors, transportation of silt | 3.05 | Large sums of money were credited to bank accounts of Sarpanches, Ward and Mandal Parishad Territorial Council Members purportedly for material payments after they had been nominated as Work Executing Members (WEMs) by GPs. Such payments to the eight joint accounts of the sarpanch/technical assistant were ₹ 3.05 crore, purportedly for transportation of silt for which either no records were available or false/fictitious records were created. |
| 2. | Assam | - | 1 | - | 2 | 0.13 | During joint physical verification of two Anchlik Parishad level works, it was noticed that works did not exist physically. |
|  |  | - | 1 | - | 12 | 0.21 | During joint physical verification, it was noticed that 12 horticulture works did not exist. The relevant estimates, MBs and vouchers were also not produced to audit. |
|  |  | 1 | - | - | 10 | 0.22 | During joint physical verification and beneficiary survey, it was noticed that 10 works (rural connectivity) of ₹ 0.22 crore shown executed by AP/ZP did not exist physically. |
|  |  | - | 1 | - | 3 | 0.16 | Expenditure of ₹ 0.23 crore was incurred on construction of three roads, out of which ₹ 0.16 crore was incurred on procuring sand gravel. However, audit observed that there were no entries of use of sand gravel in muster rolls. During joint physical verification also it was noticed that sand gravels were not found sprayed on these roads. |
|  |  | - | - | 1 | - | 0.02 | Muster roll bills for ₹ 0.02 crore in respect of the work 'protection of Udalguri villages and its 10 adjoining villages from the erosion of river' were passed for payment. It was noticed that 188 names of beneficiaries were recorded in these MR bills without mentioning their identity number and bank/post office account number. |
|  |  | - | - | 8 | 21 | 0.31 | During joint physical verification of 18 completed works of field development and rural connectivity, it was noticed that the works were not executed as per the estimates. There were shortfalls in the length, width and height of works executed. Thus, works were executed short by ₹ 0.24 crore. Three works of land development also were short executed by ₹ 0.07 crore. |
|  |  | - | - | 11 | 12 | 0.09 | Material worth ₹ 0.09 crore was procured after completion of works. |
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| 3. | Bihar | - | - | 18 | - | 0.22 | Material for the works was purchased after completion of works. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 12 | - | - | 548 | 2.00 | Agencies were given advance of ₹ 2.00 crore for execution of works. Despite works were not executed by agencies, advances were not recovered. |
|  |  | - | - | 14 | - | 0.08 | Labourers were engaged after the completion of work. |
|  |  | - | - | 4 | - | 0.06 | A sum of ₹ 0.06 crore was withdrawn without any work. |
|  |  | - | - | 5 | - | 0.03 | -- |
|  |  | - | 1 | - | 1 | 0.01 | Expenditure of only ₹ 0.01 crore was shown in measurement, out of claim of expenditure of 0.02 crore. |
| 4. | Haryana | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0.04 | WBM roads did not exist physically. Records relating to construction of roads as well as the cash book were not produced to audit. |
| 5. | Jharkhand | - | 1 | - | 20 | 0.05 | Advance of ₹ 0.05 crore given during 2007-08 for completion of 20 works within three months was treated as final expenditure in the cash book. These works were neither started nor any action taken by the competent authority to recover the advance. |
|  |  | - | 2 | - | 5 | 0.01 | DPC had approved the model estimate of a well having specification of diameter 15 feet and depth 35 feet. During joint physical verification of irrigation wells, it was noticed that the depth of the well was less than the prescribed depth by $2^{\prime} 6$ " to $6^{\prime} 3^{\prime \prime}$. |
|  |  | - | - | 5 | - | 0.09 | Material was purchased after three to twelve months of completion of work. |
| 6. | Madhya Pradesh | - | - | 1 | 3 | 0.07 | During joint physical verification, it was noticed that three works of community gardening did not exist physically. |
|  |  | 1 | - | - | 18 | 1.06 | During valuation and physical verification of works (conducted by the Executive Engineer, WRD, Satna), it was noticed that works only of ₹ 1.94 crore were executed out of $₹ 3.00$ crore shown as incurred. |
| 7. | Manipur | 3 | - | - | 19 | 1.05 | During joint physical verification, it was noticed that 19 works did not exist physically. |
|  |  | 1 | - | - | 2 | 0.24 | For execution of two works, ₹ 0.25 crore had been paid to the implementing agency. However, the implementing agency executed only earthwork items of ₹ 0.01 crore. |
| 8. | Nagaland | - | - | 54 | 83 | 7.65 | During joint physical verification of the assets created at selected GPs, it was noticed that 60 works of ₹ 4.22 crore in Dimapur district, seven works of ₹ 1.70 crore in Mon district and 16 works of ₹ 1.73 crore in Tuensang district did not exist physically. |
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|  |  | - | - | 54 | 23 | 4.73 | During joint physical verification of works, it was noticed that there were shortfalls in works both <br> in execution of units as well as material cost for ₹ 0.49 crore in Dimapur district, ₹ 2.00 crore in <br> Mon district and ₹ 2.24 crore in Tuensang district against the allocations made for that purpose. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| 9. | Odisha | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | Audit observed that a work of "Excavation of GP tank" was executed twice in consecutive two <br> years for ₹ 0.02 crore each. |
|  |  | - | - | 1 | 1 | 0.02 | Payment of ₹ 0.02 crore for execution of one work (Parvarti Sagar) was made without checking <br> measurement. |
| 10. | Rajasthan | - | - | 6 | 9 | 0.16 | On joint physical verification it was noticed that six works were executed only measuring 144.7 <br> meter against the record entry measuring 150.50 meter. Part item of three works were not found <br> in existence which was indicative of fake expenditure. |


| Annex-10A <br> Position of Non - Maintenance of Records (Refer to paragraph 10.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { sl. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the State/UT | Job card application register not maintained in |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Job card } \\ \text { register not } \\ \text { maintained in } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Employment } \\ \text { register } \\ \text { not maintained in } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Muster roll } \\ & \text { issue/receipt register } \\ & \text { not maintained in } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Work register not maintained in |  |  | Assets register not maintained in |  |  | Complaint register not maintained in |  |  | Monthly allotment and UC watch register not maintained in |  |  |
|  |  | Dist | Block | ${ }^{\text {GP }}$ | Dist | Block | ${ }^{\text {GP }}$ | Dist | Block | ${ }^{\text {GP }}$ | Dist | Block | ${ }^{\text {GP }}$ | Dist | Block | ${ }^{\text {GP }}$ | Dist | Block | GP | Dist | Block | ${ }^{\text {GP }}$ | Dist | Block | ${ }^{\text {GP }}$ |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh |  |  | 150 |  |  | 150 | - |  | 150 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  | - | 14 | - |  |  | - | - | 1 |  |
| 2. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Arunachal } \\ & \text { Pradesh } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - |  | 9 |  | - | - | - |
| 3. | Assam | 4 | 7 | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | - |  | - | $\checkmark$ | - | 3 |  | 8 | - | - | - |
| 4. | Bihar | 15 | 54 | 250 | . | . | . | 15 | 54 | 250 | 15 | . | 250 | 15 | 54 | 250 | 15 | 39 | 196 | 1 | 9 | 250 | - | . | . |
| 5. | Goa | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 14 | 2 | - |  | - | - | - |
| 6. | Gujarat | 6 | 15 | 150 |  | . | . | 6 | 15 | 150 | . | . | 150 | . | 15 |  | . | 15 | 150 | . | . | 150 | . | . | . |
| 7. | Haryana | - |  | 13 | - | - | 18 | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . |
| 8. | Himachal Pradesh | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 32 | - | - | - | - | - | 90 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | . |
| 9. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jammu \& } \\ & \text { Kashmir } \end{aligned}$ | - | 12 | 113 | - | 12 | 113 | - | 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - | 12 |  | - | 12 | - | - | 12 | - | - | 12 | - |
| 10. | Jharkhand | 4 | 7 | 40 | 4 | 8 | 46 | 4 | 8 | 50 | 4 | 10 | 65 | . | 9 | 56 | 4 | 8 | 54 | 4 | 8 | 62 | 5 | 10 | 75 |
| 11. | Karnataka | - | - | 26 | - | - | - | - | - | 75 | - | 16 | 121 | - | , | 126 | - | - | 137 | - | - | 118 | - | - | - |
| 12. | Kerala | . | - | 10 | . | - | . | . | - | 9 | . |  | 1 | . | 13 | 11 | . | 13 | 6 | . | 13 | 6 | . | . |  |
| 13. | Madhya Pradesh | - | 17 | 167 | - | 5 | 13 | - | 4 | 10 | - | 8 | 57 | - | 24 | 162 | - | 11 | 55 | - | 24 | 215 | - | 18 | 162 |
| 14. | Maharashtra | - | - | . | - | . | - | 6 | - | 160 | - | - | - | 2 | 6 | 60 | - | - | . | - | . |  | - | - | - |
| 15. | Meghalaya | 4 | 8 | 89 | - | 8 | , | 4 | 8 | 89 | - | 8 | 89 | - | 8 | 89 | - | 8 | 89 | 4 | 8 | 89 | 4 | 8 | 89 |
| 16. | Nagaland | . | - | 23 | - | . | 12 | - | - | 26 | - | - | 12 | . | . | 38 | - | - | 38 | - | - | 24 | - | - | 54 |
| 17. | Odisha | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | . | - | 2 | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| 18. | Punjab | 4 | 6 | 53 | . | 4 | 37 | . | 7 | 62 |  | 7 | 62 |  | 7 | 62 | - | 7 | 62 | . | 7 | 62 | . | 7 | 62 |
| 19. | Tamil Nadu | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 8 | 23 | 230 | 8 | 23 | 230 | - | 11 | 140 | - | - | - |
| 20. | Tripura |  |  | . | . | 6 | . | - | - | - | . | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| 21. | Uttar Pradesh | 18 | 39 | 7 | - | - | 93 | - | - | 7 | 18 | 1 | 73 | 18 | 16 | 377 | 18 | 10 | - | 18 | 7 | 143 | - | - | - |
| 22. | West Bengal | - | - | 83 | - | . | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 83 | - | - | 1 | - | . | . | - | . | . |
| 23. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dadra \& Nagar } \\ & \text { Haweli } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | ${ }^{1}$ | - | 1 | 1 | - | 3 | 1 | - | - |
| 24. | Puducherry | - | - | . | - | - | - | 2 | - | 30 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | 30 | 2 | 3 | 30 | 1 | 3 | 30 | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 56 | 165 | 1205 | 4 | 43 | 482 | 37 | 108 | 1111 | 42 | 74 | 885 | 46 | 200 | 1665 | 48 | 167 | 1063 | 34 | 111 | 1300 | 10 | 56 | 442 |

## Annex-10B

Position of incorrect maintenance of records

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State | Job card application register |  | Job card register |  | Employment register |  | Muster roll Issue/receipt register |  | Works register |  |  | Assets register |  |  | Complaint register |  |  | Monthly allotment and UC watch register |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Block | GP | Block | GP | Block | GP | Block | GP | Dist. | Block | GP | Dist | Block | GP | Dist | Block | GP | Block | GP |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 15 | - | - | - |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3. | Assam | - | - | - | - | - | 83 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4. | Goa | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | 4 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5. | Himachal Pradesh | - | 20 | - | - | - | 38 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 90 | - | 9 | 90 | - | 90 |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 10 | 127 | 9 | 121 | 9 | 117 | 7 | 102 | - | 8 | 111 | - | 9 | 113 | - | 9 | 105 | 7 | 92 |
| 7. | Karnataka | - | 117 | - | 133 | - | 72 | - | 31 | - | - | 20 | - | - | 8 | - | - | 39 | - | - |
| 8. | Madhya Pradesh | 10 | 100 | 29 | 248 | 23 | 220 | 20 | 191 | - | 11 | 98 | - | 22 | 198 | - | 4 | 40 | 8 | 73 |
| 9. | Manipur | 9 | 90 | 9 | 90 | 9 | 90 | 9 | 90 | 2 | - | - | 2 | - |  | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| 10. | Odisha | - | - | - | 199 | - | 199 | - | - | 8 | 20 | 199 | - | - | 199 | 8 | 20 | 199 | - | - |
| 11. | Sikkim | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 12. | Tripura | 6 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. | Uttarakhand | - | 100 | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | 100 | - | - | 100 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 14. | West Bengal | - | - |  | 83 | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 15. | Dadra \& Nagar Haveli | - | 2 | - | 10 | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | Total | 39 | 564 | 51 | 892 | 41 | 957 | 36 | 422 | 10 | 43 | 551 | 2 | 42 | 736 | 11 | 57 | 473 | 15 | 255 |

## Annex-10C Other inadequacies in records

(Refer to paragraph 10.4)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of <br> the State | Nature of Objection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Bihar | Scrutiny of Monthly Progress Report (MPR) revealed following discrepancies: <br> Expenditure shown in MPR was overstated by ₹ 34.19 crore in <br> comparison to Chartered Accountant report in Munger district during <br> 2007-12. <br> It was observed that MIS entry for ₹ 8.96 crore was not carried out by <br> the line department and zila parishad in West Champaran district. |
| 2. | Madhya <br> Pradesh | The fortnightly reports of employment generation data of the GPs as <br> prescribed in Para 9.2.2 of Operational Guidelines were not consolidated at <br> block level. Hence, the actual data of employment generated under the <br> Scheme was not available at any level of execution. The various <br> implementing agencies relied only upon the MIS data which was not being <br> reconciled with the actual data of employment generation. |
| 3. | Rajasthan | Register of receipt and issue of muster rolls was maintained in all test <br> checked blocks and GPs. However, 310 and 180 muster rolls were not <br> recorded in receipt register of 12 GPs of respective blocks of Chaksu and <br> Phagi and the muster roll register of GP Kathwala (block; Chaksu) was not <br> produced to Audit. In absence of the non-receipt of muster rolls, possibility of <br> misuse could not be ruled out. |
| 4. | Tamil <br> Nadu | Data available in MIS for 2009-10, 2010-11 and 2011-12 shows that <br> unemployment allowance was due to be paid by the State for 324 days, <br> $1,65,284$ days and 1,75,406 days respectively. However, as per department's <br> reply, payment of unemployment allowance did not arise as the jobs were <br> provided immediately on demand. Thus, there was serious discrepancy <br> between the database and reply of the department. The State government <br> stated (June 2012) that the entries in the MIS data were due to errors made <br> by the Data Entry Operators. |

[^2]
## Annex-10D <br> Variation between data uploaded in the MIS and actual position in terms of job cards

(Refer to paragraph 10.5)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the State/UT | Nature of discrepancy | As per Data made available to Audit (figures in lakh) | As per Data uploaded in the MIS (figures in lakh) | Discrepancy noticed in |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | districts | Blocks | GPs |
| 1. | Assam | Number of job cards issued | 0.84 | 0.90 | 1 (Kamrup) | 4 | 51 |
| 2. | Goa | Number of households job cards issued | 0.32 | 0.29 | 2 |  |  |
| 3. | Gujarat | Number of households job cards issued | 2.65 | 3.25 | 1 | - | - |
|  |  | Number of households | 0.25 | 0.35 | - | 1 | - |
| 4. | Jharkhand | Number of job cards issued | 13.37 | 13.25 | 6 | - | - |
| 5. | Nagaland | Number of job cards issued | 0.006 | 0.007 | - | - | Pansa B GP |
| 6. | Punjab | Number of job cards issued | 23.93 | 25.10 | - | - | - |
| 7. | Rajasthan | Number of job cards (2009-12) | 27.69 | 28.07 | 8 | 8 | - |
| 8. | Lakshadweep | Households job card issued | 0.09 | 0.08 | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | Households demanded employment | 0.05 | 0.04 | 1 | - | - |
|  |  | Households provided Employment | 0.05 | 0.04 | 1 | - | - |
|  |  | Households completed 100 days. | 0.003 | 0.001 | 1 | - | - |
|  |  | Persondays generated | 1.71 | 1.64 | 1 | - | - |

Annex-10E
Variation between data uploaded in the MIS and actual position in terms of Opening/Closing Balances
(Refer to paragraph 10.5)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sl. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the State | Nature of discrepancy | Figure as per Data made available to Audit (figures in crore) | Figure as per Data uploaded in the MIS (figures in crore) | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra <br> Pradesh | Opening balance in Scheme fund 2009-10 at Director EGS office | 0.84 (as per audited accounts by Chartered Accountant) | 1,107.74 | State level |
|  |  | Opening balance in Scheme fund 2010-11 at Director EGS office | 973.03 (as per audited accounts by Chartered Accountant) | 1,169.51 |  |
|  |  | Closing balance in Scheme fund 2009-10 at Director EGS office | 973.03 (as per audited accounts by Chartered Accountant) | 1,169.51 |  |
|  |  | Closing balance in Scheme fund 2010-11 at Director EGS office | 3,519.24 (as per audited accounts by Chartered Accountant) | 3,645.75 |  |
| 2. | Goa | Closing balance (fund) as on 31.03.2012 (₹ in lakh) | 2.19 | 2.34 | 2 districts |
| 3. | Kerala | Central release | 1,084.26 | 951.05 | State level |
|  |  | State share | 25.10 | 25.00 |  |
|  |  | Miscellaneous receipt | 8.75 | 7.78 |  |
|  |  | Expenditure | 1,003.83 | 1,054.90 |  |
| 4. | Maharashtra | Closing balance as per DPCs | 10.51 | 31.16 | Nanded |
|  |  | Closing balance as per DPCs | 3.83 | 20.68 |  |
|  |  | Closing balance as per DPCs | 15.39 | 7.04 | Yeotmal |
|  |  | Closing balance as per DPCs | 5.23 | 1.34 |  |
|  |  | Closing balance as per DPCs | 8.25 | 1.26 | Bhandara <br> Latur <br> Buldhana |
| 5. | Nagaland | Mismatch between the bank pass book and MIS in respect of amount received during 2009-12 | 49.14 | 92.86 | 3 districts |

## Annex-10F

## Variation between data regarding employment generation uploaded in the MIS and actual position /actual data maintained

(Refer to paragraph 10.5)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the State/UT | Nature of Discrepancy | Figure as per data made available to Audit (figures in lakh) | Figure as per data uploaded in MIS (figures in lakh) | Discrepancy noticed in |  |  | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | district | Block | GP |  |
| 1. | Bihar | Variation between MIS entry and MPR in terms of persondays (2009-12) | 830 | 555.11 | 14 | - | - |  |
| 2. | Goa | Variation in cumulative households demanded employment as on 31.03.2012 (in Nos.) | 0.28 | 0.31 | 2 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in cumulative households provided employment as on 31.03.2012 (in Nos.) | 0.28 | 0.32 | 2 | - | - |  |
| 3. | Gujarat | Variation between MIS entry and MPR in terms of persondays (200912) | 403.31 | 382.87 | 6 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in Cumulative households issued job cards(200912) | 13.16 | 13.26 | 6 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Households provided employment (2009-12) | 11.25 | 10.48 | 6 | - | - |  |
| 4. | Jharkhand | Variation in cumulative persondays generated during (2008-12) | 974.67 | 885.38 | 6 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in cumulative households completed 100 days during (2008-12) | 1.51 | 1.59 | 6 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in households demanded employment(State level) | 69.61 | 63.83 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in households demanded employment (district level) | 22.25 | 21.20 | 6 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in households provided employment(State level) | 69.56 | 63.53 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in households provided employment (district level) | 22.22 | 21.09 | 6 | - | - |  |
| 5. | Kerala | Households registered | 18.79 | 18.68 | - | - | - | State <br> level |
|  |  | Households issued job card | 18.60 | 18.72 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Households demanded employment | 14.18 | 14.19 | - | - | - |  |


|  |  | Households provided employment | 14.16 | 14.17 | - | - | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Works completed during the year | 1.49 | 1.48 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Land development | 0.51 | 0.52 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Water conservation | 0.24 | 0.23 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Rural connectivity | 0.11 | 0.04 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Irrigation facility to SC/ST/BPL/IAY | 0.06 | 0.05 | - | - | - |  |
| 6. | Punjab | Households demanded employment | 8.09 | 7.85 | - | - | - | State level |
|  |  | Households provided employment | 8.08 | 7.81 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Number of persondays generated | 216.65 | 205.22 | - | - | - |  |
|  |  | Household completed 100 days | 0.17 | 0.14 | - | - | - |  |
| 7. | Rajasthan | Households provided Employment (2011-12) | 13.88 | 13.51 | 8 | 8 |  |  |
|  |  | Number of persondays generated | 636.73 | 665.16 | 8 | 8 | - |  |
|  |  | Households completed 100 days of employment | 1.14 | 1.19 | 8 | 8 | - |  |
| 8. | Tamil Nadu | Cumulative households registered (2009-12) | 54.85 | 55.95 | 8 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Cumulative households issued job card | 54.85 | 58.42 | 8 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Cumulative households demanded employment | 40.62 | 40.98 | 8 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Cumulative households provided employment | 40.62 | 40.84 | 8 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Cumulative persondays generated | 2,059.96 | 1,920.06 | 8 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Cumulative households completed 100 days | 7.76 | 3.88 | 8 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Social audit conducted | 0.26 | 0.004 | 8 | - | - |  |
| 9. | Uttarakhand | Cumulative households demanded employment (2009-12) | 6.34 | 4.67 | 4 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Cumulative households provided employment | 6.34 | 4.63 | 4 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Cumulative persondays generated | 201.07 | 159.29 | 4 | - | - |  |
| 10. | Puducherry | Households provided with 100 days of employment (2008-12) | 0.006 | 0.004 | 2 | - | - |  |

## Annex-10G <br> Variation between data uploaded in the MIS and actual position in terms of Expenditure

(Refer to paragraph 10.5)

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SI. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Name of the State/UT | Nature of discrepancy | Figure as per Data made available to audit (figures in lakh) | Figure as per Data uploaded in the MIS (figures in lakh) | Discrepan | ies not | din | Remark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | MPR | MIS | district | Block | GP |  |
| 1. | Assam | Variation in wages (2008-12) | 67.57 | 58.85 | 1 | 1 | 4 | As per offline report |
|  |  | Variation in material (2008-12) | 38.46 | 38.18 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Variation in wages (2010-12) | 32.59 | 30.61 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |
|  |  | Variation in material (2010-12) | 18.74 | 24.29 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |
| 2. | Bihar | Variation in expenditure (2009-12) | 1,64,951.82 | 1,40,974.21 | 14 | - | - | - |
| 3. | Goa | Variation in total expenditure during (2008-12) | 2,428.14 | 2,192.27 | North and South Goa |  |  |  |
| 4. | Gujarat | Total Expenditure | 63,754.5 | 59,396.51 | 6 | - | - |  |
| 5. | Jharkhand | Variation in wages (2008-12) | 97,421.43 | 89,244.23 | 6 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in expenditure over material (2008-12) | 61,132.19 | 64,491.90 | 6 | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in expenditure (2008-12) | 22,548.14 | 21,523.92 | Gumla | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in expenditure (2008-12) | 20,061.93 | 17,276.64 | Palamau | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in expenditure (2008-12) | 31,190.82 | 29,506.17 | West Singhbhum | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in expenditure (2008-12) | 1,63,769.54 | 1,59,401.29 | $6$ <br> sampled districts | - | - |  |
|  |  | Variation in expenditure (2008-12) | 5,14,533.61 | 4,91,360.10 | 24 | - | - |  |


| 6. | Punjab | Variation in total availability of funds <br> Variation in total expenditure <br> Number of works completed (2011- <br> 12) <br> Variation in expenditure on works completed (2011-12) <br> Variation in Number of work ongoing/suspende <br> d (2011-12) <br> Variation in expenditure of works ongoing/ suspended (201112) | $\begin{array}{r}63,477.65 \\ \hline 47,172.20 \\ 0.07 \\ \hline 5,648.95 \\ \hline 0.11 \\ \hline 9,293.91\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}66,023.85 \\ \hline 32,943.39 \\ 0.09 \\ \hline 8,697.34 \\ \hline 0.09 \\ \hline 6,517.34\end{array}$ | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - | - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - <br> - | State level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | Uttar <br> Pradesh | Variation | en MIS entr | and MPR in t | ms of expen | re | ing 200 | 2012 |
|  |  | Labour | 18,196 | 13,291 | Gonda. | - | - | - |
|  |  | Skilled and semiskilled | 386 | 87 | Gonda | - | - | - |
|  |  | Material | 9,822 | 7,814 | Gonda | - | - | - |
|  |  | Expenditure (2010-12) | 20,411 | 19,996 | Jalaun | - | - | - |
|  |  | Expenditure (2007-12) | 353.22 | 229.92 | - | - | 6 GPs | - |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Expenditure } \\ & (2009-11) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 17,122 | 16,918 | Lucknow | - | - | - |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total receipt } \\ & \text { during } \\ & (2009-12) \end{aligned}$ | 116.23 | 101.23 | Moradabad | - | - | Total Receipt as per Cash Book was ₹ 110.44 lakh |
|  |  | Total expenditure during (2009-12) | 104.83 | 105.07 | Moradabad | - | - | Total Expenditure as per Cash Book was ₹ 110.27 lakh |
| 8. | Lakshadweep | Variation in total expenditure | 302.59 | 161.63 | 1 | - | - | - |

## Annex-10H

## State specific findings

## (Refer to paragraph 10.5)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of <br> State | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Assam | MGNREGS data were automated in MIS system during the year 2011-12, but <br> reliability of data uploaded in the MIS Information sheet was found doubtful as the <br> names and unique ID numbers of job card holders appearing in the muster roll (MR) <br> bills did not tally either with the names or ID numbers that were uploaded in the MIS. <br> Further, the period of works shown in the MR bills did not match the data uploaded <br> in MIS indicating presence of ghost/fake job card holders of 2,016 cases. <br> MR number against which the payments were made did not tally with MIS <br> information in four cases thereby raising concern about actual employment <br> generation with probable misappropriation of Scheme funds. <br> The name and period of works shown in the MR Bills did not match with the data that <br> were uploaded in MIS, thereby indicating presence of Ghost job card holders <br> involving a total amount of expenditure ₹ 20.59 lakh. |
| 2. |  <br> Kashmir | Against the amount of ₹ 77,671.91 lakh spent on MGNREGS works during 2011-12, data <br> related to only ₹ $36,396.73$ had been uploaded. The shortfall was attributed to dearth of <br> staff, frequent power cuts, poor web connectivity, etc. |
| 3. | Kerala | There was no cross verification of MIS data with that of MPRs which rules out the <br> probability of rectification of errors in data entry. Though the Programme Officer at block <br> level has to verify the data forwarded by GPs, it was noted by Audit that such verification <br> was not being carried out either at block level or district level due to non-forwarding of <br> original records/MPRs to higher levels. Considerable number of discrepancies in the <br> figures uploaded in MIS and various statements relating to job card issue, employment |
| generation, fund expended, wage payments, etc. were observed when cross-checked |  |  |
| with original figures. |  |  |$|$

## Annex-11A

Field visits undertaken by the CEGC members
(Refer to Paragraph 11.2.1)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the Member | Place visited | Dates of visit | Whether action taken report from States received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Sanjay Dixit | Bulandshahr, Uttar Pradesh | 1 to 3.06.2010 | No |
| 2. | Sanjay Dixit | Kanpur Dehat, Uttar Pradesh | 11 to 13.02.2010 | No |
| 3. | Madhusudan Mistry | Porbandar, Gujarat | October 2010 | No |
| 4. | Sanjay Dixit | Sonbhadra, Uttar Pradesh | 5 to 7.07.2010 | No |
| 5. | Ashwini Kumar | Dhar, Madhya Pradesh | 17 to 21.11.2009 | No |
| 6. | K S Gopal | Bhubneshwar, Odisha | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { to } 11.12 .2009 \\ & 8 \text { to } 10.01 .2010 \\ & 21 \text { to } 24.01 .2010 \end{aligned}$ | No |
| 7. | Ashwini Kumar | Keonjhar, Bhubneshwar Odisha | 2 to 4.02.2010 | No |
| 8. | Sanjay Dixit | Mahoba, Uttar Pradesh | 25 to 26.09.2009 | Yes |
| 9. | Madhusudan Mistry | Dahod, Gujarat | 27 to 29.01.2010 | Yes |
| 10. | Madhusudan Mistry | Rajgarh, Shivpuri and Guna, Madhya Pradesh | 10 to 12.11.2009 | No |
| 11. | Madhusudan Mistry | Kutch and Sabarkantha, Gujarat | 3 to 4.11.2009 | No |
| 12. | R Dhruvanarayana | Mysore and Chamarajanagar, Karnataka | 16.10.2009 | No |
| 13. | R Dhruvanarayana | Bhilwara, Rajasthan | 23.10.2009 | No |

Annex-11B

## Coverage of NLMs

(Refer to Paragraph 11.2.2)

| Name of State/UT | Total Districts under MGNREGS | Districts visited by NLMs during 2007-08 | Districts visited by NLMs during 2008-09 | Districts visited by NLMs during 2009-10 | Districts visited by NLMs during 2010-11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Andhra Pradesh | 22 | 9 | 8 | 13 | 16 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 16 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 8 |
| Assam | 27 | 8 | 10 | 5 | 22 |
| Bihar | 38 | 9 | 14 | 24 | 29 |
| Chhattisgarh | 18 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 15 |
| Goa | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Gujarat | 26 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 25 |
| Haryana | 21 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 16 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 12 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 10 |
| Jammu \& Kashmir | 22 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 18 |
| Jharkhand | 24 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 12 |
| Karnataka | 30 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 21 |
| Kerala | 14 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 12 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 50 | 13 | 24 | 26 | 40 |
| Maharashtra | 33 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 30 |
| Manipur | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| Meghalaya | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| Mizoram | 8 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 8 |
| Nagaland | 11 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| Odisha | 30 | 7 | 14 | 13 | 24 |
| Punjab | 20 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 18 |


| Rajasthan | 33 | 9 | 15 | 13 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sikkim | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Tamil Nadu | 31 | 8 | 14 | 13 | 19 |
| Tripura | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 71 | 22 | 23 | 34 | 55 |
| Uttarakhand | 13 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 12 |
| West Bengal | 19 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| A \& N Islands | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| D \& N Haveli | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Lakshadweep | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| Puducherry | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 622 | 171 | 225 | 251 | 479 |

Annex-11C
Districts not covered by NLMs even once during 2007-2011
(Refer to Paragraph 11.2.2)

| SI. No. | Name of State | Number of Districts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 2 |
| 2. | Arunachal Pradesh | 6 |
| 3. | Assam | 4 |
| 4. | Bihar | 2 |
| 5. | Chhattisgarh | 2 |
| 6. | Haryana | 2 |
| 7. | Himachal Pradesh | 1 |
| 8. | Jammu \& Kashmir | 3 |
| 9. | Karnataka | 1 |
| 10. | Madhya Pradesh | 3 |
| 11. | Maharashtra | 1 |
| 12. | Manipur | 2 |
| 13. | Odisha | 1 |
| 14. | Punjab | 1 |
| 15. | Rajasthan | 2 |
| 16. | Tamil Nadu | 1 |
| 17. | Uttar Pradesh | 3 |
| 18. | West Bengal | 2 |
|  | Total | 39 |

## Annex-11D

## State-wise details where no record maintained/produced for internal verification of work at field level

(Refer to Paragraph 11.4)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State/UT | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Arunachal Pradesh | Physical Verification Reports of works (10 per cent at district level and 2 per cent at state level) were not available /monitored by the state government. |
| 2. | Chhattisgarh | There were no records in selected units to ensure that inspections were carried out in Bastar district. |
| 3. | Goa | No inspection reports were maintained/provided at DRDA (North Goa). |
| 4. | Haryana | It was observed that the records relating to inspection of works were not maintained/provided at the block level. |
| 5. | Madhya Pradesh | No permanent record of inspection of works carried out by the various levels was maintained at the GPs and no inspection report of the works inspected was found at any of the test checked GPs, blocks and districts. |
| 6. | Odisha | The PO, district level officers and state level officers had not verified 100 per cent, 10 per cent and 2 per cent works physically as seen from the monthly progress reports during the period under review. |
| 7. | Uttar Pradesh | Nothing was available on record to show that the inspection and test checks were conducted to the extent prescribed. |
| 8. | Puducherry | No record of inspection was maintained or made available to Audit. |

Annex-11E
Shortfall in inspection at State, District and Block level
(Refer to Paragraph 11.4)

| SI. No. | Name of state | State (per cent) | District (per cent) | Block (per cent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bihar ${ }^{1}$ | NA | 63 | 62 |
| 2 | Gujarat ${ }^{2}$ | NA | 37 | 2 |
| 3 | Jharkhand | NA | NIL | 42 |
| 4 | Karnataka | 98 | 50 | 71 |
| 5 | Kerala | 94 | 56 | 25 |
| 6 | Manipur | 94 | NIL | 51 |
| 7 | Meghalaya | 100 | 72 | 39 |
| 8 | Mizoram | 100 | NA | NIL |
| 9 | Nagaland | 82 | 76 | 53 |
| 10 | Punjab | 90 | - | - |
| 11 | Uttarakhand | 94 | NIL | 48 |

[^3]Annex-11F
States where VMCs were not constituted
(Refer to Paragraph 11.6)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | Total No. of test <br> checked GPs | No. of test <br> checked GPs <br> where VMC not <br> appointed | Percentage of <br> GPs where VMC <br> not constituted |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 150 | 150 | 100 |
| 2. | Bihar | 252 | 250 | 99 |
| 3. | Odisha | 200 | 199 | 99 |
| 4. | Tamil Nadu | 230 | 170 | 74 |
| 5. | Uttar Pradesh | 460 | 57 | 12 |
| 6. | West Bengal | 120 | 83 | 69 |
|  | Total | 1,412 | 909 | -- |

Annex-11G
Status of Social Audit conducted
(Refer to Paragraph 11.8.2)

| SI. No. | Name of the State/UT | Total audits in test checked GPs required to be done | Total audits in test checked GPs actually done |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Andhra Pradesh | 1,500 | 610 |
| 2. | Bihar | 2,380 | 528 |
| 3. | Himachal Pradesh | 730 | 313 |
| 4. | Jharkhand ${ }^{3}$ | 11,786 | 5,660 |
| 5. | Karnataka | 1,416 | 232 |
| 6. | Nagaland | 488 | 280 |
| 7. | Odisha | 1,890 | 938 |
| 8. | Punjab | 1,073 | 978 |
| 9. | Rajasthan | 1,560 | 1,360 |
| 10. | Sikkim ${ }^{4}$ | 64 | 24 |
| 11. | Uttar Pradesh | 4,200 | 982 |
| 12. | Puducherry | 240 | 53 |

[^4]Annex-11H
Internal Audit Cell not constituted
(Refer to Paragraph 11.8.5)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of the State/UT | Total test checked Districts | Districts where Internal Audit cell not constituted | Percentage of test checked Districts where internal cell not constituted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Bihar | 15 | 15 | 100 |
| 2. | Gujarat | 6 | 2 | 33 |
| 3. | Jharkhand | 6 | 6 | 100 |
| 4. | Manipur | 4 | 4 | 100 |
| 5. | Nagaland | 3 | 1 | 33 |
| 6. | Odisha | 8 | 8 | 100 |
| 7. | Punjab | 6 | 3 | 50 |
| 8. | Rajasthan | 8 | 1 | 13 |
| 9. | Uttar Pradesh | 18 | 14 | 77 |
| 10 | Andaman \& Nicobar Islands | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| 11 | Puducherry | 2 | 2 | 100 |
|  | Total | 78 | 58 | -- |

## Annex-11I

Status of complaints received and disposed of during the period 2007-12
(Refer to Paragraph 11.9.1)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | No. of <br> complaints <br> received | No. of complaints <br> disposed of |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Assam | 180 | 110 |
| 2 | Bihar | 2,419 | 1,835 |
| 3 | Chhattisgarh | 475 | 51 |
| 4. | Karnataka | 1,953 | 1,620 |
| 5. | Madhya Pradesh | 6,537 | 5,428 |
| 6 | Punjab | $\mathbf{6 1 2}$ | 548 |
| 7. | Uttar Pradesh | $1,18,043$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 0 , 2 1 9}$ |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 , 8 0 7}$ |  |

Annex-12A
Missing/Ambiguous User IDs for entries in Work Progress Tables
(Refer to paragraph 12.4.1)

| SI. No. | Name of State | No. of Records |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 29,663 |
| 2. | Bihar | 4,34,972 |
| 3. | Goa | 3,414 |
| 4. | Gujarat | 54,671 |
| 5. | Haryana | 9,774 |
| 6. | Himachal Pradesh | 2,52,091 |
| 7. | Jharkhand | 2,92,378 |
| 8. | Karnataka | 11,53,017 |
| 9. | Kerala | 79,091 |
| 10. | Manipur | 1,463 |
| 11. | Madhya Pradesh | 14,41,259 |
| 12. | Maharashtra | 67,027 |
| 13. | Meghalya | 25,232 |
| 14. | Nagaland | 30,399 |
| 15. | Odisha | 6,815 |
| 16. | Punjab | 10,629 |
| 17. | Rajasthan | 5,74,053 |
| 18. | Sikkim | 1,002 |
| 19. | Tamil Nadu | 90,787 |
| 20. | Uttar Pradesh | 10,66,267 |
|  | Total | 56,24,004 |

Annex-12B

## Invalid Names of beneficiaries in Registration Table

(Refer to paragraph 12.4.2)

| SI. No. | Name of State | No. of records |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Names with Special characters | Names containing one or two letters or special characters only |
| 1. | Assam | 84 | - |
| 2. | Bihar | 352 | 228 |
| 3. | Gujarat | 633 | 399 |
| 4. | Haryana | 136 | 85 |
| 5. | Himachal Pradesh | 91 | - |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 3,940 | 353 |
| 7. | Karnataka | 626 | - |
| 8. | Kerala | 1,01,463 | 6,112 |
| 9. | Madhya Pradesh | 7,475 | - |
| 10. | Maharashtra | 1,685 | 943 |
| 11. | Manipur | 57 | 52 |
| 12. | Meghalaya | 891 | - |
| 13. | Odisha | 11 | - |
| 14. | Punjab | 56 | 54 |
| 15. | Rajasthan | 2,092 | - |
| 16. | Sikkim | 40 | 1 |
| 17. | Tamil Nadu | 3,441 | 2,670 |
| 18. | Uttar Pradesh | 776 | - |
|  | Total | 1,23,849 | 10,897 |

Annex-12C
Missing/Invalid House Numbers Registration Table (Refer to paragraph 12.4.2)

| SI. No. | Name of State | No. of Records |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 10,16,918 |
| 2. | Bihar | 1,22,51,203 |
| 3. | Goa | 531 |
| 4. | Gujarat | 36,33,287 |
| 5. | Haryana | 6,08,293 |
| 6. | Himachal <br> Pradesh | 8,73,270 |
| 7. | Jharkhand | 33,41,044 |
| 8. | Karnataka | 55,86,655 |
| 9. | Kerala | 2,857 |
| 10. | Maharashtra | 58,82,400 |
| 11. | Manipur | 70,127 |
| 12. | Meghalya | 3,05,109 |
| 13. | Nagaland | 46,736 |
| 14. | Odisha | 1,47,385 |
| 15. | Punjab | 7,75,004 |
| 16. | Rajasthan | 91,27,735 |
| 17. | Sikkim | 40 |
| 18. | Tamil Nadu | 56,50,099 |
| 19. | Uttar Pradesh | 1,48,96,143 |
|  | Total | 6,42,14,836 |

Annex-12D
Missing Plot/Khata Number in Work Progress Tables
(Refer to paragraph 12.4.2)

| SI. No. | Name of State | No. of Records |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 67,100 |
| 2. | Bihar | 4,37,931 |
| 3. | Goa | 3,342 |
| 4. | Gujarat | 1,51,770 |
| 5. | Haryana | 33,133 |
| 6. | Himachal <br> Pradesh | 2,49,526 |
| 7. | Jharkhand | 1,32,494 |
| 8. | Karnataka | 3,47,500 |
| 9. | Kerala | 1,95,072 |
| 10. | Madhya Pradesh | 9,83,944 |
| 11. | Maharashtra | 2,30,017 |
| 12. | Manipur | 9,984 |
| 13. | Meghalya | 32,744 |
| 14. | Nagaland | 30,224 |
| 15. | Odisha | 8,010 |
| 16. | Punjab | 19,902 |
| 17. | Rajasthan | 6,01,627 |
| 18. | Sikkim | 7,963 |
| 19. | Tamil Nadu | 2,02,795 |
| 20. | Uttar Pradesh | 15,63,071 |
|  | Total | 53,08,149 |

Annex-12E

## Missing/Duplicate Financial Sanction Number in Work Sanction Table

 (Refer to paragraph 12.4.2)| Sl. <br> No. | Name of State | No. of Records |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |

Annex-12F
Missing Work Name in Works Sanctioned
(Refer to paragraph 12.4.2)

| SI. <br> No. | Name of State | No. of Records |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Without Work Name | Without Work Code |
| 1. | Assam | 22,770 | - |
| 2. | Bihar | 4,34,342 | 272 |
| 3. | Goa | 2,821 | 0 |
| 4. | Gujarat | 2,98,336 | 137 |
| 5. | Haryana | 38,664 | 1 |
| 6. | Himachal Pradesh | 95,425 | 7 |
| 7. | Jharkhand | 1,63,694 | 47 |
| 8. | Karnataka | 9,62,791 | - |
| 9. | Kerala | 3,00,706 | 1,071 |
| 10. | Madhya Pradesh | 14,00,673 | 18,648 |
| 11. | Maharashtra | 3,64,548 | 168 |
| 12. | Manipur | 11,164 | 6 |
| 13. | Meghalaya | 31,690 | - |
| 14. | Nagaland | 31,734 | 1 |
| 15. | Odisha | 6,724 | - |
| 16. | Punjab | 18,521 | 1 |
| 17. | Rajasthan | 2,22,314 | 1 |
| 18. | Tamil Nadu | 1,99,565 | 1 |
|  | Total | 46,06,482 | 20,361 |

## Annex-12G

Wrong Wage Calculation
(Refer to paragraph 12.4.3)

| SI. No. | Name of State | Records |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 12,031 |
| 2. | Bihar | 4,967 |
| 3. | Gujarat | 14,209 |
| 4. | Haryana | 1,560 |
| 5. | Himachal Pradesh | 99,481 |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 2,74,045 |
| 7. | Karnataka | 5,765 |
| 8. | Kerala | 41,614 |
| 9. | Madhya Pradesh | 34,16,879 |
| 10. | Maharashtra | 5,39,309 |
| 11. | Manipur | 304 |
| 12. | Meghalya | 28,651 |
| 13. | Nagaland | 90,940 |
| 14. | Odisha | 58,610 |
| 15. | Punjab | 1,787 |
| 16. | Rajasthan | 48,03,463 |
| 17. | Tamil Nadu | 10,610 |
| 18. | Uttar Pradesh | 52,374 |
|  | Total | 94,56,599 |

Annex-12H
Wrong Bill Amount Calculation in Material Purchased
(Refer to paragraph 12.4.3)

| SI. No. | Name of State | No of Records |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Bihar | 52 |
| 2. | Goa | 41 |
| 3. | Gujarat | 2,013 |
| 4. | Himachal Pradesh | 188 |
| 5. | Jharkhand | 38,909 |
| 6. | Uttar Pradesh | 3,562 |
| 7. | Total | 68,313 |
| 8. |  | $1,13,723$ |

Annex-12I
Instances of wrong working of Opening Balance/Closing Balances
figures in State, District, Block or Panchayat accounts
(Refer to paragraph 12.4.3)

| SI. No. | Name of State | No. of Records |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Assam | 1,37,729 |
| 2. | Bihar | 6,836 |
| 3. | Goa | 1,909 |
| 4. | Gujarat | 29,762 |
| 5. | Himachal Pradesh | 2,57,124 |
| 6. | Jharkhand | 13,685 |
| 7. | Manipur | 3,014 |
| 8. | Maharashtra | 65,631 |
| 9. | Meghalaya | 85,052 |
| 10. | Punjab | 60,751 |
| 11. | Rajasthan | 4,83,040 |
| 12. | Uttar Pradesh | 7,66,569 |
| Total |  | 19,11,102 |

## Annex-13 : State Highlights

## Andhra Pradesh

## Background

The state has 23 districts, out of which only 22 districts were covered under MGNREGS. Thirteen districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently, six districts were covered from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining three from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $17,267.41$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8,46,65,533$ | $5,63,11,788$ | 66.51 | $18,506.08$ | $1,24,00,996$ | $14,604.34$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage <br> of social <br> audits <br> completed |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1,30,07,471$ | $17,64,042$ | 13.56 | 10,850 | 4,779 | 44 |

## Planning

- The State Employment Guarantee Council (SEGC) was practically non-functional.
- There were significant vacancies in different cadres at the block and GP level, especially in view of the huge volume of transactions and the time-bound nature of various activities.
- The activities undertaken by the state government for Information, Education and Communication about MGNREGS and the development of training modules/material were largely adequate.


## > Employment Generation \& Wages

- Households were not properly segregated into nuclear families, thus, adversely affecting their statutory annual rights to at least 100 days employment per household.
- A significant proportion of job cards did not have photographs affixed.
- Instances of overlap in muster roll entries i.e, the same worker being reflected in two muster rolls on same dates for different works, were noticed.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- There were a large number of works-in-progress in GPs, ranging from 80 to 100. Most of these works had sporadic bursts of persondays of employment, without continuity.


## Financial Management

- Irregular opening of bank accounts and non-reconciliation of a discrepant amount of ₹ 34.82 lakh in August 2011 were noticed.
- As of 31 March 2011, an amount of $₹ 262.32$ crore advanced to different agencies was pending for adjustment. Advances reflected in the Auditor's Report for the state fund did not include outstanding advances at the district/block level.
- In Rangareddy district, opening balance of ₹ 2.54 lakh (July 2009) increased to more than ₹ 2.00 crore (June 2010) and ₹ 4.00 crore (March 2012). This clearly indicates that a substantial float of several crore of rupees was kept with business correspondent, representing undue benefit to the bank/business correspondent.
- There were shortfalls and delays in release of the matching share of state to the funds released by Ministry.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- State had introduced a formal system of registering grievances/petitions and action thereon, but the status of grievance redressal was not uploaded onto the MIS website.


## $>$ Others

- In the test-checked GPs, none of the registers stipulated in the Operational Guidelines were being maintained.


## Arunachal Pradesh

## Background

The state has 16 districts. One district was covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently two districts were covered from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 13, from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 172.07 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage of <br> rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure $₹ ₹$ <br> in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $13,82,611$ | $10,69,165$ | 77.32 | 181.40 | $1,78,220$ | 147.79 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage of <br> works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11,576 | 4,933 | 42.60 | -- | -- | -- |

$>$ Planning

- Against the target of 3,350 PRI functionaries, only 2,239 ( 66.84 per cent) were imparted training. The target for training of vigilance and monitoring stake holders was 1,777 against which achievement was 419 ( 23.58 per cent).


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Annual average employment generation was 15 to 18 persondays and percentage of households provided 100 days' employment was 0.04 to 18.18.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Two works costing worth ₹ 6.21 lakh, which were completed earlier, had again been executed for ₹ 21.79 lakh and eight road works executed at the cost of ₹ 7.49 lakh, were of doubtful durability.
- An expenditure of $₹ 2.96$ crore was incurred on 55 non-permissible works.
- Fourteen works amounting ₹ 2.18 crore were executed without administrative approval or technical sanction.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- The GIS and GeoICT tools under MGNREGA, to link GIS with decentralized planning, preparation of labour budget, programme implementation, assets monitoring, and evaluation were not utilized by the state.
- Physical verification reports of works (10 per cent at district level and two per cent at state level) were not available/undertaken by the state government.
- Ministry had drawn up broad indicative terms of reference for use by the state for quality monitors, but the state government did not appoint State Quality Monitors and District Quality Monitors at district level.


## Assam

## > Background

The state has 27 districts. Seven districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, six districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 14 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 3,295.50 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12.

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> ₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,11,69,272$ | $2,67,80,516$ | 85.92 | $4,060.40$ | $39,20,558$ | $1,895.55$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,15,691$ | 65,945 | 57.00 | $15,870(2007-$ <br> $08 \& 2008-09$ | $14,391(2007-$ <br> 08 not <br> provided) |  |

## Planning

- The Information, Education and Communication activities for awareness generation were not sufficient and the beneficiaries remained largely unaware of their entitlements.
- Deficiencies were noticed in appointment of full-time Programme Officers and instances of delayed/non-engagement of gram rozgar sahayak/mates, etc. were also noticed. The engagement of unqualified personnel as Accredited Engineers and their subsequent retrenchment resulted in infructuous expenditure of ₹45.42 lakh.
- The objective of improving the quality and cost effectiveness of the Scheme through appropriate technical support remained largely unachieved though an amount of ₹ 32.70 lakh was spent by the state government.
- There were deficiencies in the preparation of annual plan and district perspective plan (DPP). An amount of ₹ 59.32 lakh was spent by the districts for preparation of DPP, but the same were not approved by the SEGC.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Out of 88.15 lakh households that demanded employment during 2007 to 2012, 100 days' employment was provided to only 3.54 lakh households.
- There were irregularities in the issue of job cards, viz: job cards issued to unidentified persons, PRI members and gram rozgar sahayak, non-opening of bank/post office accounts of job card holders and non-updating the registration list.
- In four districts, joint photographs of the families of the job card holders were not affixed either on the job card or on the job card register. In 536 cases in other 15 GPs, even photographs of the head of the families were not affixed on the job cards.
- In eight districts, the eligibility and quantum of unemployment allowance was not verifiable for want of details of applications and other records. In two districts, 37,229 job card holders were not paid unemployment allowance. There were also 6,263 cases of delayed payment of wages in two districts, for which due compensation of ₹ 93.95 lakh was not paid.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- In nine blocks, works involving ₹ 2.15 crore were executed beyond the scope of the Scheme and NREGA Works Field Manual.
- The norm of execution of a minimum of 50 per cent of the works in terms of costs through the gram panchayats were not adhered to in two districts which resulted in excess sanction of $₹ 1.82$ crore to other implementing agencies.
- Provisions of GFR relating to procurement of material were not adhered to in 25 GPs and material amounting to ₹ 6.65 crore was procured without maintaining any site account. Material worth ₹ 43.28 crore was not accounted for at work site in 11 GPs and two blocks.
- Fifteen earthen/kutcha roads were constructed at an expenditure of $₹ 1.22$ crore during the period from 2008-09 to 2010-11 in two blocks of one district which were not permissible under the Scheme.
- Forty two works taken up in one district and seven GPs from 2007-08 to 2011-12 were left abandoned after incurring expenditure of $₹ 3.78$ crore due to inadequate technical facilities, land dispute, public obstruction and flood.
- Twelve plantation works executed in one block after incurring expenditure of ₹ 21.00 lakh were not found available.


## Financial Management

- Unutilized balance of ₹ 31.07 lakh as of March 2007 under SGRY was irregularly utilized in two districts to avoid transfer of the fund to MGNREGS.
- There was non/short release of both the Central as well the state share. Instances of irregular administrative expenses, doubtful expenditures, under utilization of funds by executing agencies and non-reconciliation of post office accounts were noticed.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- The state government had not designated State Quality Monitors for quality inspection of works. The status of inspection of works/vigilance at the state, district and block levels was also poor which resulted in fraudulent payment of wages of ₹ 4.88 lakh at PO's level in one district.
- There were deficiencies in conducting social audits although, in most cases, gram sabha meeting was held twice a year to conduct social audit. The state government also had neither set up Directorate of Social Audit nor appointed a Director and required staff.
- The SEGC had neither framed any guidelines nor developed any evaluation system for evaluation studies.


## Bihar

## > Background

The state has 38 districts of which 23 districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006 and the remaining 15 districts from 1 April 2007 onwards. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $6,292.44$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10,38,04,637$ | $9,20,75,028$ | 88.70 | $8,110.84$ | $1,33,81,535 *$ | $5,296.74$ |

*job card holders

| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7,44,309$ | $3,05,783$ | 41.08 | 84,630 | 71,467 <br> (except 2007- <br> $08)$ | - |

## Planning

- The annual plan was prepared with delay and without labour projections. The district perspective plan was not prepared. In six districts, 144 works involving expenditure of $₹ 3.76$ crore were executed beyond annual plan.
- Labour budget was not prepared in a realistic manner as shortfall (27 to 98 per cent) in generation of persondays was noticed.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- During job card verification of 1,997 beneficiaries, photographs were not affixed in 20 per cent job cards and in 26 per cent cases payment of wages entered into the job cards did not tally with the amount credited into their accounts.
- In several cases of delayed and non- payment of wages, no compensation was paid to the labourers. There were also instances of employment not provided as per demand. In most of the cases, jobs were provided on verbal request of the job seekers and applications for job demands were not documented.
- Liability on account of wages due and pending material bills of $₹ 79.54$ crore were found and delay in payment of wages ranging from 1 to 4 years.
- Average wages per household were ₹ 513 to $₹ 5,407$ annually in districts and the corresponding figure for state were ₹ 1,717 to $₹ 3,788$ during 2007-12. These were less in comparison to national wage average.
- The state government as well as implementing agencies had experienced problems in payment of wages through post offices which caused delay in payment of wages.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Unspent amount of grant of $₹ 21.48$ crore and cost of unutilized quantity of grain amounting to ₹ 77.36 crore of Sampooran Gramin Rojgar Yojna /National Food for Work Programme were not transferred to MGNREGS account. Effective steps were not taken for successful closure of 1,127 incomplete works.
- In most of the cases, low priority works (as defined in Scheduled I to the Act), were given high priority and non-permissible works amounting to ₹ 2.11 crore were executed.


## Financial Management

- Administrative expenditure exceeded permissible limits.
- The state government failed to fully utilise the available grants and unspent balance ranging from 26 to 40 per cent during 2007-12 was noticed.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Monitoring system was not effective at the state and district levels. Quality monitors at the state and district levels were not appointed.


## $>$ Others

- In the absence of proper care and wrong selection of site, plantations (1.76 lakh plants) involving expenditure of $₹ 3.12$ crore did not survive.
- There was wide variation between data (expenditure/persondays) reported to the government and the entries made in the Monitoring and Information System (MIS).


## Chhattisgarh

## Background

The state has 18 districts. Eleven districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently, four districts were added from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining three from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, $₹ 6,959.36$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage of <br> rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,55,40,196$ | $1,96,03,658$ | 76.75 | $7,839.05$ | $41,20,054$ | $4,595.28$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6,09,942$ | $3,35,524$ | 55.00 | 79,360 | 30,042 | 37.85 |

## Planning

- District perspective plan for the period 2007-08 to 2011-12 was not prepared in the test checked districts.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- In one district, beneficiaries were not issued bank/ post office passbooks despite having accounts in the bank/post office.
- In 10 GPs, 10,041 households were not provided employment within 15 days and unemployment allowance was also not paid to them.
- In Jashpur district, employment for more than 100 days was provided and wages were paid by tampering with the wage-slips.
- In eight out of 14 selected blocks, wages of $₹ 9.58$ crore were paid with a delay ranging from 1 to 376 days.
- Cheques amounting to ₹ 69.90 crore were irregularly issued in favour of Sarpanch for making payment directly to labourers instead of banks/post offices.


## - Works \& Assets Creation

- Inadmissible works (construction of boundary wall) for ₹ 1.69 crore and for ₹ 0.31 crore were executed in two districts.
- ₹ 4.18 crore was paid to contractors for MIS entry on contract basis instead of getting the work done through the existing staff.
- In two districts, 29,636 works aggregating to ₹ 902.37 crore were lying incomplete with other implementing agencies.
- In Bastar district, completion certificates amounting to ₹ 4.30 crore were issued for 154 incomplete works.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Works executed by other implementing agencies were not discussed in social audit.


## Goa

## Background

The state has two districts. Both districts were covered from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 15.20 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage of <br> rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $14,57,723$ | $5,51,414$ | 37.83 | 26.31 | 4,437 | 8.62 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage of <br> works <br> completed | Number of <br> social <br> audits due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,003 | 1,296 | 43.16 | 1,134 | 709 | 62.52 |

## > Planning

- The meetings of the State Employment Guarantee Council (SEGC) were sporadic and rendered the SEGC virtually non-functional.
- The Scheme was implemented in the state from 2008-09, but annual plan and shelf of projects were not prepared for any of the years.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- In 14 gram panchayats, 146 non-permissible works (including kutcha roads) amounting to ₹ 1.60 crore were executed.
- Wage-material ratio was not followed in majority of the works executed under the Scheme in the 14 selected village panchayats.
- Assets valued ₹ 11.44 lakh were created for the benefit of private individuals in some panchayats.


## Financial Management

- The labour budget was not as per the prescribed format and the figures were not tallying with the Monthly Progress Report data uploaded in the MIS.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- The functioning of the local Vigilance and Monitoring Committee in Pernem block was doubtful. There were instances of lack of public awareness, lack of continuous public vigilance and little monitoring of the implementation of the Scheme through social audit.


## Gujarat

## $>$ Background

The state has 26 districts. Six districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, three districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 17 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 2,219.80 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6,03,83,628$ | $3,46,70,817$ | 57.41 | $2,105.17$ | $40,76,332$ | $1,691.15$ |
| Number of <br> works | Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| $6,38,692$ | $3,81,021$ | 59.65 | 57,128 | 70,379 | 100 |

## Planning

- There was delay of three years in constitution of State Employment Guarantee Council.
- Districts did not prepare annual plan and shelf of project.
- In phase II and III, districts which were given funds to prepare the district perspective plan for the period 2008-12, did not prepare it.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- There was shortfall in achievement of projected employment in labour budget ranging between 18 and 47 per cent.
- Payments to 201 ghost workers were noticed in seven blocks.
- There was incorrect maintenance of muster rolls. Muster rolls did not bear signature of labourers.
- There was suspected misappropriation of $₹ 3.59$ crore in Dahod taluka. Wage payment was made on muster rolls which were not issued to that Taluka.
- There was inconsistency in the data showing registration of households under the Scheme. Programme office did not have physical records of applications for registration. Online data was incorrect as many job cards shown online did not exist.
- There were cases of duplicate job card numbers and duplicate account numbers in five districts.
- Records were not available for employment demanded and provided. No entries on job cards were made.
- There were delays in payment of wages ranging from 1 to 685 days and no compensation was paid for delay.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- There was an expenditure of ₹ 90 lakh at Ahmedabad district for construction of underground drainage in which MGNREGS labourers were not involved.
- There was an expenditure of $₹ 101.25$ crore on construction of $2,64,652$ boribandhs, a mud and sand structure for storage of water during Monsoon and its percolation underground so as to bring up the water table which were not durable.
- 392 wells sanctioned at a cost of ₹ 5.25 crore at Dahod district were incomplete.
- There was no provision for maintenance of assets created under the Scheme. In Ahmedabad, district afforestation work done at a cost of ₹ 3.38 lakh did not survive due to non-maintenance.


## Financial Management

- Financial management system was not efficient and figures of reports were inconsistent.
- There was unaccounted expenditure of $₹ 6.07$ crore at two talukas of Dahod district.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Grievance redressal mechanism was not efficient as there was no report regarding disposal of complaints were available.
- Monitoring and evaluation statistics data available with state government were not backed up by original records from districts and talukas.


## Haryana

## > Background

The state has 21 districts. Two districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently two districts were covered from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 17 from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, $₹ 715.10$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> ₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,53,53,081$ | $1,65,31,493$ | 65.20 | 837.38 | $4,85,817 *$ | $7,002.60$ |
| *households issued job cards |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| 46,779 | 26,818 | 57.32 | 24,160 | NA | NA |

## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Dated receipt of applications for demand for work was not issued by six GPs.
- Delay in payment of wages ranging from 8 to 331 days were noticed.
- Twenty two cases of tampering with the muster rolls by way of cutting, overwriting, erasing, etc. were observed.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Earthen roads, without all weathers access were constructed at a cost of $₹ 1.06$ crore.
- Ponds were dug up under the Scheme at a cost of $₹ 55.90$ lakh without ensuring availability of water.


## Financial Management

- Unspent SGRY funds were not transferred to MGNREGS account.
- State’s share was short released by ₹ 10.06 crore in January 2009 to March 2010.
- No funds were spent for maintenance of assets.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- A vigilance enquiry was pending in the case of works amounting to $₹ 25.76$ crore executed by forest department.


## Himachal Pradesh

> Background
The state has 12 districts. Two districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently two districts were covered from 1 April 2007 onwards and remaining eight from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $1,880.34$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $68,56,509$ | $61,67,805$ | 89.95 | $2,016.41$ | $11,45,000 *$ | $1,068.32$ |

* households issued job cards

| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NA | $1,34,988$ | NA | 29,432 | 11,005 (Fig. <br> for 2007-08 | - |
|  |  |  |  | NA) |  |

## Planning

- The state government constituted (July 2006) the State Employment Guarantee Council under the Chairmanship of the Chief Minister. SEGC held only one meeting in January 2009 against the required 10 meetings which indicated that proper checks over planning process, execution of works, preparation of shelf of works, ensuring work priorities, etc. were not exercised.
- In the test-checked districts, district perspective plan was not prepared.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Wages of $₹ 1.10$ crore were paid to workers with delay ranging from 15 to 795 days.
- In 25 GPs, 876 muster rolls did not bear unique identity numbers.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- In test-checked districts, expenditure of ₹ 97.27 crore was incurred for execution of 3,859 works through line departments. It was noticed that these works were neither included in the annual shelf of projects of the respective GP nor had the recommendations of gram sabha.
- Works were not taken up as per the priority list. Rural connectivity which was at the bottom in the priority list was given top priority. This resulted in non-execution of important works such as drought proofing, afforestation and soil conservation.


## $>$ Financial Management

- The practice of monthly squaring of accounts was not introduced at any level to verify that money released were accounted for under three heads viz. money held in bank accounts at various levels, advances to implementing or payment agencies and expenditure vouchers.


## Jammu \& Kashmir

## > Background

The state has 22 districts. Three districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently two districts were covered from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 17 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $1,446.04$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per <br> 2011 <br> census) | Percentage of <br> rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,25,48,926$ | $91,34,820$ | 72.79 | $1,501.58$ | $10,05,904 *$ | 851.15 |

* households issued job cards

| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage of <br> works <br> completed | Number of <br> social <br> audits due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,98,627$ | $1,30,449$ | 65.67 | Not <br> available | Not available | Not available |

## Planning

- District perspective plan had not been formulated in the initial years of implementation of the Scheme and the labour budget was submitted to the Ministry with delays.
- An overall shortfall of 76 per cent ( 4,225 personnel) in engagement of staff of various posts under the Scheme was noticed. The shortfall in imparting training of staff ranging between 28 and 100 per cent. 785 unapproved works were executed and 2,950 works amounting to $₹ 27.79$ crore included in the annual plan were not taken up for execution.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- The delay in payment of wages to the workers ranging between 3 and 547 days were noticed in 245 test checked cases.
- Records relating to registration of households and those demanding employment had not been maintained. Nine per cent households were neither provided employment nor was unemployment allowance paid to them.


## > Works \& Assets Creation

- Seventy eight per cent of total works undertaken by the implementing agencies did not fall in the category of high priority works as per the Schedule I of the Act. 484 works had been abandoned midway after spending ₹ 2.92 crore thereon.


## Financial Management

- Unspent balances had increased from ₹ 7.07 crore (April 2007) to ₹ 127.66 crore (March 2012). Cases of retention, delayed release and diversion of funds were noticed.
- State Employment Guarantee Fund though established, had not been operated as intended as of February 2012. There was undisbursed balance of $₹ 15.69$ crore in the Fund, mainly representing arrears of difference in wages.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- District Quality Monitor and Ombudsman were not appointed in Poonch district.


## Jharkhand

## > Background

The state has 24 districts. Twenty districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently two districts were covered from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining two districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $5,468.85$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,29,66,238$ | $2,50,36,946$ | 75.94 | 5951.65 | $3,54,609 *$ | $3,653.85$ |

*figures for test checked districts only

| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9,84,080$ | $2,69,251$ | 27.36 | 43,670 | 13,226 | 30.28 |

## > Planning

- The planning process under the Scheme in the state remained perfunctory and incomplete, without eliciting people's participation.
- Due to deficiencies in preparation of labour budget, the actual achievements in persondays generated did not conform to the projections of labour budget in the test checked districts.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- In six test-checked districts, no compensation was paid to the labourers despite delay in payment of wages ranging from 1 to 468 days.


## Financial Management

- During 2009-12, only 40 to 59 per cent persondays were generated against the projected persondays in labour budget.
- In three districts, ₹ 4.43 crore pertaining to SGRY fund and NFFWP funds were not merged with MGNREGS.
- Out of total available fund of $₹ 2,994.71$ crore, expenditure of only ₹ $2,070.01$ crore (69 per cent), were incurred by the six districts during 2007-12 resulting in unspent balances.


## Karnataka

## > Background

The state has 30 districts. Five districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently, six districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 19 districts from 1 April 2008 were covered. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $5,662.81$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage of <br> rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6,11,30,704$ | $3,75,52,529$ | 61.43 | $6,271.82$ | $55,83,423$ | $4,100.93$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $17,42,186$ | $4,71,633$ | 27.07 | 23,130 | 18,592 | 80 |

## Planning

- District perspective plan to facilitate advance planning was not prepared.
- There were considerable delays in forwarding of labour budget to the Ministry during 2009-12.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- The job cards were required to be issued within 15 days from the date of receipt of application. There was shortfall in issue of job cards ranged up to 10 per cent during 2007-12.
- Total of 3.49 lakh records had been permanently deleted in the sampled districts on the ground of wrong entries. However, wages aggregating ₹ 22.48 crore were disbursed in these cases till the date of deletion.
- In respect of 19.67 lakh individuals tagged for deletion, wage payment of $₹ 156.10$ crore had been made during 2008-12.
- Persons aged less than 18 years and more than or equal to 90 years had been engaged on works as per MIS data during 2009-12 and received wages of ₹ 3.26 lakh and ₹ 3.65 lakh respectively.
- No wage slips were generated in the test checked GPs.
- Bank account/post office details were not available in respect of 98.58 lakh individuals employed on MGNREGS works during 2007-12.
- Muster rolls used for works by seven GPs of Gudibande taluk of Chikkaballapur district did not bear the signature of the Programme Officer and signature/thumb impression of the labourers.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Expenditure on material worth ₹ 1.12 crore was incurred from Scheme funds on Krishna Bhagya Jala Nigam Ltd (KBJNL) works in two districts which was contrary to the instruction of government as material component was to be borne from KBJNL funds.
- ₹ 213.05 lakh were debited to works towards material without any supporting vouchers.


## > Financial Management

- The state fund showed that huge amounts transferred to the bank accounts of PRIS had been credited back to the Fund due to various reasons, which had not been reconciled so far.
- In Sindagi taluk, cheques aggregating to ₹ 12.61 lakh had been issued to four individuals during May 2009 to March 2010 for which no supporting documents were available. Self cheques were drawn for ₹ 19.30 lakh by three GPs. An amount of ₹ 9.48 lakh was transferred to unknown accounts by one GP in Gudibande taluk without any supporting documents and entries in the cash book.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Two hundred and thirty two social audits were conducted against the prescribed limit of 1,416 during 2007-12. No summary of data had been prepared and placed before the gram sabha in the meetings held for social audit.


## Kerala

## > Background

The state has 14 districts. Two districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently two districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 10 districts from 1 April 2008 were covered. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $2,390.88$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,33,87,677$ | $1,74,55,506$ | 52.28 | $2,483.90$ | $18,78,518$ | $1,678.45$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5,40,073$ | $4,03,076$ | 74.63 | $1,63,013$ | $1,56,341$ | 95.91 |

## Planning

- There were delays ranging from 4 to 6 years in framing rules.
- In all GPs there were significant variations between estimated demand and actual employment generation. The projected costs of works and expenditure were very high compared to actual ones.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Door- to- door survey, to identify persons willing to register under the Act, was not conducted in 39 GPs.
- Unemployment allowance was not paid except in two cases.
- Tampering with muster rolls was noticed in all GPs in Thiruvananthapuram.
- In most of the GPs, wage payment was delayed from 23 to 138 days.
- Payment of $₹ 12.86$ lakh was made without measuring the works.
- Wage slips were not generated in 37 GPs out of 39 GPs.
- Details of wages paid were not recorded on the job card in most of the GPs.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- The material component of works was less than 2.5 per cent of the total cost of work. Consequently the extent of utility of the assets created after an expenditure of ₹ 299.48 crore became doubtful.
- The state abandoned 87,280 works worth ₹ 349.59 crore during 2007-12.
- Works undertaken on private land mainly consisting of uprooting of plants (₹ 32.37 lakh) in one GP were classified under the prioritized work of water conservation and water harvesting.
- Non-involvement of the anti-sea erosion wing of Irrigation Department resulted in non-realization of the objective of arresting sea erosion after incurring an expenditure of ₹ 55.82 lakh.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- The Social audit meetings were to be chaired by a person, not part of the panchayat and a person outside the panchayat, was to be the Secretary of the Social Audit Forum. However in 34 GPs, the Chairman and the Secretary were from within the panchayat.
- There were short fall in conducting physical verification of works at block, district and state level against the prescribed target of 100 per cent, 10 per cent and two per cent respectively.


## Madhya Pradesh

$>$ Background
The state has 50 districts. Eighteen districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006. Subsequently 13 districts were covered from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 19 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 200712 , ₹ $15,717.43$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7,25,97,565$ | $5,25,37,899$ | 72.36 | $17,193.12$ | $1,18,60,150$ | $11,719.52$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30,94,945$ | $8,42,629$ | 27.22 | $1,92,666$ | 86,459 | 45 |

## Employment Generation \& Wages

- The state government made it necessary to register all rural households under the Scheme and issue job cards due to which 13.35 lakh to 19.74 lakh ineligible beneficiaries were registered in the selected districts.
- Wages of $₹ 472.88$ lakh were disbursed by the line departments with a delay ranging from 2 to 292 days without paying any compensation.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Works executed by the line departments were not included in the shelf of projects of the GPs. Thus, information about employment generation by these works was not available.
- Infructuous expenditure of ₹ 24.03 lakh was incurred on the preparation of District Project Report in one block.
- Execution of non-permissible works was noticed under the Scheme.
- Funds earmarked for plantation and preparation of bio compost works were diverted for the construction of toilets.
- Non-existence of executed works was noticed during physical verification.


## - Financial Management

An expenditure of ₹ 22.15 lakh was incurred by the DPC (Shahdol) on printing of bank pass books of the beneficiaries.

## Maharashtra

## > Background

The state has 33 districts. Twelve districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, six districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 15 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $1,711.60$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11,23,72,972$ | $6,15,45,441$ | 54.76 | $2,820.81$ | $67,35,119$ | $1,595.02$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,21,977$ | 39,294 | 32.21 | 480 | 370 | 77.08 |

## > Planning

- Rules regarding grievance redressal mechanism, unemployment allowances, etc. were not framed. State Employment Guarantee Council met only once and failed to submit annual reports to the legislature.
- District perspective plans were not prepared in eight districts. Assessment of labour demands projected in the labour budget was unrealistic.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Extra wage amounting to ₹ 3.27 lakh, payable due to work provided beyond five kilometers, was not paid. Further, unemployment allowance of ₹ 0.82 lakh was also not paid in one block.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Works taken up were abandoned due to lack of survey and also non-permissible works were taken up under the Scheme. There was shortfall in inspection of works.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- MGNREGA Commissionerate, designated in September 2011, was yet to function properly in view of the vacancies in the key posts. Absence of specific rules for grievance redressal, social audit, etc. were also noticed.


## Manipur

## Background

The state has nine districts. One district was covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 13 April 2006, two districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining six districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $1,832.02$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $27,21,756$ | $18,99,624$ | 69.79 | $1,853.08$ | $18,06,027$ | $1,312.13$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38,430 | 34,709 | 90.32 | 24,288 | 17,019 | 70.07 |

## Planning

- District perspective plan (DPP) was not prepared in any of the sampled districts.


## > Works \& Assets Creation

- In three districts, payment of $₹ 1.05$ crore was made without execution of 19 works.
- In 52 GPs, 119 road works executed for ₹ 10.73 crore did not provide easy access.


## Financial Management

> In three districts (Churachandpur, Imphal East and Tamenglong), ₹ 5.85 crore was incurred on works at Deputy Commissioner bungalow, construction of hall, etc. out of funds earmarked for administrative expenses.

## Monitoring and Evaluation

- State government did not appoint full time dedicated Programme Officers. Gram rozgar sahayak was not appointed in Churachandpur and Tamenglong districts. Adequate Technical Assistants/ JEs were not appointed in the nine sampled blocks. Panel of accredited engineers was not constituted to assist in estimation and measurement of works.


## Meghalaya

> Background
The state has seven districts. Two districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, three districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining two districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 843.37 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $29,64,007$ | $23,68,971$ | 79.92 | 597.72 | $2,60,353$ | 175.39 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46,024 | 27,756 | 60 | 30,957 | 957 | 3 |

> Planning

- East Khasi Hills did not prepare the district perspective plan during 2006-2011.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Job cards were not printed as per specifications.
- Payment of wage, amounting to ₹ 84.18 lakh, was not made in eight selected blocks.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- There was excess expenditure of $₹ 39.94$ lakh in respect of 112 projects in Rongram block.


## Financial Management

- Delay in submission of labour budget by district programme coordinator to state government and state government to Ministry led to short release of funds by Ministry to the state which resulted in liabilities.


## Mizoram

## Background

The state has eight districts. Two districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, two districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining four districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 1,007.94 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10,91,014$ | $5,29,037$ | 48.49 | $1,104.32$ | $1,74,749$ | 664 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16,284 | 12,441 | 76 | 182 | 6,770 | 100 |

## Works \& Assets Creation

- In the two districts (Lunglei and Lawngtlai), the process of convergence of MGNREGS with other scheme was not initiated.


## > Financial Management

- The state government released ₹ 83.52 crore against the matching share of $₹ 105.43$ crore. This resulted in short release of $₹ 21.91$ crore to eight districts.
- The state government released its share of $₹ 17.89$ crore and $₹ 13.87$ crore to Lunglei and Lawngtlai districts respectively with delays ranging from 9 to 317 days.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- The state government did not carry out inspection and physical verification of works as per prescribed target under the Operational Guidelines.


## Nagaland

## Background

The state has 11 districts. One district was covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, four districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining six districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹2,060.01 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage of <br> rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19,80,602$ | $14,06,861$ | 71.03 | $2,098.32$ | $3,74,925$ | 518 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32,878 | 28,000 | 85.16 | 9,874 | 4,432 | 44.89 |

## Planning

- State Employment Guarantee Council was set up with a delay of two years.
- Lack of training resulted in poor maintenance of records at all levels.
- Delay in submission of labour budget from PO to DPC ranging from two to four months and from DPC to SEGC ranging from two to eight months.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Tampering with muster rolls by way of cutting, over writing, erasing and pasting of papers was noticed in five GPs out of 54 GPs involving wage payment of ₹ 10.31 lakh.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Three districts incurred an expenditure of $₹ 2.21$ crore for procurement of 36 light vehicles in violation of Operational Guidelines. These districts further incurred an expenditure of ₹ 58.90 lakh for construction of new buildings. Computers, for ₹ 120.88 lakh procured by DPC Dimapur for feeding data in MIS, remained idle due to non-provision of computer training to the officials at GP level.
- Against 273 rural connectivity works planned for $₹ 63.99$ crore in the district perspective plan, 54 GPs had carried out 514 works for rural connectivity for ₹ 87.82 crore. Further, these 54 GPs had completed 241 rural connectivity works amounting to $₹ 23.83$ crore outside the district perspective plan.
- Eighty three projects for $₹ 7.65$ crore reported to be completed, did not exist indicating possible misappropriation of $₹ 7.65$ crore in 54 GPs. Short execution by diverting the amount to non-permissible works in respect of 23 works valued at
$₹ 4.73$ crore and execution of 45 non permissible works valued at $₹ 10.01$ crore were also noticed during joint physical verification.
- State level officials could verify only 25 works (18 per cent) against the target of 137 works during the last five years. District level officials had carried out inspection of 165 works ( 24 per cent) against the target of 684 works while block officials had carried out inspection of 3,217 works ( 47 per cent) against the targeted 6,837 works.


## Financial Management

- There was shortfall in release of matching share of $₹ 116.57$ crore from government of Nagaland during 2007-08 to 2011-12 which affected implementation of the Scheme to that extent.
- During 2007-08 and 2008-09, DPC Mon and Dimapur incurred excess expenditure of ₹ 96 lakh, over and above the admissible administrative expenditure fixed by the Ministry.
- There was suspected misappropriation of funds amounting to ₹ 1.68 crore, released to Programme Officer (PO), Dhansiripar by DPC, Dimapur during 2011-12. The funds were not accounted for in the MGNREGS bank account operated with PO.
- $\quad$ Suspected financial leakage of $₹ 65.39$ crore was observed during the transmission of funds from seven test checked POs to 54 test checked GPs in three districts.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Two hundred and eighty social audits (57 per cent) were held against the requirement of 488 social audit meetings in the 54 GPs. In three districts and seven blocks, 46 and 44 per cent social audits were conducted respectively during 200708 to 2011-12.


## Others

- As gram rozgar sahayaks (GRS) were not appointed, the records were maintained by the panchayat secretary. Also Non/poor maintenance of records was noticed in the 28 GPs.


## Odisha

## Background

The state has 30 districts. Nineteen districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, five districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining six districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $4,401.29$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4,19,47,358$ | $3,49,51,234$ | 83.32 | $5,369.29$ | $62,18,651$ | $3,123.09$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,26,535$ | $1,69,265$ | 51.84 | 24,936 (figures <br> for 2010-11 <br> and 2011-12) | 23,452 (figures <br> for 2010-11 <br> and 2011-12) |  |

## Planning

- Institutional arrangements were found weak due to inadequate staff for MGNREGS works.
- Training imparted to key functionaries was inadequate which resulted in weak capacity building.
- Preparation of annual action plan was done without involving GPs.
- Revolving fund was not created for effective fund management.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- No door-to-door survey was conducted to identify the eligible households for registration.
- There were delays in issue of job cards. Photographs were not affixed on job cards as well as in job card register which created scope for misuse of job cards.
- There was delay in payment of wages.


## > Works \& Assets Creation

- Lack of control in use of muster rolls resulted in misappropriation/misutilisation of Scheme funds.
- Low priority earthen works were taken up which resulted in creation of nondurable assets.
- Use of machine in execution of works was noticed on physical verification of assets.


## Financial Management

- Interest accrued from bank deposits was not accounted for in cash book.
- Funds under closed SGRY scheme were not transferred to MGNREGS.
- Diversion of funds from MGNREGS was noticed which were not recouped as of March 2012.
- Labour budget was found unrealistic as there were substantial variations between estimated figures and actual expenditure.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Social audit was not conducted properly.
- Inadequate monitoring by state/district/block level officers resulted in noncompletion of sizeable number of works/projects.


## Punjab

## Background

The state has 22 districts. One district was covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, three districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 18 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 483.75 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,77,04,236$ | $1,73,16,800$ | 62.51 | 569.30 | $8,65,656^{*}$ | 277.59 |

*households issued job cards

| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45,680 | 18,062 | 39.54 | 95,709 | 85,364 | 89.19 |

## Planning

- Shortage of MGNREGS staff, ranging from 45 to 93 per cent.
- In the three selected districts, annual plans were prepared without considering the labour budget and cost of works from gram panchayats.
- Three, out of six districts, had not prepared the district perspective plan.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- No door-to-door survey was conducted for registration in 79 GPs of five districts.
- Wages were paid to the beneficiaries with delays up to 790 days in 48 GPs. No compensation was given for delayed payments.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- In 52 GPs, 67 non-permissible works amounting to ₹ 1.20 crore were executed.
- Wage material ratio of 60:40 was not maintained which resulted in excess expenditure on material component.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Disposal of complaints was not done within the prescribed time of 15 days. In the selected districts, the delay in disposal of complaints ranged up to 673 days.
- In three districts, internal cell was not constituted for examining the social audit reports and to take suitable action thereon.
- There was shortfall in conducting social audit.
- The State Employment Guarantee Council did not conduct district-wise evaluation studies.


## - Others

- Muster roll receipt register, job card application register, employment register, work register, complaint register, monthly allotment and UC watch register, job card register and assets register were not maintained by the selected GPs in four districts.


## Rajasthan

## Background

The state has 33 districts. Six districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, six districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 21 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $17,928.73$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6,86,21,012$ | $5,15,40,236$ | 75.11 | $19,841.04$ | $1,01,55,775$ | $16,140.36$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6,76,105$ | $3,16,156$ | 46.76 | 45,885 | 45,532 (Fig. <br> for 2007-09 <br> not given) | - |

## Planning

- Rules were not framed and number of SEGC meetings held was inadequate. Shortage of staff, deficiency in Information, Education and Communication activities and Training, were noticed.
- Preparation of annual plans was delayed and unrealistic.
- Variations in estimation and actual employment were seen.
- District perspective plans were not prepared.
- Works involving ₹ 24.27 crore which were not part of annual plans were sanctioned.
- Unspent balances of SGRY and NFFWP were not transferred to the Scheme account.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Irregularities in registration of households and issue of job cards were noticed.
- Wages of ₹ 4.64 crore were paid to workers in cash. There was also delay in payment of wages.
- Misclassification of funds of $₹ 118.13$ crore was noticed.


## > Works \& Assets Creation

- Wage material ratio was not-maintained in execution of works.
- Works amounting to ₹ 36.58 crore remained incomplete.
- Expenditure of $₹ 1.49$ crore was found infructuous.
- Works worth ₹ 15.52 lakh were not in existence.
- Plantation of ₹ 1.15 crore did not survive.


## Financial Management

- Diversion of funds amounting to ₹ 128.7 crore was noticed.
- Short release of ₹ 10.12 crore by the state was noticed in three districts.
- Delay in transfer of funds was noticed.
- Excess administrative expenditure of ₹ 5.02 crore was made.
- Unrecovered/unadjusted funds worth ₹ 70.55 lakh in post office were noticed.


## Sikkim

## > Background

The state has four districts. One district was covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 02 February 2006, two districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining one district from 1 April 2008. For the period 2008-12, ₹ 281.12 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6,07,688$ | $4,55,962$ | 75.03 | 291.09 | $3,46,971$ | 81.41 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10,181 | 5,029 | 49.40 | 1,202 (Fig. for <br> 2007-08 not <br> given) | 601 (Fig. for <br> $2007-08$ not <br> given) | 50 |

## Planning

- District perspective plan was prepared without any inputs from GPs, blocks and districts. The annual plans were perfunctorily prepared by the gram sabhas without any reference to labour demand, income generating assets, priority to deprived groups and maintenance of assets created, etc.
- The annual work plan and labour budget were defective and unrealistic as the persondays expected to be generated were never achieved. Expenditure was between 83 and 88 per cent of the annual work plan.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Payment of wages was not made within a fortnight and delays ranging from 15 days to three months were noticed.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Prescribed wage material ratio of 60:40 was not adhered to by the DPCs.
- Worksite facilities such as first- aid, drinking water, shade and crèche were not provided in many projects.


## > Financial Management

- The state share was neither released in full nor on time. The district programme coordinators not only retained funds of $₹ 5.14$ crore to $₹ 38.64$ crore at the year end but also released funds to the blocks belatedly, with delays ranging from 2 to 131 days.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Expenditure reported to Government of India through monthly progress reports was not in agreement with those in the audited report and actual expenditure.


## Others

- Convergence of the Scheme with other social sector programmes was not initiated. The only convergence activity attempted was in case of CMRH scheme which was defective as the Scheme fund was utilized towards payment of cost of material. The convergence did not contribute towards additional employment generation.
- Maintenance of records was poor. Application register, registration register, job card register, employment register, assets register, muster rolls, MR issue/receipt registers and complaint register were not maintained properly and hence were not reliable.


## Tamil Nadu

## Background

The state has 31 districts. Six districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, four districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining 21 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $8,128.96$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $7,21,38,958$ | $3,71,89,229$ | 51.55 | $8,510.44$ | $76,48,556$ | 10,130 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,57,002$ | $1,11,727$ | 43.47 | 93,252 | $1,84,845$ | 100 |

## Planning

- Out of 76.49 lakh households registered under the Scheme in the state in 2011-12, jobs were provided only to 58.16 lakh households. Of these, 100 days of employment was provided only to 14.08 lakh households (24 per cent).
- Despite increase in the schedule of rates, the actual average daily wages earned by a beneficiary in the state was below the minimum guaranteed wages, as the wages were paid based on the quantity of work actually done.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- 1.25 lakh works ( 48 per cent of the total works), which were executed during 200712 by the gram panchayats at a cost of ₹ $3,921.87$ crore were under the categories of desilting of ponds, minor irrigation tanks, supply channels and irrigation wells. As such works did not add to the creation of durable assets.
- Instead of providing all weather roads under 'road connectivity', formation of earthen roads without compaction and improvements to side berms of existing Water Bound Macadam roads were executed. 62,588 road works ( 24 per cent of the total works) at a cost of ₹ $1,919.88$ crore were executed under this category during 2007-12.


## - Financial Management

- The percentage of utilization of the funds ranging from 56 to 82 during 2007-12. $₹ 718.27$ crore out of $₹ 9,194.04$ crore remained unutilized as of 31 March 2012. As the entire Scheme cost in the state was towards payment of wages to unskilled labour, release of state share to the Scheme ( $₹ 878.11$ crore) during the period 2007-12 was unnecessary.


## Tripura

## Background

The state has four districts. One district was covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, two districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining one district from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $2,858.82$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $36,71,032$ | $27,10,051$ | 73.82 | $2,996.33$ | $6,69,164$ | 490.13 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6,57,713$ (Fig. <br> for 2007-08 <br> not given) | $2,83,539$ | 43.11 | 600 | 513 | 86 |

## > Planning

- All the required structural mechanism for operation of the Scheme was put in place, except the State Employment Guarantee Fund, which was operationalised during 2012-13.
- The works were executed on the basis of annual plans only as district perspective plans were not finalized. The documentation process of approval of annual plans was not satisfactory.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- The state reportedly provided employment to all those who demanded employment. However, this was unverifiable as the details were not documented.
- 2.80 lakh households received employment beyond 100 days but the additional cost of ₹ 10.22 crore was met from the Central share instead of being borne by the state. There was short-payment of minimum wages by ₹ 34.50 lakh.


## > Works \& Assets Creation

- In 41 per cent works, labourers were not employed in letter and spirit of the Act in five blocks.
- Funds of ₹ 16.60 crore was diverted for the works under Indira Awas Yojna (IAY) and ₹ 5.27 crore was spent for the purpose covered under other infrastructure development schemes.
- Kutcha roads without all-weather access were constructed at a cost of ₹ 5.29 crore.
- Machinery were used in works costing ₹ 1.56 crore.
- Five works costing ₹ 17 lakh remained incomplete for a period ranging from 1 to 4 years.
- Unique Identification Number of the works was not found in the work registers.


## Financial Management

- Funds utilization under the Scheme was good and ranging from 93.74 to 98.85 per cent.
- The state's share was short by ₹ 133.09 crore due to adoption of incorrect formula which failed to take into account six per cent administrative cost.
- The delay in release of state share ranging from 5 to 222 days in 20 out of 78 instalments, whereas in some cases, it was provided in advance as well.


## Others

- Maintenance of subsidiary records was deficient.
- MIS contains material errors and hence it was not reliable
- Various good practices such as banking correspondent model for payment of wages, use of software for preparation of estimates, payment after check by Vigilance Committee, good condition of assets created, etc. were also observed during audit.


## Uttar Pradesh

## Background

The state has 72 districts. Twenty two districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, 17 districts from 15 May 2007 onwards and the remaining 33 districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 20,425.74 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $19,95,81,477$ | $15,51,11,022$ | 77.72 | $22,174.35$ | $1,42,81,748$ | $13,342.64$ |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $36,15,602$ | $15,55,093$ | 43.01 | $4,200^{*}$ | $2,341^{*}$ | 55.74 |

*Figures for test checked districts only.
$>$ Planning

- State government failed to notify the rules, although approved (11 August 2008), for carrying out the provisions of the Act.
- Non official members were included in the State Employment Guarantee Council (SEGC) after a delay of 19 months.
- State government did not establish State Employment Guarantee Fund as a result of which funds from Ministry were released directly to the districts up to 18 September 2009.
- In 820 blocks of the state, full time dedicated programme officers were not appointed. Twenty three per cent assistant programme officers' posts were also vacant.
- In 18 districts, training to stake holders for preparing district annual plans was not imparted. Fourteen districts did not prepare shelf of projects.
- There was delay in submission of labour budget from 23 to 74 days, consequently Central share was released in 5 to 25 tranches and state share in 2 to 10 tranches.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Door- to- door survey was not carried out to identify persons for registration.
- There was delay of 25 to 45 days in issue of job cards.
- There were shortcomings in maintenance of job card application registers. In seven gram panchayats and 39 block panchayats, these were not maintained.
- There were various irregularities in wage payment viz. delay in payment of wages, wages being paid at lower than minimum wage rates, payment made before execution of work, payment of wages to workers present on two sites on same day, etc.
- Irregularities were noticed in muster rolls i.e., payments were made without obtaining signature or thumb impression as a token of receipt. Cutting and over writing were also not attested.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Inadmissible 272 works amounting to $₹ 10.26$ crore were executed by 84 GPs, 12 blocks, two districts and one line agency during 2007-12. ₹ 15.60 crore were expended on construction of 2,265 earthen roads in 393 GPs of 18 districts, which were not all weather access roads.
- Prescribed wage material ratio of 60:40 was not maintained in 460 GPs. Over estimation of $₹ 6.75$ crore was noticed in 170 GPs.
- In Unnao district, purchase orders for ₹ 78 lakh for almirah, handicam and digital camera were issued without inviting tenders and following the purchase procedure. In Sitapur district, construction material valuing ₹ 1.04 crore was purchased without calling tender/quotations.
- In seven districts, 237 works for $₹ 13.25$ crore were executed without administrative and technical sanction.
- In 10 districts, unique identification numbers were not allotted to 1,199 works executed for ₹ 13.26 crore.
- In one GP and two districts, 47 works amounting $₹ 1.65$ crore were abandoned. Twenty three works amounting to ₹ 29 lakh remained incomplete in eight GPs, one block and two districts.
- Completion Reports of 3,091 works in 16 GPs were not available.
- In 444 GPs, 4,242 works were not handed over to user groups.


## > Financial Management

- State government did not design a robust financial management system for transfer and use of funds. No criterion was laid down for release of fund to GPs.
- There was diversion of funds of $₹ 1.51$ crore towards miscellaneous administrative expenditure, contingent expenditure of other schemes, renovation and electrification of conference hall at Vikas Bhawan, construction of primary school and Harijan Awas, office expenses and Mid day Meal Scheme.
- Labour budget was forwarded to the Ministry without scrutiny at state level. There were variations between labour budget and actual expenditure reported in MPR and those between figures at state and district level. In two districts, labour budget was not prepared as per Operational Guidelines.
- There were delays in release of funds at every level.
- Two line departments of district Sitapur had not transferred unspent balances of ₹ 41 lakh of National Food for Work Programme fund to MGNREGS.
- In one district, administrative expenses were incurred in excess of prescribed limit of four per cent during 2007-09.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Ombudsmen, for redressal of grievances in a time bound manner, were not appointed.
- Village Monitoring Committees, responsible for monitoring the progress and quality of works undertaken under the Scheme, were not formed in 57 GPs.


## Uttarakhand

## - Background

- The state has 13 districts. Three districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, two districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining eight districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ $1,154.13$ crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage of <br> rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> ₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,01,16,752$ | $70,25,583$ | 69.45 | $1,312.88$ | $10,10,169$ | 813.09 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1,52,292$ | $1,00,631$ | 66.07 | 65,092 | 28,557 | 44 |

## Planning

- The State Employment Guarantee Council was established but it did not meet at regular intervals which left the major policy decisions unaddressed.
- The administrative pattern suggested by the Ministry was notified but the appointment of staff in various cadres was not made. Overall shortages ranging from 45 to 90 per cent.
- District perspective plans were submitted by four districts only and were yet to be approved by SEGC.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Significant variations between 23 and 61 per cent were noticed in persondays estimated and actually generated.
- Timely payments to labourers were not made and delays ranging from 1 to 669 days were noticed. In 500 works, there was an average delay of 74 days. No compensation was paid to any worker for delay in the test checked GPs.


## Financial Management

- The state share was not released in the stipulated time frame. Delays ranging from 8 to 211 days were noticed in four districts.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- A shortfall of 94 per cent at state level and 48 per cent at programme officers level was noticed in inspection of works.


## $>$ Others

- A liability of ₹ 1.73 crore was noticed in Dhauladevi and Dwarahat blocks of Almora and Chakrata block of Dehradun district which remained unliquidated as of March 2012.
- Non-existence of any mechanism to verify the authenticity of data uploaded to the MGNREGA website was noticed as there was variation ranging from 1 to 71 per cent between MIS and Monthly Progress Report data.


## West Bengal

## $>$ Background

The state has 19 districts. Ten districts were covered under MGNREGS in the first phase i.e., from 2 February 2006, seven districts from 1 April 2007 onwards and the remaining two districts from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 8,307.31 crore was released to the state under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the state during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9,13,47,736$ | $6,22,13,676$ | 68.11 | $7,409.20$ | $1,10,34,713$ | $6,353.84$ |
| $*$ *job card issued |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of <br> works | Number of <br> works | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| Not Provided <br> by state. | $5,58,938$ | NA | $1,43,404$ | 73,700 | 51.39 |

## Planning

- No Information, Education and Communication plan was prepared for MGNREGS.
- SEGC did not evaluate the performance of the Scheme implementation of MGNREGS and its impact.
- Door- to- door survey was not undertaken to identify persons willing to register under the Act.
- Most of the districts did not prepare perspective plan and shelf of projects was not found in any of the 83 test checked GPs.
- Gram sabha meetings, responsible for prioritizing and recommending works for incorporation in annual plan, were not organized on 2 October in any of the selected GPs.
- Labour budget was prepared in an unrealistic manner in five districts as actual generation of persondays was 35 to 125 per cent of those projected in labour budget.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- In the selected GPs, registration register and register for applications for work were not maintained. Registration lists were not displayed on GP notice boards in 41 GPs.
- Photographs were not affixed in most of the job cards in five districts.
- MIS indicated delayed payment of wages amounting to ₹ 437.89 crore during 201012, but no compensation was paid. Delay in payment of wages ranging from 11 to 810 days was noticed in four districts.
- A sum of $₹ 83,007$ as unemployment allowance was paid to only 218 workers whereas it was due for 1,10,161 households during 2007-12.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Road works (29 per cent of total works) were executed and accounted for 39 per cent of total expenditure. All selected GPs had constructed non-durable assets like earthen roads. Wage-material ratio was not maintained in 32 GPs.
- Work Registers were not maintained in any of selected GPs.
- In Bankura, South 24 Parganas and Murshidabad districts, plantation work valuing ₹ 6.37 lakh did not survive.


## Financial Management

- Unspent balance under SGRY amounting to ₹ 8.42 lakh was not transferred to MGNREGS till May 2012.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- In 48 GPs of Murshidabad, Bankura, Jalpaiguri and South 24 Pargana districts, accounts of GPs were not presented to social audit for scrutiny.


## > Other

- Convergence of MGNREGS with rural development programmes was not evident in any selected GP.


## Andaman \& Nicobar Islands

## > Background

The Union Territory (UT) has three districts which were covered under MGNREGS from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 34.91 crore was released to the UT under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the UT during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,79,944$ | $2,44,411$ | 64.33 | 39.79 | 41,512 | 19.66 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,314 | 1,093 | 83.18 | 608 | 356 | 58.55 |

## Planning

- Employment Guarantee Council (EGC) for the UT was set up in February 2008. Council met only once in a financial year between 2008-09 and 2011-12.
- No perspective plan was prepared by the districts though grants were released for preparation of district perspective plan. Only annual plans were prepared.
- Preparation of shelf of projects was done on ad hoc basis.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- There were cases of employment not being provided within 15 days of application for work. Unemployment allowance was also not paid.
- Hundred days employment was provided only in 5.05 per cent cases.
- Delayed payment of wages was noticed in all the GPs.
- No beneficiary was covered under "Rashtriya Swasthya Bima Yojana" (RSBY) though the UT had substantial beneficiaries who worked for more than 15 days in a year.


## Works \& Assets Creation

- Only non-durable assets were created.


## $>$ Financial Management

- Deficient system of financial management resulted in inflated UT share.
- Factually incorrect utilisation certificates and non-release of 25 per cent of UT share for material and wages for skilled and semi-skilled labour were noticed.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Audit of "Employment Guarantee Fund" was not done and UT Administration did not prescribe any format of accounts for districts.
- Independence of social audit was not ensured. Director/ RD,PRI \& ULB, nodal person for coordinating the activities of Scheme, was appointed as the State Level Nodal Officer for social audit.


## - Others

- Convergence with other schemes had not taken place.
- Record keeping (especially in South Andaman district) was poor.
- Adequate mechanisms were not put in place to check and verify data uploaded in MIS. Information available in the Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs) did not match with the Monitoring and Information System (MIS) figures.
- Shortcomings, highlighted in CAG's previous performance audit report continued.


## Dadra \& Nagar Haveli

## > Background

The Union Territory (UT) has one district which was covered under MGNREGS from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 2.77 crore was released to the UT under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the UT during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3,42,853$ | $1,83,024$ | 53.38 | 4.71 | 11,697 | 2.11 (fig. for <br> $2007-08$ not <br> provided) |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 127 | 30 | 23.62 | 44 | 30 | 68.18 |

## Planning

- Union Territory had not constituted Employment Guarantee Council (EGC)
- Preparatory and IEC activities were not carried out.
- Union Territory administration did not prepare annual plan and shelf of projects.
- Union Territory administration had neither prepared perspective plan nor was this work entrusted to any agency for the period 2007-12.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- Job card application registers were not maintained/properly maintained in five GPs and job card registers were not maintained in 10 GPs.
- Job cards issued did not have photos of labourers and were issued without signature of authority and labourers.
- Employment registers were not maintained in GPs.
- There were delays in payment of wages ranging from 1 to 123 days and no compensation was paid for delay.


## Financial Management

- Financial Management System was inefficient and figures of reports were inconsistent. UTEGF was not constituted.
- Financial reporting was inefficient and there were variations between audited accounts and MPR data.
- Unspent balance of ₹ 37.08 lakh given to GPs for material was treated as final expenditure.
- There was excess administrative expenditure during 2008-11.


## Monitoring and Evaluation

- Social audit records were not available with GPs.
- Vigilance and Monitoring Committees were formed in GPs but records were not available in any GPs.
- Grievance redressal mechanism was not efficient and no complaint register was maintained at any level.


## Lakshadweep

## Background

The Union Territory (UT) has one district which was covered under MGNREGS from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 7.76 crore was released to the UT under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the UT during 2007-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 64,429 | 14,121 | 21.92 | 10.47 | 8,886 | 6.49 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 828 | 17 | 2.05 | 80 | 40 | 50 |

## Planning

- The UT Employment Guarantee Council met only twice till date, which adversely affected choice of preferred works, review of implementation of the Scheme, evaluation of process and outcomes, monitoring and ensuring accountability and transparency at all levels.
- In the test checked GPs, no full time dedicated POs were appointed. No staff was appointed for Scheme implementation and no records related to the Scheme were maintained at block level.
- Separate grama sabha meetings were not held for selection of works under MGNREGS.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- In the test checked GPs, application registers were neither maintained nor were dated receipt issued to households.
- Scrutiny of the muster rolls and payment orders in the test checked GPs revealed that there were delays ranging from 15 to 65 days in payment of wages.
- List of addition and deletion in the job card register was not intimated to POs.


## - Works \& Assets Creation

- The estimates were prepared adopting the wage material ratio of 92:08. A verification of the estimates for the test checked GPs revealed that the estimates were prepared with the view to provide wage employment only. Absence of skilled labourers and materials had resulted in non-creation of durable assets.
- Photographs of pre-mid-post stages of work as stipulated in the Act were not taken/maintained by the GPs.


## Financial Management

- The administrative expenditure on MGNREGS was exceeded by ₹ 81.00 lakh during 2009-10 to 2011-12.


## Puducherry

## > Background

The Union Territory (UT) has two districts which were covered under MGNREGS from 1 April 2008. For the period 2007-12, ₹ 40.06 crore was released to the UT under MGNREGS. The table below outlines some of the major implementation parameters in the UT during 2008-12:

| Population | Rural <br> population <br> (as per 2011 <br> census) | Percentage <br> of rural <br> population | Cumulative <br> expenditure <br> (₹ in crore) | Number of <br> households <br> registered | Cumulative <br> persondays <br> generated <br> (in lakh) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $12,44,464$ | $3,94,341$ | 31.69 | 35.50 | 67,120 | 35.21 |


| Number of <br> works <br> undertaken | Number of <br> works <br> completed | Percentage <br> of works <br> completed | Number of <br> social audits <br> due | Number of <br> social audits <br> conducted | Percentage of <br> social audits <br> completed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3,478 | 2,383 | 68.52 | 776 | 159 | 20.49 |

## Planning

- Gram panchayats had not prepared the shelf of projects and annual plan. DRDA and district programme coordinator, Karaikal did not prepare district perspective plan for long-term employment generation and sustainable development.
- The UT Employment Guarantee Council constituted in December 2011 did not meet even once.


## Employment Generation \& Wages

- There was no formal system of receiving applications for employment, issue of dated receipts and maintenance of employment register.
- There was no mechanism to report any delay in payment of wages. No compensation was paid, though delays upto 137 days were noticed in payment of wages.


## - Works \& Assets Creation

- No expenditure was incurred by the UT towards material cost during 2008-12 resulting in creation of non durable assets.
- Out of 2,502 works taken up during 2008-12, 63 works were in progress for the last two years.


## Financial Management

- Accumulation of unspent balances as of March 2011, resulted in token release of $₹$ one crore for 2011-12 as grant against the requirement of $₹ 31.28$ crore.



[^0]:    * As per MIS Data

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Line Department

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$. District, Jaipur: block, Chaksu (six GPs: 310 MRs) and Phagi (six GPs: 180 MRs).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The data in respect of only 8 districts out of total selected 15 districts is available
    ${ }^{2}$ The shortfall refers to the year 2009-10.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ Data pertains to all GPs in selected districts
    ${ }^{4}$ No. of social audit conducted in 2008-09 was not made available

