ANNEXURES

_

1

SI. No.	Name of the Department	Nature of receipts	Auditable units	Units planned	Units audited
1.	Commercial Taxes and	Sales tax	392	217	225
	Registration	Stamp duty and registration fees	568	210	199
2	Revenue	Land revenue	206	103	88
		Urban land tax	52	15	7
3	Home (Transport)	Taxes on vehicles	61	30	30
4	Home (Prohibition and Excise)	State excise	60	30	16
5	Industries	Mines and minerals	28	15	15
6	Energy	Electricity duty	23	10	11
	Total	1,390	630	591	

ANNEXURE I (Refer to Paragraph 1.4 of Chapter I)

- 1

				(0 1 al agi <i>c</i>	· T.			(₹ in lakh)
SI. No	Assessment Circle	Name of the assessee	Commo- dity	Assess- ment Year(s)	Turnover escaped assessment (including excise duty)	Rate of tax	Tax leviable	Penalty	Nature of suppression
1	T.Nagar (South)	Pothys Clothing Company	Ready- made Garments	2001-02 & 2002- 03	899.96	4	36.00	54.00	Clandestine removal of goods by issuing two sets of invoices
2	Brough Road, Erode	P & C Con- structions (P) Limited,	RCC Pipes	2001-02	241.67	8	19.33	29.00	Clandestine removal of goods by fabrication of statutory records
3	Avaram- palayam, Coimbatore	Raja Steels (P) Ltd	MS Ingots, rods, etc.,	2000-01 to 2003- 04	178.59	4	7.14	6.86	
4	Sankari	Shri Vinayaga Alloys (P) Ltd	MS Ingots, rods etc.,	2006-07	98.01	4	3.92	3.92	Clandestine removal of goods without
5	Tiruppur (Rural)	Vijaya- lakshmi Spinning Mills	Cotton yarn	2002-03 & 2003- 04	138.41	4	3.92	1.96	payment of duty
6	Sankari	Shri Vig- neshwara Steels (P) Ltd	MS Ingots, rods etc.,	2006-07	92.93	4	3.72	1.86	
7	Erode (Rural)	Agni Steel (P) Limited	MS Ingots, Rods etc.,	2006-07	348.48	4	13.94	6.97	
8	Sriperum- budur	Tricolour Garments Company	Ready- made garments	2002-03	39.88	4	1.60	2.39	
9	Adyar-II	Springfeel Polyure- thane Foams Limited	Poly- urethane Foams	1998-99 to 2000- 01	279.84	16	44.77	44.77	Under- valuation of goods by grade substitution
10	Kilpauk	Thyssen- krupp JBM (P) Limited	Auto- mobile parts	1999-00 & 2000- 01	79.09	12	9.49	9.49	Non- inclusion of amortisation cost in the value
11	Woraiyur, Trichy	Trichy Steel Rolling Mills	MS Ingots, Rods etc.,	2002-03	240.93	4	9.64	4.82	Clandestine removal of goods without payment of duty
12	Dindigul (Rural)	Goodwill Textiles	Cone Yarn	1999-00 to 2001- 02	222.00	2	4.44	0	Misclassifi- cation of goods
				Total	2,859.79		157.91	166.04	

Annexure II (Refer to Paragraph 2.17.1)

_

1

Annexure III (Refer to Paragraph 3.5.9)

	(Neiei	to Paragraph 3.5.9)		(₹ in lakh
SI. No.	Name of the lessee/taluk/	Period of lease/ extent in Sq.ft.	Amount due upto 2004-05	Amount due from 2005-06 to 2009-10
Non-	renewal of lease			
1	The District Club/ Salem	22.08.89 to 21.08.99/ 37,995	71.95	251.38
₹ 11.9 the sa The C	expired on 21.08.99. Renewal propo 16 lakh for the period from 1999 to 20 me was pending. However, the origina CLA replied (December 2011) that mment and the particulars called for b	02 and at ₹ 12.33 lakh for the p al lease amount of ₹ 15,000 pe the proposal fixing the lease	period from 20 r annum was l rent has been	002 to 2005 and being collected.
Collec 2	ctor. However, the reply was silent ab Thiru Arooran Sugars Ltd./ Vridhachalam	out renewal of lease. 01.07.04 to 30.06.07/ 3,77,460	0	4.01
Lease	was granted vide DRO orders issue		ease rent of ₹	1.35 lakh per
20.06 and or to ren	n. Lease expired on 30.06.07. Ren .08 proposing a lease rent of ₹ 1.79 la rders awaited. The CLA replied (Dece ewal of lease from 2007 onwards was	akh per annum for the period mber 2011) that additional par awaited from the Collector, Cu	from July 200 ticulars called uddalore.	7 to June 2010 for with regard
3	Thiru Arooran Sugars Ltd./ Vridhachalam	19.05.05 to 18.05.08/ 1,35,692	0	1.78
	expired on 18.05.08. Renewal/Revision proved. Lease amount upto 18.05.08 w		n November 20	009 and yet to
4	Karur Vysya Bank Officers Association / Karur	09.07.96 to 08.07.99/ 1,80,940	1.19	14.92
CLA	expired on 08.07.99. Renewal prop on 18.01.08 and was pending. The C al of lease from 1999 onwards was aw	LA replied (December 2011)	that additional	
5	Andankoil Pollution Control Ltd./ Karur	21.12.95 to 20.12.04/ 28,340	0	3.56
17.12	expired on 20.12.04. Renewal prop 07. However revised proposal was c d that the revised proposal was under	alled for by CLA in January 2	010. The Dis	
6	Officers club/ Karur	01.07.72 to 30.06.92/ 26,596	11.39	12.03
	expired on 30.06.92. Renewal prop	osal for the period from 01.0		
	on 19.03.08. However revised proporties replied that the revised proposal	•		. The District
7	Arokkiya Madha Hr. Sec. School /Kilvelur	14.09.88 to 13.09.06/	19.97	8.95
Lease	expired on 13.09.06. No renewal pro	9,692 oposal was sent. However a j	proposal fixing	g the lease rent
Tahsil	1991 to 2006 was sent to CLA in Dec dar in January 2010 fixing the lease re- lent about the renewal. An amount of	ent for the years from 1991 to 2		
8	Ladies Recreation Club/ Thoothukudi	22.12.66 to 21.12.96/ 23,108	16.43	54.20
21.12. Decer perioc would 2011)	ease expired on 21.12.96. The renewa .2006 was sent to CLA on 16.04.98 nber 2005 to the Government, the le l from 2005 to 2007. However, by ap l be ₹ 11.58 lakh per annum for the that additional particulars on the re ctor, Thoothukudi.	I proposal for a further period and orders awaited. Further a case rent was proposed at $₹$ 1 plying the 12 <i>per cent</i> increase period from 2008 to 2010.	s per the DRO 0.34 lakh per e as per the GO The CLA repl	D's letter dated annum for the D, the lease rent ied (December

_

- 1

9	Young Women Christian Association/ Tirunelveli	19.02.77 to 18.02.02/ 16,157	1.02	40.20
CLA 2002 (Dece	ease expired on 18.02.2002 and the pro- in July 2007. The Collector tentatively onwards and has forwarded the sam ember 2011) that additional particulars ed from the Collector, Tiunelveli.	fixed the lease rent of $₹ 4.00$ to RDO, Tirunelveli for c	lakh per annur ollection. Th	m from the year le CLA replied
10	Sathyanarayana/ Mambalam- Guindy	01.07.71 upto 30.6.1991 by various orders 485	4.28	5.64
availa	e expired on 30.06.1991. Though prop able in the file, proposal for renewal e had paid lease rent and municipal taxe	for the period from 7/04 to 6/		
11	Indian Oil Corporation/ Alandur	10.12.84 to 09.12.96/ 32,844	184.39	879.21
from lessee	ease expired on 09.12.96 and the prop 10.12.96 to 09.12.2008 was sent to the e was allowed to pay ₹ 1.66 lakh per ar had directed the RDO to forward prop	e District Revenue Officer in F nnum for 12 years from 1996 t	February 2006 to 2008. The 1	. However, the
12	Tamil Nadu Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. /Trichy	06.02.91 to 05.02.06/ 24,416	13.76	21.93
annui	e expired on 05.02.06. No proposal f m, as fixed initially, was collected for sent a proposal to the District Collec	the period from 1991 to 2001	. The Tahsild	ar in December
13	Meenakshi Service Station (IOC Dealer) / Trichy	16,792	0	73.00
	upon permission was granted in 1963 00 per year being paid towards lease re		en after 47 yea	rs. Amount of
14	Sriram Educational Trust / Tiruvallur	1998-2007/ 5,38,460	0	483.61
fixing Tiruv rent a	e expired on 30.06.2007. The initial le g the lease rent based on 12 <i>per ce</i> rallur to RDO, Tiruvallur in September at ₹ 7.35 crore for the period from 1998 he lessee had paid a sum of ₹ 2.51 cro ent.	<i>nt</i> increase every year was a 2010. The Sub-Collector in 8 to 2010 and forwarded the sa	forwarded by October 2011 me to the Col	the Tahsildar, fixed the lease lector. Against
15	P.M. Omalass Hr.Sec. School/ Thoothukudi	19.06.78 to 18.06.08/ 30,780	22.92	28.66
was s 2002	ease expired on 18.06.08 and no renew sent to CLA/Govt. on 10.12.05 proposition to 2004. No orders fixing the lease r 3 for each year is being collected.	ng a lease rent of ₹ 5.73 lakh p	er annum for	the period from
16	R.C Primary School/ Thoothukudi	01.07.86 to 30.06.96/ 19,058	13.07	16.33
the po	ease rent was fixed at ₹ 100 per annun eriod from 1996 to 2002 received from .11 for want of rectification of some do	n Tahsildar (LR). However th		
17	Mohamed Sathak Dastagir Matriculation HSS (Resi- dential) / Ramanathapuram	2.2.2000 to 01.02.09/ 2,39,800	0	6.93
	essee had paid a sum of ₹ 7.73 lakh val proposal was sent for the period bey		riod from 200	0 to 2009. No

-

18	Sri N. Palanisamy/ Avinashi	01.05.99 to 30.04.02/ 3,490	3.03	8.24	
Finall 2008 lease. lease	Aggrieved by the amount of lease rent fixed by the DRO, Coimbatore, the lessee preferred appeal. Finally Hon'ble Madras High Court ordered for quick action. The Tahsildar in his letter dated August 2008 addressed to the Collector had stated that the lessee had been requested to apply for renewal of lease. The lessee did not present the application for renewal of lease resulting in non realisation of lease rent. The Collector in letter dated November 2011 had directed the Tahsildar to inspect the land and to furnish a report thereon.				
19	Raju/ Mylapore-Triplicane	26.05.64 to 30.06.89/ 870	11.66	7.33	
from forwa continalso. Septe	Lease expired on 30.06.1989. The Tahsildar in his letter (April 2010) had stated that renewal proposal from July 1989 to June 2006 were forwarded to the Collector vide his letter October 1984. He has also forwarded the proposal upto the year 2010. However no orders were issued so far. The lessee continued to enjoy the land without renewal of lease and the Department did not revise the lease rent also. The lessee had paid ₹ 59,928 for the period from July 1989 to 30 June 2010. The Collector in September 2011 had directed the Tahsildar to verify the rate available in the Registration Department and to forward a proposal fixing the lease rent.				
20	Women's Indian Association / Mylapore-Triplicane	17.09.76 to 16.09.81/ 16,621	57.35	57.44	
betwe lease the le the le	Lease expired on 16.09.1981. The lease was further extended in 1997. The lease rent was fixed between $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 1,000 to $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 5,000 during the years from 1976 to 1993. For the period from 1993 to 1998, the lease rent was fixed at 25 <i>per cent</i> of seven <i>per cent</i> of the market value. It was further stipulated that the lease rent would be revised once in every three years. The lease was not extended further, though the lesse continued to enjoy the land without renewal of lease and the Department did not revise the lease rent also. The lesse had paid $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 3.02 lakh between 1981 and 2010.				
21	Smt Chandra Dhandayu- thapani/ Mylapore-Triplicane	05.03.82 to 04.03.02/ 3,600	70.80	39.72	
per co comm purpo direct protac	The lease expired on 04.03.2002. Further, the lease rent was calculated at seven <i>per cent</i> instead of 14 <i>per cent</i> on the market value of the property which was not in order, as the usage of the land was commercial in nature. On a request from the lessee that as the land was used for arts and cultural purposes, the lease rent be fixed at ₹ 500 per annum, the Government vide letter dated March 2004 had directed the CLA to get the land inspected to verify the nature of the utilisation of the land. There was protacted correspondence within the Department viz., Collector, Tahsildar, etc. during the period between 2004 and 2011. However, the lease rent is yet to be finalised.				
22	Pethachi/ Mylapore-Triplicane	01.07.86 to 30.06.91/ 1,398	0	28.23	
Proposal for renewal for a further period from 1 July 1991 to 30 June 2007 was submitted by Tahsildar in November 2004. The lessee did not agree to pay the lease rent, but requested for lease rent at nominal rate. The Tahsildar informed the Collector of Chennai in his proceedings dated April 2010 for resumption of land for non payment of lease rent at the prevailing market value of the land. However, the Collector of Chennai in his letter dated September 2011 has directed the Tahsildar to send the lease renewal proposal.					
	Total	17,64,594	1		

_

Annexure IIIA (Refer to Paragraph 3.5.9)

(Refer to Faragraph 5.5.7) (₹ in lakh)						
SI. No.	Name of the lessee/taluk	Period of lease/ extent in Sq.ft.	Amount due upto 2004-05	Amount due from 2005-06 to 2009-10		
Non-re	enewal of lease					
1	Officers Recreation Club / Cuddalore	07.10.90 to 31.03.04/ 53,401	26.60	35.34		
Lease expired on 31.03.04. No renewal proposal was sent so far. Exemption from payment of lease amount was requested by the lessee and the same was not accepted by the Department in November 2008.						
2	AAM School/ Mambalam- Guindy	01.07.78 to 30.06.88/ 689	8.09	2.94		
The lease was not renewed after its expiry. The Government issued orders in July 2004 rejecting the proposal of nominal lease rent and directed the Department to take action to resume the land and treat the period until resumption as lease. It also ordered for collection of lease rent at seven <i>per cent</i> and for revision every three years. The Tahsildar computed the lease amount and forwarded the demand to the lessee in October 2004. The lessee replied in December 2006 that it was in service of poor children and could not pay such a huge amount. Further in November 2006 the lessee was asked to surrender the land. The CLA in April/November 2011 called for the compliance report for resumption of the land from the DRO. However the lessee continued to enjoy the property without payment of lease rent and the land is yet to be resumed.						
3	M/s. Frontier Indico Tea Factory/ Panthalur	1981 to 1991/ 2,97,515	11.04	9.80		
The lease was not renewed from 1991. The Factory has requested for alienation of the land. However the request was rejected by the Government in November 2005 and stated that the lease could be renewed for a further period of 15 years from 1991. The CLA directed the DRO to verify the financial condition of the lessee and to furnish a report thereon. However, the Special Officer in January 2008 submitted detailed report and recommended for alienation of the land by collecting the value as on 30.6.2006. However, the land was not alienated so far and lease rent for the period after 1991 was also not collected.						
	Total	3,51,605	45.73	48.08		

1

Annexure IIIB (Refer to Paragraph 3.5.9)

	(Keler	to Paragraph 3.5.9)		(₹ in lakh)
SI. No.	Name of the lessee/taluk	Period of lease/ extent in Sq.ft.	Amount due upto 2004-05	Amount due from 2005-06 to 2009-10
Non	-revision of lease rent			•
1	Plant Quarantine & Fumigation Station/ Alandur	12.05.89 to 11.05.2019/ 62,220	778.50	1,123.86
	ion of lease rent has not been done s tt for a report. However the same is ye		August 2009 and	October 2010 has
2	PPN Power Generating Company Ltd./ Tharangambadi	20.04.98 to 19.04.28/ 13,93,456	0	92.81
Nover DRO propo	ease rent was due for revision from 20. mber 2003. On these proposals, clari clarified in June 2009. The CLA ca seal for revision of lease rent for the The same is yet to be finalised.	fications were called for by alled for revision of lease	the CLA in Febru rent upto April 20	uary 2005 and the 10 in 2009. The
3	Mrs. Rukmaniammal/ Trichy	09.03.94 to 08.03.14/ 1,744	10.30	14.03
	ion not finalised from 2003 onwards. in September 2004 against which the l		r the period from	1997 to 2002 was
4	Indian Oil Corporation (M/s Mahalakshmi Traders)/ Mettur	17.09.99 to 16.09.11/ 11,260	0	33.10
	proposal for revision of lease rent fixin by Sub-Collector to the Collector on 18			08 to 16.9.11 was
5	U.E. Development India Private Ltd./ Maduranthakam	04.03.03 to 03.03.12/ 7,89,382	0	81.87
had r Madu	essee had not paid the lease rent for the not yet raised demand for the per vanthakam the lease rent for one year $t \notin 19.87$ lakh per annum and forwards	iod. In the proposal (J was fixed at ₹ 17.74 lakh. H	une 2008) sent b Iowever the Collec	by the Tahsildar, etor fixed the lease
6	Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd./Karur	28.09.92 to 27.09.22/ 6,805	7.31	15.41
Revis	ion proposal for every 3 years were no	t finalised and lease amount	not collected.	I
7	Department of Light House & Light ships /Nagapattinam	01.07.89 to 30.06.19/ 40,845	27.00	82.57
the pe was re On a 'Perm	e rent was not revised from 01.07.92. The rent was not revised from 01.07.92. The rend from 1989 to 2009. Proposal for meturned by the CLA calling for inform scrutiny of the files, it was seen that the nament Basis' at the cost of $\mathbf{\overline{T}}$ one as it for the lease rent was fixed nor the lesse	revision of lease rent was sentiation regarding sales statisticated the lessee has sought for it is acting as a aid for the	nt to CLA on 02.0 tics, etc to DRO in r transfer of land mariners and fishe	9.04 and the same September 2005. in their name on
8	Dr. Venkatrajulu/ Mayiladuthurai	29.04.94 to 28.04.14/ 1,479	5.90	7.86
	ease rent was not revised from 01.07.9 to June 2011 fixing the lease rent for e			e period from July

_

-I

9	M/s. Indian Oil Corporation Dealer M/s. Mahalakshmi & CO. / Tiruppur	1999 to 2010/ 7,265	101.22	106.51		
the lan Howe Furthe	The Government issued orders in October 2008 to collect the lease rent at 14 per cent and fixed the value of the land. On a direction from the Government/CLA, the RDO, Tiruppur submitted his report to the DRO. However no order has been passed till date eventhough the Government have issued order in this regard. Further the lessee was allowed to pay a sum of ₹ 8,873 per month for the period from April 1996 to December 2010.					
10	Union Club/ Madurai (South)	30.06.80/ 27,468	128.36	22.52		
demar Court	The lessee surrendered the land on 08.09.06. It paid a sum of $₹$ 9.00 lakh between 2001 and 2005. For the demand of $₹$ 61.31 lakh for the period from 1980 to 1996, the lessee went on appeal and the Hon'ble High Court, Chennai on 17.8.2007 directed the Department to consider to reduce the dues. Based on the orders, the lease rent was fixed without additional surcharge.					
11	St. Peter's Higher Sec. School/ Thanjavur	15.11.1935 - 14.11.2034/ 2,45,468	102.29	293.19		
The lessee was paying ₹ 33 per year from 1937 to 2000. The revision proposal for the period from 1.1.2001 to 31.12.2003 fixing the lease rent at ₹ 5.10 lakh per annum was sent to RDO in November 2005. No proposal was sent for the period from 2004 onwards. The lease rent has not been revised so far.						
	Total	25,87,392	1,160.88	1,873.73		

_

1

Annexure IV (Refer to Paragraph 3.5.10)

	(Refer to Paragraph 3.5.10) (₹ in lakh)			
Sl. No.	Name of the institution/ Taluk Extent (in acres) / Date of enter upon permission	Land cost as per the alienation order	Remarks	
Alie	nation finalised			
1	Periyar University/ Omalur 93.60/ 06.04.99	104.68	The possession of land was given on 17.09.97 and the Government issued orders for alienation on 06.04.99. The proposal fixing the land cost was forwarded by the District Collector, Salem to CLA in July 2006. However, amount towards land cost has not been collected so far	
2	Tamil Nadu Tourism Development Corporation/ Chengleput 16.86/ 06.06.84	2,107.50	The Government while accepting the alienation in 2008 directed the Corporation to apply to the District Collector for the same and fixed the land cost as per the revised guideline rate with effect from 1.8.2007. Land cost has not been collected so far.	
3	SIPCOT/ Perundurai 4.99/ 29.10.01	9.69	The land was alienated on 23.08.04 based on the Government order dated 29.10.01. In the order it was stated that the land value was to be collected at double the market value prevailing on the date of alienation. However, only the actual market value was collected. After we pointed this out, the Tahsildar stated (February 2011), that Government would be addressed for necessary orders. The reply was not correct since in the GO itself it was stated that land value was to be collected at double the market value.	
4	Tamil Nadu Housing Board/ Poonamallee/ 126.00/ 02.03.88	101.00	The land was originally alienated vide GO 2084/Revenue dated 3.11.1988 and demand (as on 2006) for $₹$ 1.01 crore after deducting $₹$ 25.00 lakh paid during 1991 was issued. Proposal for fixing the land cost was forwarded to DRO on 08.01.07.	
5	Corporation of Tirunelveli/ Palayamkottai/ 4.37/ 27.06.00	146.83	The land was handed over as early as in 1999 and the Government ordered for transfer of the land on 27.06.2000. However land cost has not been collected so far.	
6	Tamil Nadu Tourism Development Corporation/ Palayamkottai/ 0.83/ 17.03.92	9.16	The Government ordered for transfer of the land in 1992. The RDO in his proposal dated June 2000 fixed the land cost at $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 31.99 lakh and forwarded the same to the Collector. The Collector in August 2010 recommended to the CLA that the cost of the land be fixed at $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 9.16 lakh prevailing in 1992. However, no land cost was collected so far.	
7	Tamil Nadu Housing Board, Trichy/ Tiruverumbur/ 569.11 & 0.86/ 10.06.81	868.26	The land was handed over to the Board and the land was developed as house plots. The Government vide order issued in March 2010 fixed the cost of the land which was prevailing at the time of allotment. The same has not been collected so far.	

1

- 1

8	Erode Marketing Committee/ Perundurai/ 0.50/ 14.06.04 Tamil Nadu State	6.59	Enter upon permission was granted on 14.06.04 and alienation order was issued on 02.05.06. Demand notice was issued. However, no amount was collected so far. The RDO in April 2010 directed the Committee to pay the land cost of ₹ 7.38 lakh after including the notional increase portion.
У	Transport Corporation/ Mettur/ 4.00/ 17.09.01		As per the Government order dated 17.9.2001, orders were issued for transfer of the land. In the order it was also stated to collect three times the value of the land at the time of transfer of the land. The Tahsildar in his proposal dated October 2010 to the District Collector refixed the land cost at ₹ 29.95 lakh. Land cost was not finalised so far.
10	Tamil Nadu Housing Board/ Alandur/ 0.06/ 21.01.98	20.31	The Government issued orders alienating the land on 21.1.1998. In the order it was stated that the land cost should be collected according to the rate prevailing at the time of order. The District Collector forwarded proposal fixing cost of the land at ₹ 5.25 lakh to the Government only in July 2007 based on the sale transaction held during the year 1998 and the same was pending.
11	Municipal Council, Udumalpet/ 0.12/ 13.11.97	128.78	The Government issued order alienating the land in 13.11.1997. In the order it was stated that the land cost should be collected according to the rate prevailing at the time of order. The proposal fixing the land cost at ₹ 2.05 lakh as prevailing in 1998 was forwarded by the Tahsildar to the District Collector in February 1999 and at ₹ 18.58 lakh as on 2003-04. The District Collector in May 2005 has also sent a letter to the Council for payment of land cost and has further stated that if the same was not accepted, the case will be treated as encroachment and eviction process will be initiated.
	Total Extent – 821.30 acres	3,532.75	

1

Annexure V (Refer to Paragraph 3.5.11)

	(Refer to Faragraph 5.5.11) (₹ in lakh)				
SI. No.	Name of the institution/ Taluk /Extent (in acres)/ Date of enter upon permission	Land cost as on 30 June 2010	Remarks		
Alier	Alienation yet to be finalised				
1	District Co- operative Milk Producers Union Ltd., Trichy/ 20.17/ 27.03.91	8,794.12	Though the proposal for alienation was sent to CLA on 30.03.97 and periodical reminders sent between November 2004 and December 2010 to CLA, orders not issued so far. However, the institution is enjoying the property by constructing permanent structures without paying the land cost. The Tahsildar, Trichy recommended in July 2004 to fix the land cost of $₹$ 35.14 lakh prevailed in 2002 and forwarded the same to RDO.		
2	Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation, Trichy/ Srirangam/ 2.14/ 23.01.09	419.87	Though the proposal for alienation was sent to CLA on 29.12.08 by the District Collector recommending a value of $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 1.58 crore (as on 2008) and followed it up with periodical reminders to CLA, orders were not issued so far. However the Corporation is enjoying the property without paying the land cost.		
3	M/s Ramakrishna Sarada School/ Salem/ 9.88/ 6.7.69	2,584.61	Government ordered for transfer of the land on 27.1.91. The Tahsilar forwarded the alienation proposal fixing the land cost at ₹ 21.64 lakh to RDO,Salem on 18.02.2000. The DRO forwarded the alienation proposal to CLA in December 2004 fixing the land cost at ₹ 1.94 crore as arrived at by adding notional increase every year. The RDO also sent reminders in November 2009/December 2010. The same has not been finalised so far.		
4	Employees State Insurance/ Salem/ 1.61/ 6.4.85	57.77	Government ordered for transfer of the land on 21.1.1984. The possession of land was given on 06.04.85 and building was constructed with five floors for Hospital purpose alongwith staff quarters. The RDO in June 2005 forwarded proposals fixing the land cost at $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 32.78 lakh (as on 2005) to DRO. The land cost has not been finalised so far.		
5	Tamil Nadu Housing Board, Salem/ 37.43/ 23.9.92	554.47	The possession of the land was given based on the Government order dated 30.5.1988 directing that the land be placed at the disposal of the Board. The transferee divided the said land into 1,050 plots and sold to various individuals and realised its cost. Further in March 2005, the DRO sent proposal to CLA fixing the land cost at ₹ 7.76 lakh based on acre rate (agricultural rate) and also arrived at the value of ₹ 88.89 lakh based on square feet rate. Further in 2008, the RDO forwarded a proposal fixing the land cost at ₹ 5.62 crore to District Collector. The District Collector also raised a demand for ₹ 1.07 crore in December 2010. However, ₹ 7.76 lakh towards the land cost has been paid by the transferee.		

1

_

-	NO THOMAS	0 0 -	
6	M/s TASMAC	9.97	The District Collector in 10/2010 has sent to CLA the
	Ltd /Pollachi/		alienation proposal fixing the land cost at ₹ 5.23 lakh
	0.90/ 22.12.06		and building cost at ₹ 4.74 lakh.
7	Tamil Nadu	7.18	Proposal for reclassification of the land for the purpose
	Electricity		of utilisation by the Board has been forwarded between
	Board/		the years 1994 and 2010 from District Collector to
	Mettupalayam/		CLA. However, till date, the land has not been
	13.54/ 22.12.95		alienated and land cost fixed
8	Babin Labour	54.41	The RDO had sent a proposal in December 2005 to the
	Co-op. Society/		DRO fixing the land cost at ₹ 30.61 lakh. The proposal
	Erode/ 0.42/		for alienation was sent to CLA on 07.02.06 and
	06.03.01		13.03.06 and orders awaited.
9	Tamil Nadu	9.68	The land has been utilised for running a hotel. While
-	State Transport	,	the corporation paid ₹ 4.24 lakh for the cost of the
	Corporation/		building which was in existence at the time of taking
	Sathya-		over the land, the cost of the land has not been fixed so
	mangalam/		far. Proposal fixing the land cost at ₹ 9.68 lakh has
	1.48/ 01.10.86		been sent by the RDO to the District Collector in April
			2010 and by the RDO to DRO in May 2010. The same
			has not been finalised so far.
10	Tamil Nadu	5,649.11	The cost of the land at the time of enter upon
10	Housing Board/	3,047.11	permission was ₹ 5.93 crore. Proposal for fixing the
	Ambattur/		land cost at ₹ 56.49 crore was forwarded to DRO on
	135.92/		18.04.08.
	03.11.88		10.04.00.
11	Small Industries	93.68	Proposal fixing the land cost at ₹ 93.68 lakh was
11	Development	/5.00	forwarded by the Tahsildar to DRO in November 2010.
	Corporation/		The same has not been finalised so far.
	Ambattur/		The same has not been infansed so fai.
	33.77/ 19.07.01		
12	M/s MTC/	489.99	Though enter upon permission was granted in
12	Poonamallee/	ч <i>),))</i>	November 1982 and the land value was proposed at
	5.68/ 05.11.82		₹ 24.56 lakh as early as in April 1992, the land cost was
	5.00/ 05.11.02		not finalised so far.
13	Tamil Nadu	114.70	The Department replied that the Tamil Nadu Slum
15	Slum Clearance	114.70	Clearance Board has requested to transfer the land free
	Board/		of cost and the order of the Government is awaited.
	Thanjavur/		or cost and the order of the Government is awaited.
	5		
14	3.17/ 02.03.94 Tamil Nadu	150.00	The normission was granted by the Covernment to the
14		150.00	The permission was granted by the Government to the
	State Transport		Transport Corporation Ltd. to enter upon the land in the
	Corporation/		year 1983 and possession was taken over. The
	Udumalpet/		Additional Collector in his proceedings dated 9.5.94
	30.00/ 04.08.83		issued orders that the land was to be alienated on collection of land cost of \overline{z} 1.26 labb. However, noise
			collection of land cost of ₹ 1.36 lakh. However, neither
			the cost of the land was fixed nor alienation orders $12 (2)$
			passed so far. Proposal fixing the land cost at ₹ 13.63
			lakh was sent by Tahsildar to the Collector in 2007.

|___

-

15	Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board/ Udumalpet/ 2.75/ 15.09.83	13.75	The possession of the land was handed over on 19.09.1983. The District Collector forwarded a proposal fixing the land cost at ₹ 1.40 lakh (as per value of 2002) to the CLA in March 2003. The CLA returned the proposal in May 2003 for want of certain information. The information sought for by the CLA was forwarded by the DRO in March 2006. However, the alienation proposal was not finalised so far.
16	World Bank/ Mambalam- Guindy/ 3.55/ 26.02.04	1,143.26	Though the grantee agreed to purchase the said land as per the terms and conditions agreed by both the Government and the grantee, the Government has not alienated the land and collected the land cost. This has resulted in non realisation of land cost till date.
17	Tamil Nadu Housing Board/ Madurai (North)/ 173.31/ 06.09.82	23,932.89	The land was handed over to the board between the years 1980 and 1990. The Government vide order issued in March 2010 fixed the cost of the land which was prevailing at the time of allotment in respect of lands given to TNHB. The alienation/fixing of land cost has not been done so far.
	Total Extent -475.72 acres	44,079.46	

_

- 1

					(₹ in crore)
SI. No.	Name of the encroacher	Name of the taluk	Extent in acres	Period of encroach -ment	Land cost as on 30 June 2010
1.	Apollo Hospitals	Egmore-			
		Nungambakkam	0.04	> 6 years	0.25
2.	Sunnath Jammadh	Gobichettipalayam	0.47	23 years	0.02
3.	Committee Thirumalaisamy	Perundurai	0.25	15 years	0.12
4.	Naganaicker	Sathyamangalam	0.23	15 years	0.12
5.	Madras Motor Sports	Kanchipuram	10.39	> 8 years	0.73
	Trust	I			
6.	Jem Stone Beach Resort Ltd.,	Chengalpet	3.68	> 5 years	5.62
7.	India Cadle Co.,	Tambaram	2.72	> 5 years	2.98
8.	Avudaiammal	Kanyakumari	3.25	20 years	0.05
9.	Ganesan	Nagercoil	16.77	> 20 years	0.50
10.	Jesudass	Thovalai	4.50	> 10 years	0.13
11.	Thangaraj	Thovalai	1.00	20 years	0.03
12.	Jesudass	Thovalai	0.75	20 years	0.02
13.	Manikandan	Thovalai	2.37	20 years	0.09
14.	Duraisamy	Thovalai	0.68	20 years	0.03
15.	Rajalingam	Thovalai	0.79	20 years	0.03
16.	Naiynarnadar	Thovalai	0.64	20 years	0.02
17.	Tamil Nadu Energy Development Agency	Thovalai	16.75	23 years	0.32
18.	Catholic Church	Madurai (N)	1.12	15 years	2.93
19.	Nadar Uraveen Murai	Madurai (N)	0.28	15 years	0.08
20.	R. Pandi	Madurai (N)	2.73	15 years	0.77
21.	Fenner Southern Products (P) Ltd.,	Madurai (S)	4.20	> 15 years	0.42
22.	Mettur Thermal	Mettur	207.49	> 20 years	4.15
	Power Project				
23.	Madras Refineries Ltd		26.42	20.37	0.12
24.	(Now CPCL) Seshayee Boards	Nagapattinam Tiruchengode	26.42	20 Years	0.12
24.	Mills Ltd.	Thuchengode	5.54	> 5 years	5.00
25.	Indo Swiss Resorts	Coonoor	0.22	> 5 years	0.02
26.	Thattapalam Estate	Kotagiri	3.22	> 5 years	0.08
27.	Craigmore Estate	Coonoor	1.09	> 5 years	0.02
28.	Billimalai Estate	Coonoor	0.82	> 5 years	0.02
29.	Ramamurthi & others	Pattukottai	0.68	> 20 years	0.70
30.	V. Ramalingam	Pattukottai	0.70	> 20 years	0.11
31.	M/s Bojaroja Textile Mills	Theni	0.84	20 years	0.27
32.	Madras Tea Estate	Uthamapalayam	6,389.42	> 30 years	143.76
33.	Thoothukudi Naidu Mahajana Sangam, Thoothukudi	Thoothukudi	0.48	> 5 years	1.15

Annexure VI (Refer to Paragraph 3.5.12.1)

I ____

-

34.	Sri Govindasamy	Palladam	0.44	20 years	0.03
35.	K.M. Rasiappan	Palladam	0.69	20 years	0.07
36.	Gugai Namasivayar Mutt	Tiruvannamalai	1.10	30 Years	4.33
37.	Shanmuga Factory	Tiruvannamalai	0.30	> 5 years	1.24
38.	Rajkumar	Tiruvannamalai	0.95	> 5 years	0.97
39.	Mara Tannery, Ranipet	Ranipet	0.79	17 years	0.35
Total			6,712.53		178.65

_

- 1

		01		(₹ in crore)	
SI. No	Name of the encroacher	Name of taluk	Extent in acres	Period	Land cost as on 30 June 2010
1	Swami Vivekananda Kendra Library	Kanya- kumari	13.75	> 5 years	0.11
2	Vidhyamandir School	Madurai (N)	0.75	15 years	1.10
3	Director, Fethal Farm	Madurai (N)	0.50	15 years	0.15
4.	Principal, T.N.Theological College	Madurai (N)	0.88	15 years	0.26
5.	President, Palayampath Nadar Uraveenmurai	Madurai (S)	0.30	> 15 years	0.85
6.	Vellachamy Nadar College	Madurai (S)	1.50	10 years	0.49
7.	Jeyaraj Nadar Hr.Sec.School	Madurai (S)	5.31	10 years	1.74
8.	K. K. Muniraj	Tiruchen- gode	2.32	19 years	1.11
9.	KSR Educational Trust	Tiruchen- gode	4.36	7 years	0.03
10.	Vinayaga Mission (Thirumuruga Kirupananda Variyar Thavathiru Sundara Swamigal Medical Educational and Charitable Trust)	Salem	26.01	13 years	4.37
11.	Rajakambalathar	Theni	0.49	20 years	0.12
12.	Roman Catholic Church	Palladam	1.00	> 5 years	0.10
13.	Prathyusha Engineering College	Tiruvallur	1.73	8 years	0.17
14.	Danish Mission High School	Tiruvanna- malai	0.54	> 10 years	0.09
15.	Krishthuvin Priyar	Tiruvanna- malai	0.40	> 5 years	0.24
16.	St. Mary's Convent Vellore	Vellore	0.17	8 years	0.31
	Total		60.01		11.24

Annexure VII (Refer to Paragraph 3.5.12.2)

1

Annexure VIII (Refer to Paragraph 3.5.12.3)

SI. No. 1 2 3 3	Name of the District Coimbatore Erode Kanchi- puram	Name of the Taluks Coimbatore (North), Coimbatore (South), Pollachi, Sulur Erode, Gopi, Perundurai, Sathiyamangalam Kanchipuram,	No. of Cases 7,370 29	Extent 1,306.83 95.65	Value of the land as on 30 June 2010 356.58 5.15
1 2	Coimbatore Erode Kanchi-	Coimbatore (South), Pollachi, Sulur Erode, Gopi, Perundurai, Sathiyamangalam	7,370		356.58
2	Erode Kanchi-	Coimbatore (South), Pollachi, Sulur Erode, Gopi, Perundurai, Sathiyamangalam			
	Kanchi-	Pollachi, Sulur Erode, Gopi, Perundurai, Sathiyamangalam	29	95.65	5.15
	Kanchi-	Erode, Gopi, Perundurai, Sathiyamangalam	29	95.65	5.15
	Kanchi-	Perundurai, Sathiyamangalam	29	95.65	
3		Sathiyamangalam			5.15
3					
5		Ixancini buran.	2,422	190.35	103.37
	Purum	Chengalpet, ,	2,722	170.55	105.57
		Sriperumbudur,			
		Tambaram			
4	Karur	Karur, Aravakurichi,	815	624.76	17.19
		Kulithalai			
5	Naga-	Nagapattinam	63	6.57	0.07
	pattinam				
6	Namakkal	Namakkal, Rasipuram,	2,847	844.95	8.57
		Tiruchengode			
7	Nilgiris	Uthagamandalam,	224	101.94	4.27
0	D (1	Kothagiri, Coonoor	2(2	1 4 2 . 0 2	0.50
8	Ramanatha- puram	Ramanathapuram	363	143.82	0.58
9	Salem	Salem, Mettur, Omalur,	879	143.14	16.55
,	Salem	Sankagiri	077	143.14	10.55
10	Thanjavur	Thanjavur, Pattukottai	139	43.6	13.10
11	Theni	Theni	9	0.90	0.04
12	Thoothu-	Thoothukudi,	462	96.11	1.38
	kudi	Kovilpatti, Tiruchendur			
13	Tirunelveli	Tirunelveli,	12	14.62	3.47
		Ambasamudiram	16		21.20
14	Tiruppur	Tirupur, Palladam,	46	52.57	31.30
15	Tiruvallur	Kangeyam Tiruvallur,	638	387.08	13.43
15	THUVAIIUI	Poonamallee	038	307.00	15.45
16	Tiruvanna-	Tiruvannamalai,	427	1,154.07	5.37
10	malai	Cheyyar, Thandrampet		1,10 1107	0.07
17	Trichy	Trichy, Tiruverumbur,	2,119	907.93	281.60
	•	Manapparai			
18	Vellore	Vellore, Katpadi,	505	950.17	39.73
		Walajah			
	1	Fotal	19,369	7,065.06	901.76

_

6	Handloom	Advance of ₹ 14.39 crore was granted for the years 2005-06 and	5.24			
	& Textiles	2008-09 to be repaid in the same year with rate of interest at 10 per	<u>1.32</u>			
		<i>cent</i> for 2005-06 and 12 <i>per cent</i> for 2008-09.	6.56			
		ranted to Co-operative Spinning Mills to settle dues to cotton traders an				
expe	nditure consequ	aent on the wage revision. However, the principal was not paid with inter-	rest so far.			
7	Milk A sum of ₹ 18.75 crore was sanctioned as medium term loan in					
	Production	2007-08 through three Government Orders.	<u>0.00</u>			
	and Dairy		1.17			
	Develop-					
	ment					
med a mo	The loan was sanctioned to M/s. Tamil Nadu Co-operative Milk Producers Federation Limited as medium term loan to compensate the loss incurred due to enhancement of procurement price of milk with a moratorium period of two years. The loan amount along with interest has not been repaid so far. The Department replied that waiver proposal was already submitted to Government.					
8	Information	A sum of ₹ 29.35 crore was sanctioned in June 2008 as ways and	1.40			
	and	means advance with rate of interest of 12 per cent ⁶⁷	<u>0.00</u>			
	Technology		1.40			
	Loan was sanctioned to Arasu Cable T.V. Corporation Limited. Out of ₹ 29.35 crore, a sum of					
	₹ 23.00 crore was treated as equity share capital of the undertaking and balance amount was treated as					
interest bearing loan (10.5 <i>per cent</i>) with repayment period of ten years in Government order ⁶⁸ issued in						
November 2008. The interest chargeable on ₹ 29.35 crore from the date of disbursement to the date of conversion into share capital was not demanded and collected.						
		Interest	325.02			
	Total	Penal Interest	<u>35.00</u>			
			360.02			

⁶⁷ 68

G.O.Ms.No.13, Information Technology Dept. dt.23.06.08 G.O.Ms.No.23,Information Technology Dept. dt.18.11.08

_

- 1

Annexure IX (Refer to Paragraph 7.4)

			(₹ in crore)			
Sl. No.	Name of the Depart- ment	Details of loans/advances sanctioned	Interest and penal interest due (as on 31 March 2010)			
1	Transport	A sum of ₹ 585.24 crore was sanctioned between March 2006 and February 2010 by the Government as short term loan to seven State Transport Undertakings (STUs) through 19 Government Orders with rates of interest ranging from 10 <i>per cent</i> to 12 <i>per cent</i> .	130.43 <u>8.44</u> 138.87			
diese Out o transj wage servie	l price, to over of the above los port undertaking revision effeces had resulte	ed for the purpose of meeting additional expenditure on account of incre- come cash loss and for the purchase of new buses. an amount only a sum of $\mathbf{\xi}$ 1.81 crore was paid towards principal and in ags. The Department replied that due to increase in HSD oil price, imple- cted to employees in September 2003 and 2007 and operation of u- ed in losses to STUs. It was also stated that the Government was requ- o overdue amount into equity share capital (between January 2010 and Ju	terest by four ementation of ineconomical uested by the			
2	Industries - Director of Sugar	Loans and advances aggregating ₹ 206.09 crore were sanctioned during the period from August 2005 to June 2009 with interest rate ranging from 10 to 14 <i>per cent</i> .	74.87 <u>13.70</u> 88.57			
relati nor i were The	ng to lay off, p nterest had bee sent to Govern Government st	anted to 15 co-operative and two public sector sugar mills for meet oayment of state administered price, remittance of PF dues, etc. Neither en paid so far by the sugar mills. The Commissioner of Sugar stated t nment in March 2010 to waive the loans or to convert them into Govern ated (November 2011) that effective steps will be taken to improve the on of the Mills in near future so as to repay the Government loans alongw	the principal hat proposals ment equity. e profitability			
3	Industries	The Government sanctioned ways and means advances and term loan of ₹ 266.66 crore during February 2007 and March 2010 through six Government Orders.	34.62 <u>5.53</u> 40.15			
(TID TIDO	CO) and M/s. 7 CO paid interes	as advance was sanctioned to M/s. Tamil Nadu Industries Developmen Tamil Nadu Industrial Explosives (TEL) limited for various purposes. It t of ₹ 11.34 crore only against interest due of ₹ 43.68 crore. M/s. TEL The interest due from M/s. TEL as on March 2010 was ₹ 2.28 crore.	However M/s.			
4	Municipal Admini- stration and Water Supply	Government through 54 GOs sanctioned ₹ 248.84 crore as loans during the period from April 2005 to March 2010.	61.25 <u>4.18</u> 65.43			
with Indus Muni It wa neces Board	The loans were sanctioned to Tamil Nadu Water Supply and Drainage Board(TWAD) to repay the loans with interest already availed by the Board from Financial Institutions like Tamil Nadu Urban Finance and Industrial Development Corporation (TUFIDCO) and LIC, for execution of Water Supply Schemes in Municipalities and Town Panchayats (LIC Loan). It was instructed in the GOs that the Commissioner of Municipal Administration (CMA) should raise necessary demands against the beneficiary local bodies and inform the Managing Directors of TWAD Board and TUFIDCO for necessary action. However, no demand was raised by the CMA and consequently the principal was not repaid with interest by the Municipalities so far.					
5	Municipal Admini- stration and Water Supply	In the year 2006-07, Government of Tamil Nadu converted the balance of $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ 57.32 crore available with Chennai Metropolitan Water Supply and Sewerage Board (CMWSSB) as loan with an interest of eight <i>per cent</i> repayable in five years.	16.04 <u>1.83</u> 17.87			
scarc of pr	ity in Chennai. incipal and ₹	ed for conversion of advance granted for implementation of Works to CMWSSB had repaid only a sum of ₹ 5.73 crore towards two quarterly 2.24 crore towards interest in September 2006. No further payment had interest so far.	v installments			