

## ANNEX-I

(Referred to in paragraph 2.1.3)

### Details of Revenue realisation and Revenue expenditure

**(A) Revenue Realisation**

(₹ in crore)			
Name of the service	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Sale of stamps	605.76	617.82	614.31
Postage in cash	2036.45	2097.27	2313.10
Commission on MOs/IPOs	3171.94	3507.99	3963.31
Other receipts	174.79	133.83	172.79
Net receipts from other postal administrations	-126.61	-90.21	-101.18
<b>Gross Revenue</b>	<b>5862.33</b>	<b>6266.70</b>	<b>6962.33</b>

**(B) Revenue expenditure**

(₹ in crore)			
Category	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
(a) Pay and allowances, contingencies, Bonus, Dearness allowances, etc.	6819.72	9233.76	9802.63
(b) Pensionary charges	2274.30	3339.31	3177.41
(c) Stamps, Post Cards etc.	27.34	22.08	43.97
(d) Stationery and Forms printing etc.	55.17	64.99	57.21
(e) Conveyance of Mails (payments to Railways and Air mail carriers)	271.59	317.20	351.06
(f) Accounts and Audit	240.89	298.11	278.95
(g) Maintenance of Assets	67.22	71.49	82.44
<b>Total</b>	<b>9756.23</b>	<b>13346.94</b>	<b>13793.67</b>

## ANNEX-II

(Referred to in paragraph 2.2.6.2)

Amount of non/short deposit of One Time Deposits by State authorities as on 31 March 2011

(₹ in lakh)

Sl. No.	Postal Circle	Name of HPO	Average amount of wages to be disbursed during quarter ending December 2010	Amount of OTD as on 31 March 2011	Amount of short OTD	%age of shortage in OTD
1.	Gujarat	Godhra	52	0	52	100
		Bardoli	103	0	103	100
		Dahod	212	0	212	100
2.	Rajasthan	Jodhpur	141	0	141	100
		Jaisalmer	49	0	49	100
		Nagaur	69	0	69	100
		Didwana	45	0	45	100
3.	Karnataka	Koppal	108	0	108	100
4.	Bihar	Purnea	511	110	401	78
		Katihar	340	180	160	47
		Saharsa	405	150	255	63
5.	West Bengal <sup>1</sup>	Barasat	98	35	63	64
		Bashirhat	81	25	56	69
		Suri	94	60	34	36
6.	Andhra Pradesh	Adilabad & Mancherial	298	200	98	33
7.	Orissa	Sundargarh	127	100	27	21
		Uditnagar	82	70	12	15
8.	Chhattisgarh	Bilaspur	413	378	35	8
<b>Total</b>			<b>3228</b>	<b>1308</b>	<b>1920</b>	<b>59.48</b>

(Sources: Information supplied by HPOs)

<sup>1</sup> In the West Bengal postal circle, 1/5<sup>th</sup> of the wages paid in a month through post offices was to be deposited as an advance in the Head Post Offices by West Bengal State Government.

**ANNEX-III**

(Referred to in paragraph 2.2.6.3)

Number and amount of unrealized NREGS cheques as on 30 September 2011

Sl No	Name of HPO	No. of cheques	Amount (₹ in lakh)	Month & Year of unrealised cheques
<b>Orissa circle</b>				
1.	Udit Nagar	114	39.17	April to August 2011
<b>Bihar circle</b>				
2.	Saharsa	202	136.36	--
3.	Katihar	38	33.13	August 2010 to August 2011
<b>Chhattisgarh circle</b>				
4.	Korba	17	25.85	June 2010 to June 2011
<b>Total</b>		<b>371</b>	<b>234.51</b>	

**ANNEX-IV**

(Referred to in paragraph 2.2.6.3)

**Number and amount of dishonoured NREGS cheques as on 30 September 2011**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name of HPO</b>	<b>No. of cheques</b>	<b>Amount (₹ in lakh)</b>	<b>Month &amp; Year of dishonored cheques</b>
<b>Orissa circle</b>				
1.	Udit Nagar	9	5.37	March 2011 to September 2011
<b>Bihar circle</b>				
2.	Purnea	74	44.46	--
3.	Saharsa	62	96.94	--
<b>Chhattisgarh circle</b>				
4.	Raipur	3	0.49	May to November 2009
5.	Korba	2	2.92	March 2011
<b>Total</b>		<b>150</b>	<b>150.18</b>	

## ANNEX-V

(Referred to in paragraph 2.3.1)

Statement showing overstocking of cash certificates in CSDs and HPOs as on 31 March 2011

Name of Circle	Quantity in hand as on 31 March 2011		Required quantity for six months		Excess stock		Face value of Excess stock (₹ in crore)		Quantity indented by CSD
	CSD	HPO	CSD	HPO	CSD	HPO	CSD	HPO	
Delhi	2037520	74733	423564	19776	1613956	54957	530.55	8.71	150000
Kerala	230900	NA	77400	NA	153500	NA	59.89	NA	40000
Maharashtra	969000	201910	681990	65706	287010	136204	15.93	18.20	NA
Punjab	748000	51614	291000	12252	457000	39362	228.50	2.85	NA
Tamil Nadu	485115	146222	223452	28842	261663	117380	307.90	39.15	120000
Uttar Pradesh	15553962	567254	1803232	160614	13750730	406640	2536.73	56.36	122000
Uttarakhand	-	154997	-	25002	-	129995	-	35.89	-
<b>Total</b>							<b>3679.50</b>	<b>161.16</b>	
<b>Grand Total</b>							<b>3840.66</b>		

**ANNEX-VI**

(Referred to in paragraph 2.3.1.2)

**Statement showing overstocking of stamps and postal stationery in CSDs  
as on 31 March 2011**

Name of Circle	Name of CSD	Required quantity for six months	Quantity in hand as on 31st March 2011	Excess stock	Face value of excess stock (₹ in crore)	Quantity indented by CSD
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Kerala	Ernakulum	630098	3629552	2999454	203.49	140000
Maharashtra	Nasik	12563916	55114500	42550584	39.36	87800
Punjab	Ludhiana	28048002	155072000	127023998	70.03	N/A
Uttar Pradesh	Kanpur	58760050	167773791	109013741	146.50	Nil
	Lucknow	15927276	89966282	74039006	39.14	6800000
<b>Total</b>					<b>498.52</b>	

## ANNEX-VII

(Referred to in paragraph 2.4)  
Details of irregular payment of interest

(₹ in lakh)

S. No.	Name of Post Office	Irregular interest			Response of the Circles
		Paid on deposits beyond prescribed limit	Paid on multiple accounts	Paid on deposit after maturity	
<b>Uttar Pradesh Postal Circle</b>					HPOs under UP Circle stated (February/March 2011) that due to lack of knowledge of rules irregular accounts were opened and necessary action would be taken after verification.
1	HPO Deoria		28.64		
2	HPO Faizabad		12.58		
3	HPO Badaun		9.79		
4	HPO Aligarh	6.94			
<b>Rajasthan Postal Circle</b>					SSPO of Jaipur City under Rajasthan Circle stated (May 2011) that an amount of ₹ 14.97 lakh had been recovered.
5	HPO Bikaner	4.44			
6	HPO Jawahar Nagar	3.65			
7	HPO Sri Ganganagar		15.65		
8	HPO Udaipur	3.09	16.26		
<b>Andhra Pradesh Postal Circle</b>					GPOs/HPOs stated that the depositors would be addressed to withdraw the deposits made in PPF irregularly and excess paid interest would be recovered after verifications.
9	GPO Hyderabad	4.27	6.71		
10	HPO Palakol	0.69		3.50	
11	HPO Nizamabad		28.39		
12	HPO Jubilee		3.98	10.06	
13	HPO Visakhapatnam			2.47	
14	HPO Guntur			1.75	
<b>Tamil Nadu Postal Circle</b>					HPOs under Tamil Nadu Circle stated that action would be taken to recover the excess paid interest.
15	HPO Trichurapalli	0.39	6.19		
16	HPO Namakkal	0.70			
17	HPO Dindigul		1.69		
18	HPO Vridhachalam		0.35		
19	HPO Krishnagiri		0.31		
20	HPO Dharampuri		2.16		
21	HPO Salem		7.70		
22	HPO Tallakulam		2.22		
<b>Karnataka Postal Circle</b>					GPOs/HPOs accepted the facts and stated that the depositors would be addressed to withdraw excess deposits.
23	HPO Bijapur	7.17			
24	GPO Bangalore	11.06			
25	HPO Shimoga			8.52	
<b>Gujarat Postal Circle</b>					GPO/HPOs under Gujarat Circle stated that all the accounts would be verified and recovery particulars intimated.
26	GPO Ahmedabad	3.35			
27	SPO District Court Ahmedabad	6.20			
28	HPO Navsari	5.02			
<b>Total</b>		<b>56.97</b>	<b>142.62</b>	<b>26.30</b>	<b>Grand Total 2.26 crore</b>

**ANNEX-VIII**

**(Referred to in paragraph 3.1)**

**(List of Missions/Posts audited)**

Sl. No.	Name of the Mission/Post
1.	Abu Dhabi
2.	Accra
3.	Addis Ababa
4.	Algiers
5.	Ankara
6.	Astana
7.	Bahrain
8.	Bangkok
9.	Beijing
10.	Birmingham
11.	Bratislava
12.	Brussels
13.	Budapest
14.	Cairo
15.	Canberra
16.	Chicago
17.	Colombo
18.	Dar-es-salam
19.	Dhaka
20.	Doha
21.	Dubai
22.	Durban
23.	Dushanbe
24.	Frankfurt
25.	Guangzhou
26.	Geneva
27.	Hamburg
28.	Hanoi,
29.	Harare
30.	Helsinki
31.	Hong Kong

Sl. No.	Name of the Mission/Post
32.	Houston
33.	Islamabad
34.	Jakarta
35.	Jeddah
36.	Kampala
37.	Kandy
38.	Kathmandu
39.	Khartoum
40.	Kuala Lumpur
41.	Kuwait
42.	Kyiv
43.	Lagos
44.	Lebanon
45.	Ljubljana
46.	London
47.	Luanda
48.	Madrid
49.	Mandalay
50.	Manila
51.	Maputo
52.	Milan
53.	Minsk
54.	Mombassa
55.	Moscow
56.	Munich
57.	Muscat
58.	Nairobi
59.	New York
60.	Nicosia
61.	Oslo
62.	Ottawa

Sl. No.	Name of the Mission/Post
63.	Paramaribo
64.	Paris
65.	Phnom Penh
66.	Port Moresby
67.	Prague
68.	Pretoria
69.	Rajshahi
70.	Riyadh
71.	Rome
72.	Santiago
73.	Seoul
74.	Shanghai
75.	Singapore
76.	Stockholm
77.	Suva
78.	Sydney
79.	Tehran
80.	Tel Aviv
81.	The Hague
82.	Tokyo
83.	Toronto
84.	Tripoli
85.	Ulaanbaatar
86.	Vancouver
87.	Vienna
88.	Warsaw
89.	Washington DC
90.	Wellington
91.	Yangon
92.	Zagreb



## ANNEX-IX

(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.1)

## (a) Short levy of Business Visa Fees (Non-specified Countries)

(₹ in lakh)

Sl. No.	Name of Missions and Posts	Period	Number of cases	Total amount of business visa fee to be collected*	Fee collected**	Short levy of visa fee
1.	Abu Dhabi#	January 2009 to July 2010	966	52.85	35.23	17.62
2.	Addis Ababa#	July 2008 to July 2010	1520	83.16	55.44	27.72
3.	Algiers#	July 2008 to June 2010	1016	55.5 8	37.06	18.52
4.	Bahrain#	July 2008 to June 2010	601	32.88	21.92	10.96
5.	Beijing	July 2008 to June 2010	587	40.76	27.20	13.56
6.	Beirut#	July 2008 to March 2011	1665	91.09	60.73	30.36
7.	Brussels	July 2008 to March 2011	13300	1173.38	782.25	391.13
8.	Damascus#	August 2008 to August 2011	1843	100.83	67.22	33.61
9.	Dar-es-salaam#	July 2008 to June 2010	1088	59.52	39.68	19.84
10.	Dubai#	July 2008 to August 2011	4702	257.24	171.49	85.75
11.	Dushanbe#	September 2008 to July 2011	446	24.40	16.27	8.13
12.	Guangzhou	January 2009 to June 2010	74	5.01	3.33	1.68
13.	Jeddah#	June 2010 to July 2011	610	33.37	22.25	11.12
14.	Kathmandu#	August 2008 to March 2011	6	0.33	0.22	0.11
15.	Mahe (Victoria)#	July 2008 to September 2011	109	5.96	3.98	1.98
16.	Mandalay#	March 2009 to March 2011	39	2.13	1.42	0.71
17.	Milan	July 2008 to March 2011	29583	2609.92	1739.95	869.97
18.	Mombasa#	July 2008 to December 2010	300	16.41	10.94	5.47
19.	Nairobi#	October 2010 to July 2011	1250	68.39	45.59	22.80
20.	Osaka#	June 2009 to June 2010	36	1.97	1.31	0.66
21.	Rome	July 2008 to March 2011	10690	943.11	628.74	314.37
22.	Seoul	September 2009 to December 2010	31850	2162.31	1441.69	720.62
23.	Shanghai#	July 2008 to July 2010	2193	119.97	79.98	39.99
24.	The Hague	July 2008 to March 2011	33336	3087.03	2064.98	1022.05
25.	Tripoli#	July 2008 to July 2010	901	49.29	32.86	16.43
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>138711</b>	<b>11076.89</b>	<b>7391.73</b>	<b>3685.16</b>

**Note:**

\* Business visa fees to be collected @ US \$ 120 (for nationals of non-specific countries) for issue of business visa for less than one year

\*\* Fees collected by the Mission/Post @ US \$ 80 for issue of business visa for less than one year

\*\*\* Official rate of exchange for the month March, 2011: 1 US \$ = ₹ 45.590 in respect of # countries in the annex.

(b) Short levy of Business Visa Fees (Specified Countries)

(₹ in lakh)

Sl. No.	Name of Missions and Posts	Period	Number of cases	Total amount of business visa fees to be collected	Fees collected	Short levy of visa fees
1.	Abu Dhabi	January 2009 to July 2010	70	6.73	2.61	4.12
2.	Dubai	July 2008 to June 2010	343	26.78	12.87	13.91
3.	Kathmandu	August 2008 to March 2011	3	0.30	0.11	0.19
4.	Mahe (Victoria)	July 2008 to September 2011	16	1.16	0.58	0.58
5.	Nairobi	October 2010 to July 2011	57	3.91	2.08	1.83
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>489</b>	<b>38.88</b>	<b>18.25</b>	<b>20.63</b>

(c) Short levy of Business visas issued for more than one year (Non-specified countries)

(₹ in lakh)

Sl. No.	Name of Missions and Posts	Period	Number of cases	Total amount of business visa fees to be collected	Fees collected	Short levy of visa fees
1.	Abu Dhabi	August 2010 to March 2011	527	48.05	28.83	19.22
2.	Dushanbe	September 2008 to July 2011	9	0.82	0.49	0.33
<b>Grand Total</b>			<b>536</b>	<b>48.87</b>	<b>29.32</b>	<b>19.55</b>

## ANNEX-X

(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.2)

## A. Non-levy of additional fees for the Indian Community Welfare Fund

Sl. No.	Name of the Mission/Post	Period	Passport	Visa	OCI	PIO	Other Consular Services	Total no. of services rendered	Rate of ICWF charge per document (UK Pound sterling)	Total charges in local currency (UK Pound sterling)	Total charges (in ₹)
1.	HCI London	June 2010 to February 2011	29707	322306	25630	3472	9889	391004	2.00	782008.00	58024993.60
2.	CGI Birmingham	June 2010 to February 2011	6530	84334	13098	1354	4475	109791	2.00	219582.00	16292984.40
3.	CGI Edinburgh	June 2010 to February 2011	924	10121	916	64	1215	13240	2.00	26480.00	1964816.00
4.	EI Rome	June 2010 to December 2010	3619	12839	74	10	7058	23600	3.00	70800.00	4429956.00
5.	CGI Milan	June 2010 to December 2010	7886	36569	358	293	13846	58952	3.00	176856.00	11065879.92
6.	EI The Hague	June 2010 to August 2010	240	12197	230	144	1708	14519	3.00	43557.00	2725361.49
7.	EI Paris	June 2010 to December 2010	1513	101481	3858	174	2759	109785	3.00	329355.00	20607742.35
8.	EI Berlin	June 2010 to March 2011	526	11360	209	113	625	12833	2.00	25666.00	1605921.62
9.	CGI Frankfurt	June 2010 to March 2011	1471	48261	1119	326	2778	53955	2.00	107910.00	6751928.70
10.	CGI Hamburg	June 2010 to March 2011	371	22907	374	66	1016	24734	2.00	49468.00	3095212.76
11.	CGI Munich	June 2010 to March 2011	1145	51906	600	289	2141	56081	2.00	112162.00	7017976.34
<b>Total</b>										<b>Total</b>	<b>133582773.18</b>

(1 UK Pound sterling = ₹ 76.90)

**B. Non-levy of additional fees for Indian Community Welfare Fund**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Name of the Missions/Posts</b>	<b>Period from which ICWF fees is to be levied but not levied</b>	<b>Number of services done</b>	<b>Loss of revenue due to Non-levy/Delayed levy of ICWF fee @ ₹ 100 per service</b>
1.	Rajshahi	April 2011 to August 2011	22472	2247200
2.	Colombo	April 2011 to May 2011	23872	2387200
3.	Luanda	April 2011 to July 2011	863	86300
4.	Kampala	April 2011 to August 2011	3564	356400
5.	Bangkok	November 2009 to March 2011	131182	13118200
6.	Hong Kong	April 2011 to June 2011	8090	1077588
<b>Total</b>			<b>190043</b>	<b>19272888</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>152855661.18</b>

## ANNEX-XI

(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.2)

**Details of passports, visas, OCI cards, PIO cards and other services provided by various Indian Missions/Posts in USA during May 2010 to March 2011 where ICWF charges were not levied**

Name of the Mission/Post	Passports issued	Visa issued	OCI cards issued	PIO cards issued	Other services	OCI misc. services	Total
EI, Washington DC	11093	49725	9001	3625	10427	--	83871
CGI, New York	26625	97178	26399	10114	17765	--	178081
CGI, San Francisco	18792	91462	10015	8350	7566	347	136532
CGI, Chicago	11228	45059	18434	5129	28426	--	108276
CGI, Houston	15905	52807	6802	6819	36937	--	119270
<b>Total</b>	<b>83643</b>	<b>336231</b>	<b>70651</b>	<b>34037</b>	<b>101121</b>	<b>347</b>	<b>626030</b>

**Total services = 6,26,030**

**ICWF surcharge = ₹ 100 per service**

**Total surcharge (during May 2010 to March 2011) = ₹ 6,26,03,000 (₹ 6.26 crore)**

**ANNEX-XII**

(Referred to in paragraph 3.1.6)

(Delays in remittance of fees)

Sl. No.	Month to which the consular fees pertains	Amount collected by Honorary CG (in €)	Month in which consular fees received and deposited in Government account by the Mission
<b>Honorary CG, Tenerife</b>			
1.	Up to 31 December 2005	1,16,586.00	5 April 2006
2.	January 2006 to December 2007	2,64,493.00	28 August 2008
3.	January to December 2008	1,19,086.00	€ 1,18,728.75 deposited in November 2009
4.	January to September 2009	83,874.00	€ 83,622.38 deposited in November 2009
5.	December 2009	6,117.00	March 2010
6.	January-February 2010	15,585.00	March 2010
7.	March 2010	7,471.00	May 2010
8.	April 2010	5,138.00	June 2010
9.	May 2010	10,444.00	July 2010
10.	June 2010	11,315.00	July 2010
11.	July 2010	12,205.00	September 2010
12.	August 2010	12,742.00	October 2010
<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>6,65,056.00</b>	
<b>Honorary CG, Barcelona</b>			
1.	Up to 31 December 2005	31,755.04	23 February 2009
2.	January to December 2006	24,073.00	
3.	January to December 2007	19,922.83	
4.	January to December 2008	23,110.00	
5.	January to November 2009	21,060.00	November 2011
6.	--	552.44	December 2009
7.	--	5,315.67	March 2010
8.	March to May 2010	4,387.05	June 2010
9.	--	5,372.11	September 2010
<b>Sub-total</b>		<b>1,35,548.49</b>	
		<b>Amount in Euro</b>	<b>Amount in ₹ @ 1 Euro = ₹ 69.95 (November 09 exchange rate)</b>
<b>Delayed remittance – Total</b>		<b>8,00,604.49</b>	<b>5,60,02,284.08</b>
<b>Recovery at the instance of audit</b>		<b>2,24,020.35</b>	<b>1,56,70,223.48</b>

### ANNEX-XIII

(Referred to in paragraph 3.2.1)

**Recoveries effected in respect of Missions/Posts at the instance of Audit**

<b>(A.) Office of the Principal Director of Audit, London</b>		
Name of the Mission	No. of Cases	Total (₹ in lakh)
CGI, Munich	1	0.0220
EOI, Prague	6	0.4040
EOI, Copenhagen	1	0.0530
EOI, Lisbon	3	0.6305
EOI, Baku	5	1.0720
EOI, The Hague	6	1.2090
EOI, Oslo	8	2.6540
EOI, Paris	11	18.8900
EOI, Berlin	5	0.7530
CGI, Vladivostok	4	1.5220
CGI, Frankfurt	6	2.0580
EOI, Dublin	4	1.2170
EOI, Bishkek	1	0.2630
EOI, Brussels	5	8.0070
EOI, Bucharest	1	0.4110
EOI, Madrid	3	1.1600
EOI, Rome	4	2.1000
EOI, Helsinki	2	0.3640
EOI, Minsk	1	0.0630
EOI, Tashkent	2	0.0790
CGI, Milan	3	0.1400
CGI, St. Petersburg	5	0.2300
EOI, Moscow	3	15.5700
EOI, Belgrade	2	0.0900
EOI, Warsaw	2	0.0300
EOI, Stockholm	3	0.8900
CGI, Hamburg	3	0.7900
EOI, Berne	1	0.6900
CGI, Geneva	3	4.0600
EOI, Budapest	3	0.5200
EOI, Vienna	3	2.6900
EOI, Ljubljana	1	0.0400
CGI, Edinburgh	5	0.1900
HCI, London	2	0.2700
<b>Total</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>69.1315</b>

<b>(B.) Office of the Principal Director of Audit, Washington</b>		
<b>Name of the Mission</b>	<b>No. of Cases</b>	<b>Total (₹ in lakh)</b>
EOI, Washington DC	24	6.256
HCI, Port of Spain	3	0.403
CGI, Sao Paulo	2	0.020
CGI, New York	10	2.468
PMI, New York	13	1.049
EOI, Panama	9	0.274
HCI, Georgetown	8	0.389
HCI, Ottawa	13	2.656
CGI, Vancouver	2	0.284
CGI, Chicago	8	0.917
CGI, Toronto	6	0.290
EOI, Bogota	4	0.120
CGI, Houston	3	0.320
EOI, Havana	1	1.787
EOI, Buenos Aires	20	2.738
HCI, Kingstown	9	1.035
CGI, San Francisco	3	0.358
EOI, Santiago	1	0.160
<b>Total</b>	<b>139</b>	<b>21.524</b>

<b>(C.) Office of the Principal Director of Audit, Kuala Lumpur</b>		
<b>Name of the Mission</b>	<b>No. of Cases</b>	<b>Total (₹ in lakh)</b>
EOI, Beijing	1	0.0029
CGI, Guangzhou	2	0.6981
HCI, Kuala Lumpur	1	0.0435
EOI, Tokyo	2	0.5550
<b>Total</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1.2995</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>91.96</b>



### ANNEX-XIV

(Referred to in paragraph 3.2.3)

#### Cases of overpayment

Invoice No.	Voucher No.	Date	Amount (In RMB)	Excess Payment (In RMB)
<b>Cases of triple payment</b>				
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-991022-a	3150	30.03.2011	1990	3800
	3115	28.03.2011	1900	
	1609	07.10.2010	1900	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-991022-b	3150	30.03.2011	4460	8920
	3115	28.03.2011	4460	
	1609	07.10.2010	4460	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-991022-bb	3150	30.03.2011	5800	11600
	3115	28.03.2011	5800	
	1609	07.10.2010	5800	
<b>Cases of double payment</b>				
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-99bb	3150	30.03.2011	6450	6450
	3116	28.03.2011	6450	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-9910212bb b	3153	30.03.2011	8540	8540
	3116	28.03.2011	8540	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-991010	3153	30.03.2011	18600	18600
	3116	28.03.2011	18600	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-D	3153	30.03.2011	38920	38920
	3116	28.03.2011	38920	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-99aa	3153	30.03.2011	55660	55660
	3116	28.03.2011	55660	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-991022-aa	3150	30.03.2011	1820	1820
	3115	28.03.2011	1820	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00-99aa	3153	30.03.2011	4370	4370
	3116	28.03.2011	4370	
sha/mstsl/2010/00111-00	3153	30.03.2011	5160	5160
	3115	28.03.2011	5160	
<b>Total</b>				<b>1,63,840 ₹ 13.32 lakh</b>

RMB 1 = ₹ 8.129

## ANNEX-XV

(Referred to in paragraph 4.1)

## Details of procurement of computer systems, software and related accessories

Sl. No.	Sanction order No.	Date of sanction	Item	Amount (₹ in lakh)
<b>Case-1</b>				
1.	1-45024/32/2010-IT-1347	13.12.2010	48 computers for Itanagar Sector	24.99
2.	1-45024/33/2010-IT-1367-71	16.12.2010	48 computers for Gangtok Sector	24.99
3.	1-45024/30/2010-IT-160-67	25.01.2011	48 computers for Tejpur Sector and its units 4, 9 and 25 Bns	24.99
4.	1-45024/31/2010-IT-168-76	26.01.2011	48 computers for ANO Sector and its units 29, 34, 35, 36 and 38 Bns	24.97
5.	1-45024/36/2010-IT-177-80	27.01.2011	48 computers for SHQ BBR, 41 and 45 Bns	24.98
6.	1-45024/38/2010-IT-211-15	28.01.2011	48 computers for SPT, TPT, SS, CH.CHD BNS and CIJW School	24.97
7.	1-45024/52/2010-IT-237-41	28.01.2011	48 computers for SHQ Amritsar and its units 21, 26, 30 and 43 Bns	24.97
8.	1-45024/48/2010-IT-252-56	29.01.2011	48 computers for Bareilly and Almora Sectors	24.97
9.	1-45024/50/2010-IT-267-71	29.01.2011	48 computers for Dehradun Sector	24.97
10.	1-45024/53/2010-IT-282-86	29.01.2011	48 computers for SHQ (Shimla) and its units 2,15,17,19 Bns	24.98
11.	1-45024/54/2010-IT-297-301	29.01.2011	48 computers for SHQ(Delhi), Unicipol, 22, 28, 39 and 44 Bns	24.97
12.	1-45024/39/2010-IT-334-38	31.01.2011	48 computers for SHQ (LDK) and its units	24.97
13.	1-45024/47/2010-IT-349-53	31.01.2011	48 computers for Lucknow Sector	24.97
<b>Total</b>				<b>324.69</b>
<b>Case-2</b>				
1.	1-45024/05/2010-IT-484-88	01.06.2010	Blade server Chassis	22.92
2.	1-45024/06/2010-IT-494-98	02.06.2010	Server Softwares	24.82
<b>Total</b>				<b>47.74</b>
<b>Case-3</b>				
1.	1-45024/29/2011-IT-1000-04	30.03.2011	Interactive Equipment for RTC Karera (MP)	24.83
2.	1-45024/27/2011-IT-990-94	30.03.2011	Interactive Equipment for RTC Shivganga (Tamil Nadu)	24.83
3.	1-45024/28/2011-IT-995-99	30.03.2011	Interactive Equipment for RTC Kimin (Arunachal Pradesh)	24.83
4.	1-45024/31/2011-IT-1005-09	30.03.2011	Interactive Equipment for CIJW School, Mahidanda (Uttarakhand)	24.83
<b>Total</b>				<b>99.32</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>				<b>471.75</b>

## ANNEX-XVI

(Referred to in paragraph 4.3)

### Chronology of events leading up to the construction of staff quarters

Period	Events
November 1999	Proposal for purchase of the land at Gomti Nagar, Lucknow was approved by MHA.
July 2002	CPWD submitted the layout plan and drawings for the residential quarters.
September 2002	IB sought clarification from the CPWD regarding the layout plan and drawings.
December 2002	The plan was approved by the IB and the CPWD was requested to provide the Preliminary Estimates (PE) for the project.
January 2003	CPWD submitted the PE of ₹ 6.17 crore.
July 2003	IB forwarded the proposal to the Ministry for their approval.
December 2004	The Ministry sought clarification regarding exact cost of bulk services and total cost of the project. It also asked the IB to tone down the projected cost towards the bulk services.
February 2005	The case was resubmitted to the Ministry, clarifying that it was not possible to bring down the cost on account of the bulk services. It was pointed out by CPWD that the work services were very essential and reduction in cost would render the residential complex useless.
March 2005	The Ministry communicated that the estimates for the project were based on the cost index of 1992 and requested for a revised estimate based on the latest cost index.
May 2005	The proposal was re-submitted to the Ministry with a revised estimate of ₹ 9.67 crore.
June 2005	The Ministry approved the proposal and issued necessary sanction.
June 2006	IB reminded SIB, Lucknow to expedite the approval from local bodies to the drawings/building plan submitted by CPWD.
August 2006	SIB, Lucknow requested for allocation of funds as approval of the building plan was expected to be accorded by the local bodies shortly.
August and December 2006	The Ministry released the first and second installments.
July 2009	Civil work of the project was completed.
February 2012	The possession of the complex taken over by the IB.

## ANNEX-XVII

(Referred to in paragraph 6.1)

Details of theatres discontinued and closed down

(a) Number of theatres discontinued: 20

Sl. No.	Name of theatre	Town /City	Contract No.	Outstanding balance (₹)	Discontinued with effect from.
<b>In Maharashtra</b>					
1	Chitra Mandir	Nashik	M 452	31493	01.08.08
2	Prabhat	Nashik	M 458	45452	01.08.08
3	Vijay	Nashik	M 460	691010	07.10.09
4	Mamta	Nashik	M 462	295111	07.10.09
5	Magestic	Jalna	M 304	244062	08.04.08
6	Inox Leisure	Pune	M 524 - A	524066	01.05.05
7	Inox Audit II	Pune	M 524 - B	927026	01.01.05
8	Inox Audit III	Pune	M 524 - C	566949	01.01.05
9	Inox Audi IV	Pune	M 524 - D	794944	01.01.05
10	Nishat	Pune	M 533	251555	19.09.08
11	Mahesh-1	Raigad	M 223	239442	31.12.08
12	State	Aurangabad	M 42	188520	08.04.08
13	Mohan	Beed	M 20	66805	19.07.08
14	Gulzar	Aurangabad	M 35	122789	01.07.08
<b>Total</b>				<b>4989224</b>	
<b>In Gujarat</b>					
15	Jayshree	Jamnagar	G 227	191916	01.07.09
16	Jayshree	Jamnagar	G 207	115098	31.03.09
17	Mehul	Jamnagar	G 207 A	61075	17.05.08
18	Mehul II	Jamnagar	G 207 B	52039	17.05.08
19	Mehul III	Jamnagar	G 207 - C	23066	01.06.08
20	Shreyas	Kheda	G 310	3672	01.06.08
<b>Total</b>				<b>446866</b>	
<b>Total (I + II)</b>				<b>5436090</b>	

**(b) Number of theatres closed down: 15**

Sl. No.	Name of theatre	Town/City	Contract No.	Outstanding balance (₹)	Closed with effect from
<b>In Maharashtra</b>					
1.	Laxmi C. Mandir	Nanded	M 433	4480	2006
2.	Sundar C Mandir	Raigad	M 392	69172	06.01.2008
3.	Raj Laxmi	Ratnagiri	M 614 - A	7640	05.12.2007
4.	Daulat	Pune	M585	29816	01.01.2009
5.	Bhatwl	Dhule	M 262	20432	01.04.2007
6.	Vijay	Usmanabad	M 677	3876	01.01.2008
<b>Total III</b>				<b>135416</b>	
<b>In Gujarat</b>					
7.	B K	Mehsang	G 512	23064	30.03.2007
8.	Swastik	Valsad	G 344	53246	31.12.2004
9.	Shakti	Surendranagar	G 185	91842	01.09.2006
10.	Gopi	Amreli	G 46	152578	16.10.2007
11.	Pritilad	Amreli	G 263	29502	01.03.2007
12.	Natraj	Surendranagar	G 518	59245	01.06.2000
13.	Vasant	Valsad	G 491	56050	01.10.2002
14.	Geeta	Junagarh	G225	8994	01.12.2007
15.	Prabhat	Khede	G 309	26843	01.01.2005
<b>Total III</b>				<b>501364</b>	
<b>Total ( III + IV)</b>				<b>636780</b>	

<b>Discontinued theatres outstanding</b>	<b>₹ 5436090</b>
<b>Closed theatres outstanding</b>	<b>₹ 636780</b>
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>₹ 6072870</b>