HIGHLIGHTS AND SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The scheme of Non lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR) was started by the Central Government in 1998-99 to ensure speedy development of infrastructure in North East region by providing funding for specific projects identified by the State governments. This central pool of resources for North Eastern States was to be funded from the unspent amount of the stipulated 10 *per cent* of the gross budgetary support earmarked for spending on North Eastern (NE) States by Union Ministries each year.

A Performance Audit of implementation of NLCPR scheme was conducted covering the period from 2002-03 to 2007-08 and out of 527 projects approved during the above period, a sample of 91 projects was selected for examination in audit. Audit findings were communicated to the Ministry in September 2009 and the reply of the Ministry received in February 2010 has been taken into account in finalizing this report. The important audit findings and recommendations included in this report are as follows:

• NLCPR fund had a total accrual of Rs. 6525 crore during last five years from 2003-04 to 2007-08 against which the aggregate amount released to NE states was only Rs. 3205 crore constituting 49 *per cent* of the total accruals. The fund had a balance of Rs. 6963.79 crore at the close of the financial year 2007-08. Relatively small amount of releases from the fund every year indicate poor implementation of the programme despite availability of funds.

(Paragraph 4.1)

• The Cabinet decision of November 1997 stipulated that the Ministry of Finance would create a NLCPR fund in the Public Account of India. No such Reserve Fund has been created in the Public Account so far and the Ministry is maintaining this fund merely on proforma basis. Hence, the NLCPR fund maintained under the present arrangements can not be said to be a non-lapsable fund.

(Paragraph 3.1.1)

• The completion rate of projects under NLCPR was far from satisfactory. As of 30 September 2008, 959 projects with an approved cost of Rs. 7070 crore had been sanctioned from the NLCPR fund. Of these, 783 projects had become due for completion by October 2008 or earlier, however, only 435 projects (56 per cent) involving an expenditure of Rs. 1934 crore had been completed. Even completed projects had huge time overruns ranging up to five years or more.

(Paragraph 3.2.1 (a))

• There were 524 incomplete projects of which 348 had fallen behind approved schedule as of October 2008. Most of the incomplete projects with time overruns were in Assam (143), Manipur (44), Sikkim (40) and Nagaland (38). 106 projects were pending completion despite release of the entire approved cost of Rs. 1108 crore to the State governments concerned.

(**Paragraph 3.2.1(b)**)

The major bottlenecks in timely completion of projects were: delays in release
of funds to the executing agencies, improper planning and frequent revision of
estimates, delays in tendering and award of work, slow progress of execution by
the contractors, delays in receipt of forest and other clearances, land disputes
and law and order problems.

(Paragraph 3.2.1(c))

• The Ministry diverted/irregularly spent an amount of Rs. 1837.46 crore from NLCPR pool viz., to fund the North Eastern Council's expenditure (Rs. 1605.38 crore), funding of projects which were initially taken up by the State Governments but discontinued/abandoned and subsequently funded from NLCPR (Rs. 191.20 crore) and for components not envisaged in the guidelines (Rs. 40.88 crore).

(Paragraph 4.5.1, 4.5.2, 4.6 & 4.7)

Against the total amount of Rs. 5883 crore released up to 2008-09 to the NE States, Utilization Certificates of Rs. 1164 crore were pending as of March 2009. Delay in submission of UCs which ranged upto four years or more, adversely impacted further release of funds by the Ministry to the states.

(Paragraph 4.2)

• From the year 2005-06, the financial support provided to states under NLCPR was 90 per cent grant from the Government of India and the balance 10 per cent was to be contributed by the State Government. During 2005-08, the State Governments in North East region did not contribute their share aggregating Rs. 113.93 crore (81.50 per cent) towards implementation of NLCPR projects. The major defaulting states were: Meghalaya (100 per cent), Manipur (99.78 per cent), Assam (93.51 per cent), Tripura (88.83 per cent), and Nagaland (42.98 per cent).

(Paragraph 4.3)

• Prior to 2005-06, assistance provided under NLCPR consisted of 10 per cent of the project cost as 'loan' and 90 per cent as 'grant'. The NE States have been

defaulting in repayment of loan, and as of 31 March 2009, an amount of Rs. 31.70 crore was outstanding against them.

(Paragraph 4.4)

• Against the prescribed monitoring measures in the guidelines viz. submission of quarterly progress reports (QPR), quarterly review meetings by the Chief Secretaries of the States, periodical project inspections, impact studies etc., test check of 68 projects revealed that QPRs were submitted with abnormal delays. Quarterly review meetings by the Chief Secretaries were also not held regularly. Inspections of the projects carried out by State Governments were inadequate.

(Paragraph 5.1)

• Adequate transparency and publicity of information relating to NLCPR projects was not ensured through local media and display boards.

(Paragraph 3.2.3)

• Inspite of huge investments in the NE States, no evaluation study was conducted to assess the impact of the projects created out of NLCPR funding.

(Paragraph 5.2.2)

Summary of Recommendations:

- Ministry may review the further continuance of NLCPR scheme in view of the fact that NLCPR funding constitutes only around four *per cent* of the total expenditure in NE region, and the scheme has failed to achieve its objective of ensuring speedy development of infrastructure in NE States. The funds can still be made available to meet the same objectives either through State Plans or the Central Ministries.
- Pending a decision, the Ministry may in coordination with the State Governments analyze reasons for delayed and incomplete works so as to remove bottlenecks and ensure timely and efficient execution of the projects.
- ➤ The annual accruals under NLCPR are much higher in comparison to annual releases from the fund resulting in accumulation of huge surplus balance under NLCPR. Ministry in consultation with State Governments must develop a strategy to improve utilization of funds and accelerate the pace of development in NE region.
- The Ministry may insist upon the States to conduct gap analysis of Basic Minimum services (BMS) and Infrastructural development and submit the same with their proposals to facilitate prioritization in funding of such projects.

Report No. 5 of 2010-11

- The Ministry needs to strengthen financial management by close monitoring and follow up to ensure that there is no diversion or irregular utilization of NLCPR funds by the State Governments and/or implementing agencies.
- > The Ministry/State governments should strengthen controls as well as the inspection and monitoring mechanism at all levels for effective implementation of the projects and ensure quality in work execution.
- Wide publicity must be given by State governments to the projects executed under NCPR to enhance transparency and awareness about such projects.
- ➤ Impact studies/surveys could be undertaken especially with reference to achievement of outcomes.